

Performant near-term quantum combinatorial optimization

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2404.16135-performant-near-term-quantum-combinatorial-optimization
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.16135
Category	app
Triaged	2026-06-07 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

Contents

1	Source	3
1.1	Domain classification	3
1.2	Expert persona for Julien	3
1.3	Related prior work (brief bibliography)	3
1.4	Difficulty estimate	4
2	Extraction	5
2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph)	5
2.2	Quantum hardware used	5

2.3	Computational primitive	5
2.4	Resource fingerprint	6
2.5	Assumptions and results	7
2.6	Portability flags	7
2.7	Caveats	8
3	Portability matrix	9

1 Source

1.1 Domain classification

optimisation (primary). The paper is squarely a combinatorial-optimisation study: a variational quantum algorithm for QUBO problems, benchmarked on weighted MAXCUT across 3-regular, Newman-Watts-Strogatz small-world, and Sherrington-Kirkpatrick graph families. There is no material secondary domain. Note that the optimiser machinery is borrowed from quantum simulation (a modified variational quantum imaginary-time evolution, VAR-IT), and the motivating applications named in the introduction are finance, logistics, and operations research, but the contribution and all results are optimisation.

1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A NISQ trapped-ion variational-optimisation specialist. They should be fluent in QAOA and imaginary-time-based variational solvers for MAXCUT/QUBO, with calibrated intuition for two-qubit-gate budgets, all-to-all ion connectivity, and the cost of parameter-shift gradients (here $2N_p$ circuits for the G matrix plus one for D per imaginary-time step). Critically, they need working knowledge of the IonQ Aria and Forte devices: Yb hyperfine qubits, 355 nm Raman-driven Mølmer-Sørensen $\exp(-i\theta ZZ)$ native gates, the “algorithmic qubits” metric (25 for Aria, 35 for Forte), and symmetrisation-based error mitigation. They should be able to read the no-error-mitigation, 100-shot, 60-gate-truncated-ansatz hardware claims sceptically and separate the algorithmic novelty (the ZY -rotation ansatz and sigmoidal spectral transform) from the device fingerprint Julien must extract.

1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- Farhi, Goldstone, Gutmann, *A Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm* (2014), arXiv:1411.4028. The QAOA baseline primitive this work is benchmarked against.
- Dupont, Sundar, *Extending relax-and-round combinatorial optimization solvers with quantum correlations*, Phys. Rev. A **109**, 012429 (2024), DOI:10.1103/PhysRevA.109.012429. The direct relaxed-rounding and $p = 8$ QAOA comparison the authors quote; same MAXCUT families, hybrid classical-quantum.
- Xu et al., *Benchmarking hybrid digitized-counterdiabatic quantum optimization*, Phys. Rev. Res. **6**, 013147 (2024), DOI:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.013147. The counterdiabatic-ansatz lineage (Xi Chen group) the ZY -rotation ansatz is built on; useful for the same primitive on superconducting hardware.
- McArdle et al., *Variational ansatz-based quantum simulation of imaginary time evolution*, npj Quantum Information **5**, 75 (2019), DOI:10.1038/s41534-019-0187-2. The McLachlan-principle VAR-IT method the paper modifies to cut circuit count.
- Lubinski et al., *Application-Oriented Performance Benchmarks for Quantum Computing*, IEEE Trans. Quantum Eng. **4**, 1-32 (2023), arXiv:2110.03137, DOI:10.1109/TQE.2023.3253761. Cross-vendor application-benchmark framework; portability-relevant context for the IonQ algorithmic-qubit claims.

- Lucas, *Ising formulations of many NP problems*, Front. Phys. **2:5** (2014), DOI:10.3389/fphy.2014.00005. The QUBO-to-Ising mapping underpinning the problem encoding.

1.4 Difficulty estimate

medium. The full source is open (arXiv HTML plus the LaTeX source and .bbl are in `paper-source/`), so there is no paywall and no missing material. The hardware fingerprint is concentrated and clearly stated in the experimental section: device names, qubit counts, native gate type, shot count (100), circuits per iteration (121), and the 60-gate ansatz truncation are all explicit. What pushes this above **low** is the algorithmic novelty: Julien must read the modified VAR-IT derivation, the sigmoidal spectral transform, and the adaptive rotation-truncation scheme, and consult the supplemental sections (Ansatz, Problem types, Relaxed Rounding, Further Numerical Results) for the vertex-ordering convention and the size-scaling claims, to be confident the device fingerprint is read in the right algorithmic context.

2 Extraction

2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

The authors (Oak Ridge National Laboratory and IonQ) present a variational quantum algorithm for combinatorial optimisation (QUBO problems, benchmarked on weighted MAXCUT) that aims for high solution quality at shallow circuit depth. The ansatz is built from counterdiabatic-inspired ZY rotations, one for every unique pair of vertices, applied to a $|+\rangle^{\otimes N}$ initial state. Parameters are updated not by a generic classical optimiser but by a modified variational quantum imaginary-time evolution (VAR-IT) scheme that the authors re-derive to need far fewer circuits per step. Two further tricks improve convergence: a sigmoidal transform of the cost Hamiltonian spectrum, and adaptive pruning of near-zero rotations. They validate the method in classical simulation (up to 20 qubits) and then run the full hybrid optimisation loop entirely on IonQ Aria and Forte trapped-ion hardware, solving MAXCUT instances of 3-regular, Newman-Watts-Strogatz, and Sherrington-Kirkpatrick graphs with up to 32 qubits using a circuit of only 60 native two-qubit gates and 100 shots.

2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** IonQ Aria and IonQ Forte (trapped-ion QPUs).
- **Qubit count:** Aria up to 25 addressable $^{171}\text{Yb}^+$ ions; Forte 36 addressable $^{171}\text{Yb}^+$ ions. Problem sizes actually run: 12, 16, 24 qubits on Aria and 28, 32 qubits on Forte (3-regular), plus 16-qubit NWS and SK on Aria. Quoted device metric: 25 “algorithmic qubits” for Aria, 35 for Forte.
- **Connectivity:** All-to-all within the single linear ion chain (collective motional modes mediate the interaction). Confirmed against `vendor-notes/trapped_ion_qubits.tex`.
- **Gate set:** Arbitrary single-qubit rotations plus a Mølmer-Sørensen two-qubit entangler, both driven by mode-locked 355 nm Raman laser pulses. The paper writes the native two-qubit gate as $\exp(-i\theta ZZ)$; each ZY rotation in the ansatz maps to one such two-qubit gate (or two CNOTs on a CNOT-based device). Natively the Mølmer-Sørensen interaction is an XX -type entangler, locally equivalent to the ZZ form by single-qubit basis change.
- **Notable features leveraged:** Native $\exp(-i\theta ZZ)$ two-qubit rotation (halves the two-qubit gate count relative to a CNOT decomposition); all-to-all connectivity (the ansatz couples arbitrary vertex pairs); error mitigation by symmetrisation, i.e. running circuit variants with distinct qubit-to-ion mappings and aggregating measurement statistics by component-wise averaging. No mid-circuit measurement, dynamic circuits, or pulse-level customisation are required.

2.3 Computational primitive

Other: **variational quantum imaginary-time evolution (VAR-IT)** applied to a counterdiabatic-inspired ZY -rotation ansatz. It is a variational eigensolver in spirit (prepare a parameterised state, measure, update parameters in a hybrid loop), but parameter updates

follow a McLachlan-free imaginary-time equation $G \cdot \dot{\theta} = D$ solved each step, rather than classical energy minimisation. It is explicitly benchmarked against QAOA and against an ADAM-optimised version of the same ansatz; it is not QAOA.

2.4 Resource fingerprint

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	Up to 32 (hardware); 12/16/24 on Aria, 28/32 on Forte; up to 20 in simulation; convergence study at 16	Abstract; Results “Experimental Results” para 2 (graph sizes); Conclusion (sims “up to 20 qubits”)
Qubits (physical)	Same as logical, no QEC (NISQ). Aria 25 addressable ions, Forte 36 addressable ions	Results “Experimental Results” para 1
Circuit depth	Linear-depth ansatz; hardware runs truncated to 60 native two-qubit gates regardless of N . Adaptive-pruning study: equivalent to 2-3 QAOA layers for 3-regular graphs. Explicit gate-layer depth not reported	Results “Variational Ansatz”; “Numerical Results” (Fig. 4 adaptive); “Experimental Results” para 2-3
2Q-gate count (total)	60 native two-qubit ZY gates per circuit (hardware). Full untruncated ansatz: $N_p = N(N - 1)/2$ ZZ rotations, or $2N_p = N(N - 1)$ CNOTs	Results “Experimental Results” para 2-3; “Variational Ansatz” (gate-count formula)
Measurement shots	100 shots per circuit evaluation	Results “Experimental Results” para 3; Abstract

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Classical loop iter.	Converges within ~ 100 iterations on hardware; 121 circuit evaluations per imaginary-time step (120 for G via parameter shift + 1 for D); iterations scale \sim linearly with problem size	Results “Experimental Results” para 3; “Numerical Results”; SI “Further Numerical Results”
Wall-clock runtime	Not reported (only qualitative: 32-qubit run “terminated early due to time constraints”; truncation chosen partly for “total QPU execution time”)	Results “Experimental Results” para 2, final para

2.5 Assumptions and results

- **Claim.** A linear-depth ZY -rotation ansatz trained by VAR-IT prepares optimal MAXCUT solutions. In simulation it consistently converges to the optimum (unlike ADAM) and beats $p = 8$ QAOA. On IonQ hardware, with a 60-gate truncated ansatz and 100 shots, it finds optimal solutions for 3-regular graphs up to 24 qubits with fidelity $F \geq 0.5$ on Aria, and up to 32 qubits on Forte (lower fidelity). Optimal-solution probability is $\gtrsim 0.5$ for 24 or fewer qubits, 0.19 at 28 qubits, and the 32-qubit instance still sampled the optimal solution before early termination.
- **Error bars / noise.** Simulation curves are averaged over 100 random instances per graph type and size. Entanglement entropy bands are the standard error of the mean; the maximum-entropy volume-law fit gives $S(N) = aN + b$ with $a = 0.181 \pm 0.004$ and $b = 0.35 \pm 0.05$. The hardware results are presented as single representative instances per size/type, not as averages, and carry no quoted statistical error bar.
- **Classical baseline.** Compared against $p = 8$ QAOA (data from Dupont and Sundar, Phys. Rev. A 109, 012429) and an ADAM-optimised version of the same ansatz, both of which VAR-IT outperforms; the relaxed-rounding post-processing of the same reference is also applied for comparison. There is no direct comparison to a state-of-the-art classical solver (e.g. Goemans-Williamson, Gurobi, or simulated annealing) at the hardware sizes, so “performant” is established relative to other quantum methods, not to classical optimisers.

2.6 Portability flags

Features the paper leans on that matter for Brillant’s portability analysis:

- **Native $\exp(-i\theta ZZ)$ two-qubit rotation.** Each ZY ansatz rotation is one native IonQ two-qubit gate. On a CNOT-native device each ZY rotation needs two CNOTs plus single-qubit gates, doubling the entangling-gate count (the authors state this explicitly: $2N_p$ CNOTs vs N_p arbitrary ZZ rotations).
- **All-to-all connectivity.** The ansatz applies ZY rotations between arbitrary vertex pairs, and the 60-gate hardware truncation selects pairs freely. On limited-connectivity hardware (heavy-hex superconducting, fixed 2D lattices) arbitrary-pair coupling requires SWAP networks, inflating depth and two-qubit count. The all-to-all assumption is structural to both the full ansatz ($N(N-1)/2$ pairs) and the freely-chosen truncated subset.
- **Free qubit-to-ion remapping for error mitigation.** The symmetrisation mitigation relies on running the same logical circuit under multiple distinct qubit-to-ion mappings. This is cheap on a reconfigurable ion chain; on a fixed-layout device the equivalent (symmetrising over qubit assignments) is constrained by connectivity and adds compilation cost.
- **Favourable for portability:** no mid-circuit measurement, no dynamic circuits, no analog/pulse-level primitive; measurements are standard computational-basis readout; the method is reported robust at only 100 shots. The entangler is a generic two-qubit rotation, so the algorithm is not tied to a non-digital mechanism (no Rydberg blockade or analog evolution).

2.7 Caveats

- **Open access, full source available.** The arXiv HTML, the LaTeX source (`main_natcom.tex`), and the `.bbl` are all present in `paper-source/`. No section was unreachable; no paywall.
- **Internal inconsistency on error mitigation (flagged).** The abstract and conclusion claim the hardware results were obtained “without any error mitigation or post-processing”, yet the Methods text states that “error mitigation via symmetrization is used” with distinct qubit-to-ion mappings and component-wise averaging of measurement statistics. The symmetrisation step is a form of error mitigation. The “no error mitigation” framing should be read with this caveat: a debiasing/symmetrisation layer was in fact applied, even if conventional readout-error or zero-noise-extrapolation mitigation was not.
- **Hardware results are single instances.** Hardware figures show individual representative graph instances per size/type, not instance-averaged statistics; the strong instance-averaged convergence claims rest on the classical simulations (sizes up to 20), not on the hardware runs.
- **No wall-clock or total-shot budget reported.** Runtime is described only qualitatively (the 60-gate truncation and the early termination of the 32-qubit run were both driven by unquoted QPU time limits), so the true end-to-end cost of the on-device optimisation loop cannot be reconstructed from the paper.

3 Portability matrix