

# Variational Benchmarks for Quantum Many-Body Problems

Portability dossier - benchmark

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

---

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2302.04919-variational-benchmarks-quantum-many-body-problems
Source	arXiv
Link	<a href="https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.04919">https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.04919</a>
Category	benchmark
Triaged	2026-06-07 by codex
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Capability note</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Claim	2
1.2	Vendor and machine	2
1.3	Metrics	2
1.4	Context	3
1.5	Caveats	4

# 1 Capability note

**Path note.** This dossier is triaged as a **benchmark**, but the paper is a *methodology and curated-dataset* benchmark, not a vendor hardware capability demonstration. There is no Quantum Volume, linear XEB, or measured gate fidelity here. The template’s hardware rows are therefore marked “not applicable (no hardware run)”, and the metrics section instead records the V-score figures the paper actually prints.

## 1.1 Claim

The authors introduce the **V-score**, a dimensionless variational-accuracy metric  $V\text{-score} := N \text{Var } E / (E - E_\infty)^2$ , and release an extensive curated dataset (VarBench) of classical and noiselessly-emulated quantum variational results across many strongly-correlated lattice Hamiltonians, using it to rank which ground-state problems remain hard for state-of-the-art methods and could become targets for quantum advantage.

## 1.2 Vendor and machine

No quantum hardware was run. This is a multi-institution academic collaboration (EPFL, Flatiron Institute, IBM Quantum, and many others; corresponding author G. Carleo, EPFL). Classical results were produced with established codes including ITensor, mVMC and NetKet, with some data on the Fugaku supercomputer. Parameterized-quantum-circuit (PQC) results were obtained by **noiseless classical emulation** of VQE, neglecting shot noise and decoherence. Manuscript dated 12 September 2024; arXiv 2302.04919 (first posted February 2023).

## 1.3 Metrics

Metric	Value	Source
Quantum Volume / linear XEB	not applicable (no hardware run)	—
1Q gate fidelity	not reported (no hardware)	—
2Q gate fidelity	not reported (no hardware)	—

Metric	Value	Source
Circuit depth sustained	not applicable; VQE depth $d$ swept as a free parameter in noiseless emulation	Suppl. (TFIM VQE)
Qubit count used	not applicable; PQC/VQE emulated on small systems (e.g. 10-site TFIM)	Suppl.
V-score $\leftrightarrow$ rel. error fit	$\log(\text{rel. err.}) =$ $\log(\text{V-score}) + C,$ $C = -1.80 \pm 0.08$	Fig. 2

The paper’s primary reported quantities are V-score magnitudes by regime (lower is more accurate):

Regime	V-score	Source
1D chains (DMRG)	$< 10^{-8}$	main text
Unfrustrated square TFIM / Heisenberg (OBC)	$10^{-6}$ to $10^{-4}$	main text
Frustrated 2D (pyrochlore, kagome Heisenberg)	$> 10^{-2}$	main text
2D Hubbard	order $10^{-2}$	main text
Below numerical precision (indistinguishable from exact)	$< 10^{-16}$	Fig. 3

The V-score is validated against exact ( $E_0$  from ED or numerically exact QMC) relative energy errors  $(E - E_0)/(E_\infty - E_0)$ ; the same linear fit also describes the classically-emulated PQC/VQE results.

## 1.4 Context

This is an assessment *framework*, not a single-device record, so it does not sit on the QV/XEB capability ladder used for hardware announcements. Its value for vendor evaluation is the inverse: it supplies an absolute, vendor-neutral hardness metric that works **without** classical verifiability, letting future quantum runs be scored on the same axis as classical variational methods. The paper explicitly flags

frustrated 2D spin models (kagome, pyrochlore,  $J_1$ - $J_2$ ), the 2D Hubbard model, and multi-band impurity/DMFT problems as the regimes where classical V-scores stay large ( $\gtrsim 10^{-2}$ ) and where variational quantum algorithms are therefore the natural targets. The reported PQC results are encouraging only in the small, classically-simulable, noise-free limit.

## 1.5 Caveats

- This is a formal, heavily co-authored academic manuscript with public dataset and code (Zenodo / [github.com/varbench/varbench](https://github.com/varbench/varbench)), not a vendor blog post, so peer-review concerns are low and the numbers are reproducible from open data.
- The quantum-side results carry the decisive caveat that they are **noiseless classical emulations** of VQE on small systems (no shot noise, no decoherence, ideally optimized). They demonstrate that the V-score  $\leftrightarrow$  accuracy relation holds in the quantum setting; they are **not** evidence of hardware capability or of quantum advantage.
- The V-score is an empirical accuracy metric, not a provable bound; the authors note no such universally provable metric can exist (it would solve NP-hard problems). The linear V-score-to-error correspondence is calibrated only over the Hamiltonians and methods in the dataset.