

Differentiable quantum computational chemistry with PennyLane

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

| Field | Value |
|--------------|---|
| Slug | 2026-arxiv-2111.09967-differentiable-quantum-computational-chemistry-pennylane |
| Source | arXiv |
| Link | https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.09967 |
| Category | app |
| Triaged | 2026-06-07 by port_until_julien_parallel |
| Bootstrapped | 2026-06-07 |

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | Source | 3 |
| 1.1 | Domain classification | 3 |
| 1.2 | Expert persona for Julien | 3 |
| 1.3 | Related prior work (brief bibliography) | 3 |
| 1.4 | Difficulty estimate | 4 |
| 2 | Extraction | 5 |
| 2.1 | What the paper does (one paragraph) | 5 |
| 2.2 | Quantum hardware used | 5 |

| | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| 2.3 | Computational primitive | 5 |
| 2.4 | Resource fingerprint | 6 |
| 2.5 | Assumptions and results | 6 |
| 2.6 | Portability flags | 7 |
| 2.7 | Caveats | 8 |
| 3 | Portability matrix | 9 |

1 Source

1.1 Domain classification

quantum chemistry (primary). Secondary: materials. The manuscript frames itself around molecules and materials, and one of the two fault-tolerant resource-estimation examples is the battery-cathode material lithium iron silicate $\text{Li}_2\text{FeSiO}_4$ (a periodic solid of 156 electrons, costed with a first-quantised plane-wave algorithm). The body of the paper is overwhelmingly molecular electronic structure, so chemistry is the primary tag.

1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A computational-chemistry and quantum-software methods specialist, fluent in the near-term VQE toolchain: differentiable Hartree-Fock with Gaussian basis sets (STO-3G, 6-31G, cc-pVDZ), the Jordan-Wigner fermion-to-qubit mapping, particle-conserving ansätze (UCCSD, k-UpCCGSD, AllSinglesDoubles, ADAPT-VQE) built from Givens-rotation excitation gates, and \mathbb{Z}_2 qubit tapering. They also need calibrated intuition for fault-tolerant resource estimation (double low-rank factorisation and first-quantised plane-wave costings, i.e. logical-qubit and non-Clifford gate counts). Most importantly, they should recognise that this is a software-library overview rather than a device experiment: every example runs on PennyLane’s `default.qubit` simulator, so the hardware-relevant signal lives in the resource-estimation section and in the algorithmic primitives the library targets, not in measured QPU data.

1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- Bergholm et al., “PennyLane: Automatic differentiation of hybrid quantum-classical computations,” arXiv:1811.04968. The core library paper; this manuscript is its quantum-chemistry companion.
- Arrazola, Di Matteo, Quesada, Jahangiri, Delgado, Killoran, “Universal quantum circuits for quantum chemistry,” arXiv:2106.13839 (Quantum 6, 742, 2022). Establishes the Givens-rotation excitation gates used as the circuit primitive throughout this paper.
- Mitarai, Nakagawa, Mizukami, “Theory of analytical energy derivatives for the variational quantum eigensolver,” arXiv:1905.04054 (Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 013129, 2020). The energy-derivative and Hessian theory underpinning the differentiable forces and geometry-optimisation workflow.
- McClean et al., “OpenFermion: the electronic structure package for quantum computers,” arXiv:1710.07629 (Quantum Sci. Technol. 5, 034014, 2020). The complementary fermion-to-qubit toolchain that PennyLane interoperates with, and a portability-relevant comparison: the same primitive on a different software stack.
- Javadi-Abhari et al., “Quantum computing with Qiskit,” arXiv:2405.08810 (2024). The IBM-stack analogue; Qiskit Nature is the natural cross-vendor comparison for the same VQE and QPE chemistry primitives.
- Peruzzo et al., “A variational eigenvalue solver on a photonic quantum processor,” arXiv:1304.3061 (Nat. Commun. 5, 4213, 2014). Origin of VQE and a canonical on-hardware run, useful as contrast since this manuscript reports simulator-only results.

1.4 Difficulty estimate

medium. The paper is freely available (arXiv:2111.09967; the full PDF and the LaTeX source are both in the dossier folder), well written, with no paywall and no reliance on supplementary material, which on its own would point to low effort. What raises it to medium is the shape of the extraction target. This is a methods-and-software overview, not a single experiment. There is no QPU run to read a fingerprint off: every code example targets the `default.qubit` simulator on systems no larger than H_2 or H_3^+ . Julien will therefore need to synthesise the hardware picture from two things: the algorithmic primitives PennyLane supports, and the two fault-tolerant resource estimates (a double low-rank factorisation QPE costing for the water molecule, and a first-quantised plane-wave costing for Li_2FeSiO_4). Deciding what constitutes the fingerprint for a framework paper is the main source of effort here, rather than reading difficulty.

2 Extraction

2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

This is the quantum-chemistry companion to the PennyLane software library: a technical overview of how PennyLane builds, differentiates, and runs quantum chemistry workflows, not a device experiment. Its flagship contribution is a fully differentiable Hartree-Fock solver, so users can take exact gradients of molecular orbitals, energies, and qubit Hamiltonians with respect to nuclear coordinates and Gaussian basis-set parameters. The paper then walks through the library’s circuit primitives (excitation gates as Givens rotations, plus UCCSD, k-UpCCGSD, gate-fabric, AllSinglesDoubles, and ADAPT-VQE templates), variational energy estimation (VQE), excited states, analytic nuclear forces and Hessians, joint optimisation of circuit, geometry, and basis parameters, \mathbb{Z}_2 qubit tapering, and resource estimation for VQE and quantum phase estimation (QPE). Every worked example targets the built-in `default.qubit` statevector simulator on systems no larger than H_3^+ .

2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** None. No quantum processor is used anywhere in the manuscript. The software is PennyLane (Xanadu); all circuits execute on the classical `default.qubit` statevector simulator (qchem.tex, “Givens rotations” section; every `code/*.py` device line reads `qml.device("default.qubit", ...)`).
- **Qubit count:** Simulated only. 4 wires for H_2 (STO-3G, 2 electrons in 4 spin-orbitals) and for HeH^+ ; 6 wires for H_3^+ . No physical qubits.
- **Connectivity:** Not applicable. A statevector simulator imposes no connectivity graph; excitation gates act on arbitrary wire tuples (e.g. `DoubleExcitation` on wires `[0,1,2,3]`).
- **Gate set:** Library-level primitives, not a hardware native set: particle-conserving `SingleExcitation` and `DoubleExcitation` (Givens rotations), `BasisState`, plus templates (UCCSD, k-UpCCGSD, gate fabric, AllSinglesDoubles) and a QPE template. PennyLane ships decompositions of the excitation gates into elementary gates with analytic gradient rules.
- **Notable features leveraged:** All classical/software features, not hardware features. Differentiable Hartree-Fock via automatic differentiation (Autograd); sparse-matrix representation of molecular Hamiltonians for fast simulated expectation values; parameter-shift, adjoint, and backpropagation gradients; Jordan-Wigner mapping; \mathbb{Z}_2 qubit tapering. No mid-circuit measurement, dynamic circuits, or analog control is used because no device is used.

2.3 Computational primitive

Multi-primitive framework paper. The two named algorithms are **VQE** (the bulk of the worked examples: ground states, excited states, forces, Hessians, geometry optimisation) and **QPE** (used only for fault-tolerant resource estimation via double low-rank factorisation and first-quantised plane-wave costings). The library also targets Trotterised time evolution (as the QPE oracle $U = e^{-iHt}$) and adaptive circuit construction (ADAPT-VQE). No single primitive is “the experiment”; this is a software overview.

2.4 Resource fingerprint

| Metric | Value | Source (page / equation / SI) |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Qubits (logical) | Simulated examples only: 4 (H_2 , HeH^+), 6 (H_3^+); HeH^+ tapered 4 \rightarrow 2. QPE estimator outputs computed by the library but not printed in the paper. | code/vqe.py, code/full_optimization.py, code/tapering.py; qchem.tex “Qubit tapering” (4 qubits, 2 tapered off) |
| Qubits (physical) | not reported (no hardware; no error-correction overhead stated) | n/a |
| Circuit depth | not reported (H_2 VQE circuit is one double- plus two single-excitation gates; no depth figure given) | code/excitation_circuit.py |
| 2Q-gate count (total) | not reported | n/a |
| Measurement shots | not reported. <code>estimate_shots()</code> is invoked for the water Hamiltonian, but the returned value is not printed; the VQE examples run in analytic (infinite-shot) mode. | code/shots.py; qchem.tex “Resource estimation” |
| Classical loop iter. | VQE H_2 : 20 gradient-descent steps. ADAPT-VQE: 3 steps (operator-pool size). | code/vqe.py (<code>range(20)</code>); code/adapt.py |
| Wall-clock runtime | not reported | n/a |

2.5 Assumptions and results

- **Claim.** PennyLane is presented as the first library for differentiable quantum computational chemistry: it can compute exact gradients of Hartree-Fock quantities and qubit Hamiltonians, build VQE/QPE circuits from particle-conserving primitives, taper qubits using symmetries, and estimate the quantum resources required for both near-term (VQE) and fault-tolerant (QPE) algorithms.

No quantum-advantage or accuracy benchmark against a classical method is asserted; the worked H_2 VQE simply converges to the ground-state energy in simulation.

- **Resource-estimation inputs (reported), outputs (not reported).** The QPE costings are demonstrated on two systems but the manuscript prints only the inputs, not the resulting gate or qubit counts:
 - Double low-rank factorisation QPE for **water** (H_2O) in the **6-31G** basis (`qml.resource.DoubleFactorization`), citing von Burg et al. and Lee et al. (`code/qpe_second.py`; `qchem.tex` “Resource estimation”).
 - First-quantised plane-wave QPE for **dilithium iron silicate** $\text{Li}_2\text{FeSiO}_4$ (`qml.resource.FirstQuantization`), with **100 000 plane waves, 156 electrons**, and unit-cell volume **1 145** a_0^3 ($a_0 = \text{Bohr radius}$), citing Su et al. (`code/qpe_first.py`; `qchem.tex` “Resource estimation”). The cost is stated to depend only on the number of plane waves, the unit-cell volume, and the electron count.
- **Error bars / noise.** None. Simulation is noiseless and shot-free (analytic) in the worked circuits; the paper notes that VQE expectation values on real hardware would require a number of shots that “scales dramatically with system size”, which Pauli-word grouping (`grouping` module, `optimize=True`) only partially alleviates (`qchem.tex` “Ground states”).
- **Classical baseline.** The library can diagonalise the sparse Hamiltonian directly to benchmark VQE against full configuration interaction, but no such comparison is tabulated in this manuscript.

2.6 Portability flags

This paper exposes no device-specific features (it runs only on a classical simulator). The portability-relevant signal is the set of algorithmic primitives the library emits, which any target device must support:

- **Givens-rotation excitation gates are the circuit primitive.** `SingleExcitation` and `DoubleExcitation` are not native to any current hardware; they must be decomposed into the target’s native gate set (CNOT plus single-qubit rotations, or equivalent). PennyLane provides these decompositions, but the decomposed two-qubit-gate count is what will land on a real device. Single-excitation form (Eq. eq:givens):

$$G(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\theta/2) & -\sin(\theta/2) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\theta/2) & \cos(\theta/2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **Jordan-Wigner mapping ties qubit count to spin-orbital count.** Each of M spin-orbitals becomes one qubit; the mapping $a_p = \frac{1}{2}Z_0 \otimes \dots \otimes Z_{p-1} \otimes (X_p + iY_p)$ produces Pauli strings whose Z -tails act non-locally, so naive routing on a limited-connectivity device incurs SWAP overhead. (`qchem.tex` “Qubit Hamiltonians”).
- **VQE is measurement-bound on hardware.** The Hamiltonian expands into many Pauli words, so analytic simulation hides a shot cost that grows fast with system size. Any portability assessment must account for this measurement overhead, which the simulator-only results do not surface.

- **QPE primitives are fault-tolerant, not NISQ.** The double-factorisation and first-quantised plane-wave algorithms require logical qubits and large non-Clifford (Toffoli / T) counts, i.e. error correction. They presuppose a fault-tolerant machine and a controlled time-evolution oracle $U = e^{-iHt}$ of substantial depth.
- **Qubit tapering is hardware-agnostic software.** Reducing qubit count via \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetries (Clifford conjugation) is a pre-processing step applicable to any device, and reduces the qubit budget before mapping to hardware (4 \rightarrow 2 in the worked HeH⁺ example).
- **PennyLane is itself a cross-vendor abstraction layer.** The whole point of the `qml.device` interface is that the same chemistry workflow can dispatch to any plugin-backed device (IBM, Rigetti, IonQ, AWS Braket, photonic backends) or a simulator. Portability of the chemistry primitives is a design goal of the library rather than a property measured on any one machine here.

2.7 Caveats

- **No quantum hardware was used.** This is a software / methods overview, so there is no measured QPU fingerprint to extract. Treat the “hardware” section above as a characterisation of the library’s targets, not of a device run.
- **Resource-estimation outputs are absent from the manuscript.** The code examples call `estimate_shots`, `DoubleFactorization`, and `FirstQuantization` and assign `gates`, `qubits`, but the numerical results are never printed in the text. I have deliberately not imported the headline gate/qubit counts from the cited references (von Burg et al., Lee et al., Su et al.) and attributed them to this paper; only the estimator inputs are reported here.
- **Access.** Full access. The arXiv PDF and the complete LaTeX source (including all `code/*.py` examples) are in the dossier; there is no paywall and no supplementary material. The manuscript contains no figures or results tables, only prose, equations, and code blocks, all of which were read in full.
- **Ezratty and vendor-notes cross-checks were skipped** by design: with no hardware substrate, gate-set, or connectivity claimed for a specific device, there is nothing device-specific to sanity-check against those references.

3 Portability matrix