

arXiv:2304.11119

Portability dossier - benchmark

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2304.11119-candidate
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.11119
Category	benchmark
Triaged	2026-06-07 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

Contents

1	Capability note	2
1.1	Claim	2
1.2	Vendor and machine	2
1.3	Metrics	2
1.4	Context	3
1.5	Caveats	4

1 Capability note

1.1 Claim

Beyond-classical random circuit sampling (RCS) demonstrated on a 67-qubit Google Sycamore processor at 32 cycles (880 entangling gates) with an estimated XEB fidelity of 1.5×10^{-3} , an instance the authors estimate is beyond the reach of existing classical supercomputers even after accounting for noise.

1.2 Vendor and machine

Google Quantum AI and Collaborators. Superconducting transmon Sycamore processors on a 2D grid: SYC-67 (67 qubits, 32 cycles) is the headline device, with a companion SYC-70 run (70 qubits, 24 cycles). The entangler is an iSWAP-like gate (measured angles $\theta = 0.495(9)\pi$, $\phi = 0.09(1)\pi$); single-qubit gates are drawn from a discrete set $Z^p X^{1/2} Z^{-p}$. Software stack not named in the paper. Preprint posted April 2023 (this dossier source is the December 2023 revision); subsequently published in Nature (vol. 634, 2024).

1.3 Metrics

Metric	Value	Source
XEB fidelity, 67q / 32 cycles	$\approx 1 \times 10^{-3}$ (table); 1.5×10^{-3} stated in conclusion	digital error model; full-circuit XEB is classically intractable
XEB fidelity, 70q / 24 cycles	2×10^{-3}	SM Sec. C1, cost table
1Q Pauli error rate	$1.0(5) \times 10^{-3}$	randomized benchmarking ($\pi/2$ pulses)
2Q dressed Pauli error rate	$5.5(1.3) \times 10^{-3}$	parallel 2-qubit XEB
2Q intrinsic simultaneous error	$3.5(1.4) \times 10^{-3}$ (avg fidelity 99.72%)	parallel 2-qubit XEB
Readout error rate	$1.3(5) \times 10^{-2}$	random-bitstring readout

Metric	Value	Source
Circuit depth sustained	32 cycles / 880 two-qubit gates (67q); 24 cycles (70q)	main text
Qubit count used	67 (also 70)	main text
Shots collected	over 70 million bitstrings for one 32-cycle circuit; 20 instances \times 100k shots for patched XEB verification	main text
T_1, T_2 echo	not reported as scalar in main text (per-qubit distributions in SM)	SM benchmarking
Estimated classical cost (SYC-67)	$\approx 6 \times 10^4$ yr on Frontier (all RAM, no bandwidth limit); 12 yr if all secondary storage is used as working memory	cost table, SM Sec. G

1.4 Context

This extends Google’s 2019 53-qubit Sycamore demonstration, roughly doubling the circuit volume at comparable fidelity, and is a direct response to classical algorithm advances that had reduced the 2019 claim from a notional 10,000 years to about 15 hours on 512 GPUs (Pan et al. 2022). The paper’s main scientific contribution is a two-phase-transition picture (a dynamical anti-concentration transition and a noise-induced spoofability transition); the SYC-67 and SYC-70 runs are placed inside the claimed weak-noise regime, where global correlations dominate XEB and the output is argued to resist patch-wise classical spoofing, unlike contemporary boson-sampling claims.

1.5 Caveats

This is peer-reviewed work (later published in Nature), not a blog post, so the no-peer-review flag does not apply. Two reproducibility caveats remain. First, the headline fidelity is an extrapolation from the digital error model and patched-circuit / Loschmidt-echo checks, not a direct full-circuit XEB measurement, because full-circuit XEB at this scale is itself classically intractable. Second, the beyond-classical claim rests on tensor-network cost estimates with explicit, contested assumptions about memory and bandwidth: the authors' own table spans from $\sim 6 \times 10^4$ years down to 12 years for the same SYC-67 instance depending on how secondary storage is counted, and the history of this benchmark (the 2019 estimate was beaten by orders of magnitude) means such cost figures should be read as provisional lower bounds, not settled runtimes.