

Simulating the flight gate assignment problem on a trapped ion quantum computer

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2309.09686-simulating-flight-gate-assignment-problem-trapped
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.09686
Category	app
Triaged	2026-06-07 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

Contents

1	Source	3
1.1	Domain classification	3
1.2	Expert persona for Julien	3
1.3	Related prior work (brief bibliography)	3
1.4	Difficulty estimate	4
2	Extraction	5
2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph)	5
2.2	Quantum hardware used	5

2.3	Computational primitive	5
2.4	Resource fingerprint	5
2.5	Assumptions and results	7
2.6	Portability flags	7
2.7	Caveats	8
3	Portability matrix	9

1 Source

1.1 Domain classification

optimisation (primary). The application is airport logistics, but the computational core is a quadratic assignment problem cast as a QUBO with linear constraints and solved with a variational quantum algorithm, which places it squarely in combinatorial optimisation. There is no machine-learning or chemistry content; the secondary flavour is operations research / logistics rather than a second quantum-application domain.

1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A NISQ optimisation specialist who has run VQE/QAOA-style variational solvers for QUBO and quadratic-assignment problems and knows the CVaR aggregation trick from the inside. They should have calibrated intuition about qubit-efficient ($\lceil \log_2 |G| \rceil$ per flight) encodings, EfficientSU2 ansatz depth, COBYLA convergence behaviour, and shot-budget economics (ε -tail sampling at 1000 to 10000 shots). Crucially they also need trapped-ion hardware fluency: IonQ Aria specifics (Yb hyperfine qubits, 355 nm Raman drive, Mølmer-Sørensen two-qubit gates, all-to-all connectivity, the “algorithmic qubits” metric), plus the symmetrisation-based error mitigation used here. Someone comfortable distinguishing a full closed-loop VQE run from a parameter-inference-only run will read the fingerprint quickly.

1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- Chai et al., *Towards finding an optimal flight gate assignment on a digital quantum computer*, arXiv:2302.11595 (2023). The direct predecessor; supplies the qubit-efficient encoding and CVaR-VQE method this paper runs on hardware.
- Mohammadbagherpoor et al., *Exploring airline gate-scheduling optimization using quantum computers*, arXiv:2111.09472 (2021). Same FGA application on superconducting hardware; the primary cross-vendor portability comparison.
- Stollenwerk, Lobe & Jung, *Flight gate assignment with a quantum annealer*, doi:10.1007/978-3-030-14082-3_9 (2019). Same application on the annealing model rather than gate-based hardware; a different computational paradigm for the identical problem.
- Barkoutsos et al., *Improving variational quantum optimization using CVaR*, Quantum 4, 256, doi:10.22331/q-2020-04-20-256 (2020). Origin of the CVaR cost function that is central to the method.
- Maksymov et al., *Enhancing quantum computer performance via symmetrization*, arXiv:2301.07233 (2023). The IonQ error-mitigation technique applied in the hardware runs; relevant to reading the fingerprint accurately.
- Lubinski et al., *Application-oriented performance benchmarks for quantum computing*, IEEE TQE 4, doi:10.1109/TQE.2023.3253761 (2023). Benchmarking context behind the Aria “25 algorithmic qubits” claim.

1.4 Difficulty estimate

low. The paper is a short two-column PRA-format manuscript with the full LaTeX source (`paper-source/main.tex`, `main.bbl`) present in the dossier, so there is no paywall or fetch problem. Every fingerprint-relevant quantity is stated explicitly in the main text: qubit counts (4, 6 for full VQE; 14, 16, 18 for inference), shot budgets (1000 and 10000), two ansatz layers, gate set (CNOT plus R_Y , compiled to Mølmer-Sørensen), connectivity (all-to-all), optimiser (COBYLA), ε values, and the symmetrisation mitigation. No supplementary-information PDF is needed and no exotic primitives appear, so Julien's extraction should be fast.

2 Extraction

2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

The paper solves the flight gate assignment (FGA) problem, a constrained quadratic assignment problem whose goal is to assign flights to airport gates so that total passenger transit time is minimised, on IonQ’s Aria trapped-ion quantum computer. The problem is written as a QUBO with linear constraints, then mapped to a diagonal Hamiltonian using a qubit-efficient binary encoding ($M = \lceil \log_2 |G| \rceil$ qubits per flight, $Q = M \times |F|$ qubits total) that builds the “one gate per flight” constraint directly into the Hilbert space, with a penalty term λH^O for the no-overlap constraint. They run a variational quantum eigensolver (VQE) with an **EfficientSU2** ansatz and use the conditional value at risk (CVaR) rather than the energy expectation value as the cost function, so only the low-energy tail of each measurement batch is rewarded. A full closed-loop VQE is run on hardware for small instances (4 and 6 qubits); for larger instances (14, 16, 18 qubits) only inference is done on hardware, i.e. parameters are optimised classically and the resulting state is prepared and sampled on the QPU.

2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** IonQ / Aria trapped-ion QPU.
- **Qubit count:** up to 18 qubits used (largest instance); device offers up to 25 addressable $^{171}\text{Yb}^+$ ions and was rated at “25 algorithmic qubits” as of 2023 (p. 4, Sec. results intro; refs IonQ-AQ, Lubinski2023).
- **Connectivity:** all-to-all (stated explicitly, contrasted with the fixed topology of superconducting hardware, p. 2 and p. 4).
- **Gate set:** arbitrary single-qubit gates plus Mølmer-Sørensen (MS) two-qubit gates, driven by 355-nm laser pulses on Raman transitions in the Yb ground hyperfine manifold (p. 4). The ansatz is written in terms of CNOT and $R_Y(\theta) = \exp(-i\theta Y/2)$ gates (Sec. setup); CNOTs compile to MS gates on hardware.
- **Notable features leveraged:** all-to-all connectivity (no SWAP overhead); symmetrisation-based error mitigation, in which multiple circuit variants with distinct qubit-to-ion mappings are run and the measurement statistics aggregated by component-wise averaging (p. 4, ref maksymov2023enhancing). No mid-circuit measurement, dynamic circuits, or custom pulse-level primitives are used.

2.3 Computational primitive

VQE (variational quantum eigensolver) with CVaR aggregation. For the 4- and 6-qubit instances this is a full closed-loop VQE (quantum evaluation plus classical COBYLA optimiser). For the 14-, 16-, and 18-qubit instances the quantum device performs inference only: state preparation from classically-optimised parameters followed by sampling, with no hardware-in-the-loop optimisation.

2.4 Resource fingerprint

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	4 and 6 (full VQE); 14, 16, 18 (inference). Max 18.	Sec. results; Sec. “VQE...” (4 = 2 flights/4 gates, 6 = 3 flights/4 gates); Sec. “Inference...” (14/16/18)
Qubits (physical)	Same as logical (no error-correction encoding; one ion per qubit). Device has up to 25 ions available.	Sec. results intro, p. 4
Circuit depth	not reported (numeric). Ansatz is 2-layer EfficientSU2 with linear CNOT entanglement.	Sec. setup; Fig. 1
2Q-gate count (total)	not reported. By construction the linear entangler has $Q - 1$ CNOTs per entangling block (Fig. 1); paper gives no total MS-gate count.	Fig. 1; Sec. setup
Measurement shots	1000 per iteration (full VQE); 10000 (inference runs).	Sec. “VQE...” (1000); Sec. “Inference...” (10000)
Classical loop iter.	Full VQE on hardware: $Q = 4$ stopped after 51 iterations (converged ~ 10); $Q = 6$ converged ~ 26 on QPU. Inference: classical optimisation capped at $50 \times Q$; no hardware loop.	Sec. results (51; “after 26 iterations”); Sec. “Inference...” ($50 \times Q$)
Wall-clock runtime	not reported	(n/a)

For any row where the paper does not report the number, the Value column says “not reported”. The 2Q-gate structure note is a property of the stated ansatz (Fig. 1), not an invented hardware measurement.

2.5 Assumptions and results

- **Claimed:** current trapped-ion hardware obtains good FGA solutions with high probability. Full VQE on the QPU produces a dominant ground-state component in the final state: roughly 40% for $Q = 4$ and above 60% for $Q = 6$ (vs the $\varepsilon = 0.5$ expectation of $\sim 50\%$). Inference runs for $Q = 14, 16, 18$ reproduce the ideal-simulation basis-state distributions. The $Q = 18$ instance corresponds to 36 binary decision variables in the raw QUBO, a size where quadratic assignment problems are already hard to solve exactly.
- **Error bars / noise:** error mitigation via symmetrisation (qubit-to-ion remapping plus component-wise averaging). CVaR tail fraction $\varepsilon = 0.5$ for full VQE and $\varepsilon = 0.25$ for inference. Estimated upper bounds on the state fidelity between hardware and ideal solution are 0.8806 ($Q = 14$), 0.8648 ($Q = 16$), and 0.8448 ($Q = 18$); these are upper bounds derived from measured probabilities via $F \approx (\sum_i \sqrt{p_i^{\text{qc}}} \sqrt{p_i^{\text{id}}})^2$, not true fidelities. The authors note a counter-intuitive finding that for $Q = 6$ hardware/shot noise appears to speed convergence relative to noise-free classical simulation.
- **Comparison to classical baseline:** the comparison is to classical *simulation of the same VQE*, namely an ideal infinite-shot statevector simulation and a noisy 1000-shot simulation, not to a classical combinatorial-optimisation solver for the FGA/QAP itself. No runtime or solution-quality comparison against a classical QAP solver is reported, and there is no claim of quantum advantage.

2.6 Portability flags

- **All-to-all connectivity is touted but lightly used by this ansatz.** The paper frames trapped-ion all-to-all connectivity as a modality advantage over fixed-topology superconducting hardware, yet the **EfficientSU2** ansatz it runs uses a *linear* (nearest-neighbour) CNOT entangler (Fig. 1). So the demonstrated circuits would port to linear / heavy-hex topologies with little or no SWAP overhead. The connectivity advantage is not what carries these specific results.
- **Native gate is Mølmer-Sørensen; logical gates are CNOT + R_Y .** CNOT and R_Y are universal-standard and decompose cleanly to any vendor’s native 2Q gate (CZ, $\sqrt{\text{iSWAP}}$, etc.). No exotic or vendor-locked gate primitive is required. Low decomposition risk.
- **Symmetrisation error mitigation depends on free qubit-to-ion relabelling.** The mitigation runs circuit variants under distinct qubit-to-ion mappings (p. 4). This is cheap on all-to-all trapped-ion hardware where any logical qubit can sit on any ion; on fixed-topology hardware an equivalent qubit-permutation mitigation is constrained by the coupling map and may need extra SWAPs. This is the most hardware-specific ingredient.
- **The large-instance (14/16/18-qubit) results are inference-only.** For these sizes the hardware only prepares a classically-optimised state and samples it; there is no hardware-in-the-loop optimisation. Portability of the *closed-loop* VQE result is therefore demonstrated only up to 6 qubits. Any cross-vendor claim at 14+ qubits inherits this caveat: it tests state preparation + sampling fidelity, not the full variational loop.
- **No mid-circuit measurement, dynamic circuits, or pulse-level control.** Standard sampled VQE; broadly portable to any gate-based NISQ device that supports R_Y , CNOT, and computational-basis readout.

2.7 Caveats

None on access. The full arXiv LaTeX source (`paper-source/main.tex`, `paper-source/main.bbl`) and the compiled PDF are both present in the dossier; the paper is a short two-column PRA-format manuscript with no supplementary information, and every fingerprint-relevant quantity is stated in the main text. The only “not reported” rows (circuit depth, total 2Q-gate count, wall-clock runtime) reflect genuine omissions in the paper, not access limits. The Ezratty 2025 index returned no clean IonQ-Aria entry on lookup, so the hardware fingerprint rests on the paper plus the local trapped-ion substrate note (`vendor-notes/trapped_ion_qubits.tex`), which corroborates the $^{171}\text{Yb}^+$ / linear-chain / shared-motional-mode picture.

3 Portability matrix