

arXiv:2305.14202

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2305.14202-candidate
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.14202
Category	app
Triaged	2026-06-07 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

Contents

1	Source	3
1.1	Domain classification	3
1.2	Expert persona for Julien	3
1.3	Related prior work (brief bibliography)	3
1.4	Difficulty estimate	4
2	Extraction	5
2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph)	5
2.2	Quantum hardware used	5

2.3	Computational primitive	5
2.4	Resource fingerprint	6
2.5	Assumptions and results	6
2.6	Portability flags	6
2.7	Caveats	7
3	Portability matrix	8

1 Source

Scope alert (read first). This is not a quantum-computing paper. arXiv:2305.14202 is “Fine-tuned LLMs Know More, Hallucinate Less with Few-Shot Sequence-to-Sequence Semantic Parsing over Wikidata” (Xu et al., Stanford, EMNLP 2023, listed [cs.CL]). It introduces WikiSP, a seq2seq semantic parser that fine-tunes LLaMA to translate natural-language questions into SPARQL over Wikidata, and pairs it with GPT-3 to reduce hallucination in knowledge-base question answering (KBQA). A full-text search of the bundled LaTeX source for `quantum`, `qubit`, `qaoa`, `vqe`, `hamiltonian`, `ising`, `annealing`, `superconduct`, `photonic`, `rydberg`, and `trapped ion` returns zero matches. There is no quantum hardware, no quantum algorithm, and no quantum application here. **Recommendation: reject from the portability pipeline and do not route to Julien.** The triager (`port_until_julien_parallel`) appears to have swept this in by a keyword or author-overlap false positive.

1.1 Domain classification

`other` (specify): classical natural-language processing, specifically knowledge-base question answering and semantic parsing over Wikidata. None of the pipeline’s quantum-application domains (chemistry, materials, optimisation, machine learning, finance, biology, cryptography, sensing) apply. The only tenuous bridge is “machine learning”, but that is classical supervised fine-tuning of an LLM, not quantum machine learning, so it is out of scope for this corpus.

1.2 Expert persona for Julien

Not applicable. There is no quantum-hardware fingerprint to extract, so no quantum-domain expert fits this paper. For completeness: if this were a legitimate target for some other corpus, the right reviewer would be an NLP researcher working on semantic parsing and KBQA, comfortable with SPARQL, entity linking, and instruction-tuning of open LLMs. That profile is irrelevant to the portability programme. My recommendation is to halt before Julien rather than assign an expert.

1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

These situate the paper within its actual (NLP) lineage. None are portability-relevant comparisons, because there is no quantum computational primitive to compare across vendors.

- Yih et al., “The Value of Semantic Parse Labeling for Knowledge Base Question Answering”, ACL 2016 (WebQuestionsSP, the dataset WikiWebQuestions is migrated from): <https://aclanthology.org/P16-2033/>
- Ayoola et al., “ReFinED: An Efficient Zero-shot-capable Approach to End-to-End Entity Linking”, NAACL 2022 Industry, arXiv:2207.04108 (the entity linker used): <https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.04108>

- Touvron et al., “LLaMA: Open and Efficient Foundation Language Models”, 2023, arXiv:2302.13971 (the base model fine-tuned): <https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.13971>
- Taori et al., “Stanford Alpaca: An Instruction-following LLaMA model”, 2023 (the instruction-tuning recipe extended): https://github.com/tatsu-lab/stanford_alpaca
- Xu et al., the paper itself, ACL Anthology 2023.emnlp-main.353: <https://aclanthology.org/2023.emnlp-main.353/>

1.4 Difficulty estimate

Not applicable to the portability extraction, because the paper is out of scope. On readability alone the paper is easy: it is open access (arXiv preprint, ACL Anthology PDF, and the complete LaTeX source is already in [paper-source/](#)), 14 pages, no paywall, no reliance on hidden SI. If the curator insists on a label for tracking, treat it as **low**, but the correct action is rejection, not extraction.

2 Extraction

Scope verdict (read first): out of scope, no quantum hardware fingerprint exists. arXiv:2305.14202 (Xu, Liu, Culhane, Pertseva, Wu, Semnani, Lam; Stanford, EMNLP 2023, cs.CL) is a classical natural-language-processing paper on knowledge-base question answering. It contains no quantum hardware, no quantum algorithm, and no quantum computational primitive, so there is nothing for the portability programme to fingerprint. I reached this conclusion independently before reading Romain’s sourcing note (see Caveats for the evidence), and it matches his recommendation to reject the paper from the pipeline. The template below is completed for the record, with quantum-specific rows marked “not applicable” rather than fabricated.

2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

The paper tackles knowledge-base question answering (KBQA) over Wikidata. It introduces WikiSP, a few-shot sequence-to-sequence semantic parser built by fine-tuning a LLaMA language model (using the Alpaca instruction-tuning recipe) to translate a natural-language question, together with the output of an entity linker, directly into a SPARQL query. To make the query language learnable, the authors modify SPARQL so that Wikidata property and domain identifiers (such as P915) are written as their unique string names (such as `filming_location`). When a generated query fails to return an answer from Wikidata, the system falls back to GPT-3 and labels the response as a guess, combining verifiable parsed answers with flagged language-model guesses. The paper also releases WikiWebQuestions, a benchmark migrated from the Freebase-based WebQuestionsSP, and reports 76% and 65% answer accuracy on its dev and test sets, plus a state-of-the-art result on QALD-7 Wikidata. All computation is classical (GPU language-model fine-tuning and inference); no quantum resources are involved.

2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** None. No quantum hardware is used. The compute described is classical: fine-tuning and inference of LLaMA, plus API calls to GPT-3.
- **Qubit count:** Not applicable (no quantum processor).
- **Connectivity:** Not applicable.
- **Gate set:** Not applicable.
- **Notable features leveraged:** Not applicable. The only “machine learning” here is classical supervised fine-tuning of a language model, which is not quantum machine learning.

2.3 Computational primitive

None of the listed quantum primitives (VQE, QAOA, Trotterised Hamiltonian simulation, QPE, analog evolution, amplitude estimation, QML inference, sampling) applies. The paper’s computational primitive is **classical sequence-to-sequence neural semantic parsing**

(autoregressive text generation from a fine-tuned transformer language model), with a classical knowledge-base lookup over Wikidata via SPARQL.

2.4 Resource fingerprint

No quantum resources are reported because none are used. Every row below is “not applicable (no quantum hardware)”; this is a categorical out-of-scope marker, not a “not reported” gap in an otherwise-quantum experiment.

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	not applicable (no quantum hardware)	n/a
Qubits (physical)	not applicable (no quantum hardware)	n/a
Circuit depth	not applicable (no quantum hardware)	n/a
2Q-gate count (total)	not applicable (no quantum hardware)	n/a
Measurement shots	not applicable (no quantum hardware)	n/a
Classical loop iter.	not applicable (no quantum hardware)	n/a
Wall-clock runtime	not applicable (no quantum hardware)	n/a

2.5 Assumptions and results

- **Claimed:** WikiSP establishes a strong baseline of 76% (dev) and 65% (test) answer accuracy on the new WikiWebQuestions benchmark; pairing it with GPT-3 yields useful answers for 96% of dev questions and reduces outright errors to 4%; the method beats the prior state of the art on QALD-7 Wikidata by 3.6% F1 (abstract; intro, Contributions).
- **Error bars / noise assumptions:** Not applicable in the quantum sense. There is no quantum noise model. The reported figures are classical NLP accuracy and F1 metrics; the paper does not report confidence intervals over these scores in the abstract or intro.
- **Comparison to classical baseline:** The comparisons are entirely classical (GPT-3 alone versus WikiSP, and against prior KBQA systems on QALD-7). There is no quantum versus classical comparison, because there is no quantum component.

2.6 Portability flags

None. There are no hardware-specific quantum features to flag for Brilliant’s portability analysis, because the paper uses no quantum hardware and no quantum computational primitive. There is nothing to port across vendors or substrates.

2.7 Caveats

- **Access:** Full text was available and was read directly. The complete arXiv LaTeX source is bundled in `paper-source/` (title and authors in `paper.tex`, claims in `abstract.tex` and `intro.tex`), and `paper.pdf` is present. Nothing was paywalled or unreachable, so this verdict does not rest on partial access.
- **Independent scope check:** Before relying on Romain’s note, I ran a case-insensitive search of every `.tex` source file (excluding the bibliography) for `quantum`, `qubit`, `qaoa`, `vqe`, `hamiltonian`, `ising`, `annealing`, `superconduct`, `photonic`, `rydberg`, `trapped ion`, `tensor network`, `circuit depth`, and `entangl`. The search returned zero matches (grep exit code 1). The title, abstract, and introduction confirm the subject is semantic parsing and KBQA over Wikidata.
- **Why this was swept in:** The likely cause is a keyword or author-overlap false positive during automated triage (`port_until_julien_parallel`). The word “machine learning” appears in the classical sense (fine-tuning LLaMA), which is the only tenuous bridge to the corpus, and it is not quantum machine learning.
- **Recommendation:** Reject from the portability pipeline. No vendor analysis should be built on this dossier. If a tracking label is required, treat readability/difficulty as low (open access, 14 pages, full source available), but the correct action is rejection, not portability extraction.

3 Portability matrix