

Experimental quantum speed-up in reinforcement learning agents

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2312.09867-experimental-quantum-speed-up-reinforcement-learning
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.09867
Category	app
Triaged	2026-06-07 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

Contents

1	Source	3
1.1	Domain classification	3
1.2	Expert persona for Julien	3
1.3	Related prior work (brief bibliography)	3
1.4	Difficulty estimate	4
2	Extraction	5
2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph)	5
2.2	Quantum hardware used	5

2.3	Computational primitive	6
2.4	Resource fingerprint	6
2.5	Assumptions and results	9
2.6	Portability flags	9
2.7	Caveats	10
3	Portability matrix	11

1 Source

Source mismatch (blocker for Julien). The metadata records the title “Experimental quantum speed-up in reinforcement learning agents” against arXiv ID 2312.09867, and `bootstrap.py` fetched that ID into `paper.html` and `paper-source/`. Those files are a **different paper**: “A case study of SMEFT $\mathcal{O}(1/\Lambda^4)$ effects in diboson processes: $pp \rightarrow W^\pm(\ell^\pm\nu)\gamma$ ” (Adam Martin, JHEP 05 (2024) 223), a particle-physics work unrelated to reinforcement learning. The correct identifier for the titled paper is arXiv 2103.06294 (Saggio et al., *Nature* 591, 229-233 (2021), DOI 10.1038/s41586-021-03242-7). The wrong ID has also propagated into `vendor-app/edges.json` (the edge near line 2623 cites the same 2312.09867 URL and attributes the work to ORCA Computing time-bin photonics, which does not match the Saggio integrated-nanophotonic experiment; flagging for curators, adjudication is not my remit).

Recommendation: re-bootstrap this dossier against arXiv 2103.06294 before Julien runs. The intended paper is open access (arXiv PDF, *Nature*, and PubMed Central PMC7612051 all carry the full text plus the supplementary information), so there is no paywall obstacle once the right source is attached. The classification, persona, and bibliography below are for the intended paper (2103.06294), produced from the public record because the locally attached source is the wrong paper.

1.1 Domain classification

`machine learning` (quantum reinforcement learning / quantum-enhanced learning agents). Secondary flavour: quantum communication / networking. The advantage arises from a coherent quantum channel between agent and environment, and the authors frame the integrated photonic platform as a building block for future large-scale quantum communication networks.

1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A quantum-ML experimentalist who is comfortable with projective simulation and amplitude-amplification-based deliberation, and who also reads integrated-photonics hardware. Should have calibrated intuition about telecom-wavelength single-photon sources, reconfigurable interferometer meshes, loss and feedback-latency budgets, and the hybrid quantum/classical round structure of the protocol. Crucially, must locate the genuine quantum resource (a Grover-like amplification of the agent-environment interaction that yields a quadratic improvement in learning efficiency) and separate it from gate-count framing: the “speed-up” here is in interaction and sample efficiency, not circuit depth.

1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- arXiv:2103.06294 - the intended paper. Saggio et al., *Nature* 591, 229-233 (2021), DOI 10.1038/s41586-021-03242-7.
- arXiv:1401.4997 - Paparo, Dunjko, Makmal, Martin-Delgado, Briegel, “Quantum speedup for active learning agents”, *Phys. Rev. X* 4, 031002 (2014). The theory behind the quadratic deliberation speed-up the experiment realises.

- DOI [10.1038/srep00400](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00400) (arXiv:[1104.3787](https://arxiv.org/abs/1104.3787)) - Briegel & De las Cuevas, “Projective simulation for artificial intelligence”, *Sci. Rep.* 2, 400 (2012). The agent model the experiment implements.
- arXiv:[1709.01366](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.01366) - Sriarunothai et al., “Speeding-up the decision making of a learning agent using an ion trap quantum processor”, *Quantum Sci. Technol.* 4, 015014 (2019), DOI [10.1088/2058-9565/aaef5e](https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/aaef5e). The same Grover-like projective-simulation primitive on a trapped-ion platform: the primary cross-vendor portability comparison.
- arXiv:[2404.12729](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.12729) - “Demonstration of quantum projective simulation on a single-photon-based quantum computer” (2024). The same primitive on a gate-model single-photon platform: a recent within-modality portability point against the integrated-feedback photonics used here.
- arXiv:[1709.02779](https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.02779) - Dunjko & Briegel, “Machine learning & artificial intelligence in the quantum domain”, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 81, 074001 (2018), DOI [10.1088/1361-6633/aab406](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aab406). Review situating learning-agent speed-ups within the wider QML landscape.

1.4 Difficulty estimate

high. Two reasons. First and overriding: the correct source is not attached, so Julien is blocked until the dossier is re-bootstrapped against arXiv [2103.06294](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.06294) (see the callout above). Second, on intrinsic difficulty the paper sits at medium-to-high: the *Nature* main text is short, but the hardware fingerprint (photonic processor architecture, photon source rates, loss budget, feedback timing, amplitude-amplification success probabilities) lives almost entirely in the supplementary information, and the primitive (integrated photonics combined with amplitude amplification inside a hybrid agent-environment protocol) is exotic enough to demand careful reading. Net assessment: **high**.

2 Extraction

Source-provenance warning (read first). The files attached to this dossier (`paper.html`, `paper-source/`) are the **wrong paper**: they are the LaTeX bundle `geosmeft_wgamma.tex`, “A case study of SMEFT $\mathcal{O}(1/\Lambda^4)$ effects in diboson processes” (Adam Martin, JHEP 05 (2024) 223, particle physics), fetched from the mis-recorded arXiv ID 2312.09867. The titled paper is Saggio et al., *Nature* **591**, 229-233 (2021), arXiv 2103.06294, DOI 10.1038/s41586-021-03242-7. Romain flagged this in `dossier.md` and recommended re-bootstrapping. Because the intended paper is open access, I extracted the fingerprint below directly from the public record (arXiv abstract, the *Nature* author manuscript on PubMed Central PMC7612051, and the ar5iv HTML rendering of arXiv 2103.06294) rather than from the wrong local bundle. Every number is sourced to those open-access copies; see Caveats for what that means for verifiability.

2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

The paper reports the first experimental demonstration that a reinforcement learning (RL) agent can *learn faster* (shorter learning time, not merely faster single-step decisions) when it shares a coherent quantum communication channel with its environment. The agent follows the projective-simulation model and deliberates using amplitude amplification (a Grover-like reflection): a single amplification step raises the probability of selecting a rewarded action sequence from $\sin^2(\xi)$ to $\sin^2(3\xi)$. The agent is “hybrid”, alternating quantum epochs (over the quantum channel) with classical epochs, which lets the experimenters both verify the gain and control when to stop amplifying. The protocol runs on a compact, fully tunable silicon-on-insulator (SOI) integrated nanophotonic processor (the MIT Quantum Photonics Laboratory chip) interfaced with telecom-wavelength single photons and equipped with a fast active feedback loop. The measured learning time falls from $\langle T \rangle_C = 270$ epochs (classical) to $\langle T \rangle_Q = 100$ epochs (combined quantum/classical), a 63% reduction, realising the theoretically predicted quadratic improvement in interaction efficiency for the studied learning task.

2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** MIT Quantum Photonics Laboratory programmable silicon-on-insulator (SOI) nanophotonic processor (the Harris/Englund-lineage universal-interferometer chip; co-authors N. C. Harris, M. Hochberg, D. Englund). Not a named commercial product. (Methods II; author list.)
- **Qubit count:** No matter qubits. A single telecom photon is propagated through the mesh. Information is spatially (path) encoded; the agent register is a photonic qubit $|0\rangle_A = (1, 0)^T$, $|1\rangle_A = (0, 1)^T$, and the full protocol uses a four-level system in which “each level is a waveguide” (4 of the chip’s 26 waveguides). Chip resources available: 26 waveguides forming 88 Mach-Zehnder interferometers (MZIs); the active sub-circuit uses only a small subset (exact MZI count used: not reported). (Experimental Implementation; Methods II.)
- **Connectivity:** Fully programmable linear-optical mesh. Each MZI is a tunable $U(2)$ beam-splitter on two adjacent spatial modes; cascaded, the mesh realises an arbitrary $U(N)$ on the chip’s spatial modes (Reck/Clements universality), so mode mixing is

effectively all-to-all within one linear-optical unitary. Encoding is spatial-path (not time-bin). (Methods II; grounded against vendor-notes/reconfigurable_beam_splitters.tex.)

- **Gate set:** Native primitive is the reconfigurable beam-splitter (tunable MZI) plus single-mode phase shift, i.e. a software-defined $U(N)$ on optical modes. Phase control is thermo-optic: precision better than $250 \mu\text{rad}$, phase-shifter bandwidth $\approx 130 \text{ kHz}$. There are no two-qubit entangling gates (single-photon linear optics). (Methods II.)
- **Notable features leveraged:** fast active feedback mechanism that reconfigures the chip’s phases in real time from detection outcomes (the agent’s policy update); telecom-wavelength (1580 nm) operation enabling future network integration; superconducting-nanowire single-photon detectors (SNSPDs) with efficiency up to $\sim 90\%$; a programmable interferometer used to implement the amplitude-amplification reflection. (Abstract; Methods II.)

2.3 Computational primitive

Amplitude amplification (Grover-like) used as the deliberation step of a **projective-simulation reinforcement-learning agent**. One amplification step (one query plus one reflection) is performed per quantum epoch; it maps the winning amplitude $\sin(\xi) \rightarrow \sin(3\xi)$, i.e. the structure of a single Grover iteration. This is *not* a VQE/QAOA/QPE/Trotter primitive and is not a circuit-depth speed-up; the advantage is in interaction/sample efficiency (learning time). (Quantum-Enhanced Reinforcement Learning; Methods I.2.)

2.4 Resource fingerprint

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	1 photonic (path/dual-rail) agent qubit; protocol realised on a 4-level (ququart) spatial-mode encoding	Experimental Implementation (“each level is a waveguide”; $ \psi\rangle_A = \cos \xi 0\rangle_A + \sin \xi 1\rangle_A$)

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (physical)	Single telecom photon through the mesh; 4 of 26 waveguides used; 88 MZIs available on chip (number of MZIs actually used: not reported)	Experimental Implementation; Methods II
Circuit depth	1 amplitude-amplification (Grover) iteration per quantum epoch; no gate-depth figure reported	Quantum-Enhanced RL; Methods I.2
2Q-gate count (total)	Not applicable; single-photon linear-optical unitary, no two-qubit entangling gates	Methods II

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Measurement shots	One heralded single-photon detection per epoch (coincidence window 1.3 ns); per-agent epoch count = learning time (~ 100 – 270 epochs); total shot count not reported	Methods II; Results
Classical loop iter.	Learning time $\langle T \rangle_C = 270$ (classical), $\langle T \rangle_Q = 100$ (combined); one classical policy update per epoch; update rate ~ 1 Hz	Results; Methods II
Wall-clock runtime	Not reported (per-epoch update rate ~ 1 Hz, reducible toward the ~ 130 kHz phase-shifter bandwidth)	Methods II

Additional reported numbers: pump laser central wavelength 789.5 nm (shifted to 789.75 nm to calibrate output to 1580 nm), type-II SPDC in a 30 mm periodically-poled KTP crystal (poling period $46.15 \mu\text{m}$, 25°C) inside a Sagnac loop, pump power $\approx 100 \text{ mW}$, signal photon at 1580 nm into the processor and heralding photon at 1579 nm; chip footprint $4.9 \times 2.4 \text{ mm}$, waveguide pitch $25.4 \mu\text{m}$, bend radius $< 15 \mu\text{m}$; total input-output loss $\approx 7 \text{ dB}$; SNSPD detection efficiency up to $\sim 90\%$, dark-count rate $\sim 100 \text{ c.p.s.}$, timing jitter hundreds of ps, reset time $< 100 \text{ ns}$; classical-test circuit visibility > 0.99 . (All Methods II unless noted.)

2.5 Assumptions and results

- **Claim.** Sharing a coherent quantum channel with the environment reduces an RL agent’s learning time. For the studied “deterministic strictly epochal” (DSE) task (one rewarded action sequence out of 100, initial winning probability $\varepsilon = \sin^2 \xi = 0.01$), the measured learning time to reach target winning probability $P_L = 0.37$ fell from $\langle T \rangle_C = 270$ to $\langle T \rangle_Q = 100$ epochs, a **63% reduction**, matching theory ($T_C^{\text{theory}} = 293$, $T_Q^{\text{theory}} = 97$). The asymptotic relation is $\langle T(J, k) \rangle_Q \approx \langle T(J) \rangle_C / (4k)$ for k coherent interactions per deliberation, giving a **quadratic** improvement when the number of coherent agent-environment interactions scales with problem size. (Results; Methods I.3-I.4; Quantum-Enhanced RL.)
- **Error bars / noise assumptions.** Experimental curves come from $n = 165$ independent agents; theory curves use $n = 10,000$ simulated agents. Figure 4 shows shaded per-point error regions, but the paper does not tabulate the numeric error bars. Imperfections are attributed to optical loss ($\approx 7 \text{ dB}$), finite circuit visibility, and detector noise; the policy update is $h_w \rightarrow h_w + 2$ on reward (projective-simulation $h \rightarrow h + \lambda r$, $\lambda = 2$), giving $\varepsilon_j = (1 + 2j)/(100 + 2j)$ after j rewards. (Fig. 4 caption; Methods I-II.)
- **Classical baseline.** The classical baseline is intrinsic to the design: the same agent run over a purely classical channel ($\langle T \rangle_C$), against which the combined quantum/classical strategy ($\langle T \rangle_Q$) is measured on the same chip. Agents switch off amplification at their optimal point ($\varepsilon = 0.396$) and continue classically. (Results; Fig. 4(d).)

2.6 Portability flags

- **Speed-up is interaction/sample efficiency, not circuit depth.** The advertised advantage is fewer learning epochs (quadratic in interaction count), realised by one Grover-like reflection per epoch. Any portability comparison must measure *learning time / interaction efficiency*, not gate count or circuit depth; a depth-based portability metric will misread this paper entirely.
- **Requires a coherent quantum channel between agent and environment.** The resource is a coherent agent-environment interaction (an oracle reflection applied to a flying qubit), not a static on-chip circuit. Platforms without a way to coherently couple agent and environment registers across a channel cannot reproduce the protocol’s premise.
- **Requires fast active feedback / reconfiguration during the protocol.** The chip’s phases are updated in real time from detection outcomes (here at $\sim 1 \text{ Hz}$, bounded by $\sim 130 \text{ kHz}$ thermo-optic bandwidth). Portability to faster or feed-forward-limited platforms depends on reconfiguration speed relative to the photon/interaction rate.
- **Spatial-mode (path-encoded) integrated photonics, not time-bin.** The device is an SOI MZI mesh with path encoding. This is materially different from time-bin loop architectures (e.g. ORCA) and from free-space LOQC; the edges.json attribution to

ORCA time-bin photonics noted by Romain does not match this hardware. Mode mixing is effectively all-to-all within one $U(N)$, which gate-model devices with fixed (e.g. heavy-hex) connectivity do not natively provide.

- **Native primitive is the tunable beam-splitter ($U(N)$ mesh), not a digital gate set.** The amplification reflection is compiled into a programmed linear-optical unitary. Gate-model platforms must decompose the reflection into their native two-qubit gate set; the cross-platform comparators (trapped-ion realisation arXiv:1709.01366; single-photon gate-model arXiv:2404.12729) show the same projective-simulation primitive is portable in principle but with very different resource costs.
- **Telecom-wavelength, heralded single photons via SPDC.** Performance is tied to single-photon source quality (indistinguishability/heralding) and ≈ 7 dB end-to-end loss; loss and source brightness, not mesh calibration, dominate the achievable fidelity (see `vendor-notes/reconfigurable_beam_splitters.tex`, Caveats).

2.7 Caveats

- **Wrong source attached; extracted from open-access web copies.** As detailed in the warning at the top, the local `paper.html` and `paper-source/` are an unrelated SMEFT particle-physics paper. I did **not** extract any number from the local bundle. The fingerprint above was taken from: the arXiv abstract page for 2103.06294; the *Nature* accepted-manuscript full text on PubMed Central PMC7612051 (which includes the Methods, where the hardware/source numbers live); and the ar5iv HTML rendering of 2103.06294 (for verbatim equations and agent counts). The dossier should still be re-bootstrapped against 2103.06294 so a curator can confirm against the primary PDF.
- **No verbatim TeX source.** Because the attached `paper-source/` is the wrong paper, equations here were transcribed from the HTML/PMC renderings rather than copied from the arXiv TeX of the correct paper. They have been kept in LaTeX math mode, but a curator re-bootstrapping the dossier should re-verify $\sin^2(3\xi)$, the ε_j update, and the $\langle T(J, k) \rangle_Q \approx \langle T(J) \rangle_C / (4k)$ relation against the primary source.
- **Figure-level numerics not fully tabulated in the open-access text.** Per-point error bars (Fig. 4) and any photon pair-generation rate / per-epoch photon count are not stated numerically in the Methods I could read; these are marked “not reported” above rather than estimated.
- **Supplementary Information.** The *Nature* paper has a Supplementary Information file; the PMC author manuscript carries the Methods but I could not confirm I had every SI table. Any SI-only resource numbers beyond those listed should be checked once the correct source is attached locally.

3 Portability matrix