

# Digital quantum magnetism at the frontier of classical simulations

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

---

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2503.20870-digital-quantum-magnetism-at-frontier-classical
Source	arXiv
Link	<a href="https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.20870">https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.20870</a>
Category	app
Triaged	2026-06-07 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Domain classification . . . . .	3
1.2	Expert persona for Julien . . . . .	3
1.3	Related prior work (brief bibliography) . . . . .	3
1.4	Difficulty estimate . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Extraction</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph) . . . . .	5
2.2	Quantum hardware used . . . . .	5

2.3	Computational primitive . . . . .	6
2.4	Resource fingerprint . . . . .	6
2.5	Assumptions and results . . . . .	8
2.6	Portability flags . . . . .	8
2.7	Caveats . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Portability matrix</b>	<b>10</b>

# 1 Source

## 1.1 Domain classification

**Materials** (condensed-matter quantum many-body physics). The paper is a digital quantum simulation of non-equilibrium dynamics of the 2D transverse-field Ising model on Quantinuum’s H2 trapped-ion machine: Floquet prethermalization, thermalization, emergent hydrodynamic transport (diffusion constant  $\mathcal{D} = 0.38(5)$ ), and an emergent quantum-dimer / lattice-gauge description of a frustrated triangular-lattice antiferromagnet. Our taxonomy has no dedicated “quantum simulation / condensed matter” bucket; materials is the closest fit, with the caveat that the target is generic spin physics rather than a specific compound. Secondary flavour: a large fraction of the work is classical-simulation benchmarking (MPS, PEPS/PEPO tensor networks, sparse Pauli dynamics, and a neural-network quantum state), so the comparison baselines brush against the **machine learning** and tensor-network method literature, though those are baselines and not the application domain.

## 1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A condensed-matter / quantum many-body physicist fluent in digital quantum simulation of spin models: Trotterised TFIM dynamics, Floquet prethermalization and heating timescales, hydrodynamic transport, and quantum-dimer / emergent-gauge descriptions of geometrically frustrated magnets. They need calibrated intuition about the trapped-ion hardware fingerprint, specifically the Quantinuum QCCD architecture: native  $ZZ$  partial-entangler gates, all-to-all effective connectivity, two-qubit infidelities at the  $\sim 6 \times 10^{-4}$  level (99.94(1)% native partial-entangler fidelity), the 56-qubit scale, shot budgets, and the full mitigation stack (dynamical decoupling, randomized compiling, leakage detection, zero-noise extrapolation, and the paper’s own zero-noise regression). They should also read the tensor-network / SPD / NQS classical baselines well enough to judge where the quantum data sits relative to classical reach (bond dimension  $\chi$ , retained Pauli-operator counts).

## 1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- Kim et al., “Evidence for the utility of quantum computing before fault tolerance”, Nature 618, 500 (2023). DOI: [10.1038/s41586-023-06096-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06096-3). The IBM 127-qubit superconducting kicked-Ising experiment: the canonical same-application, different-vendor comparison this paper positions itself against.
- DeCross et al., “The computational power of random quantum circuits in arbitrary geometries”, PRX 15, 021052 (2025), [arXiv:2406.02501](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.02501). The companion H2 hardware paper; characterises the same 56-qubit machine, connectivity, and gate fidelities (the vendor fingerprint Julien will reuse).
- King et al., “Computational supremacy in quantum simulation” (D-Wave), [arXiv:2403.00910](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.00910). The analog-annealer quantum-magnetism quench; the explicit analog-versus-digital contrast for the same class of physics.
- Tindall, Fishman, Stoudenmire, Sels, “Efficient tensor network simulation of IBM’s Eagle kicked Ising experiment”, PRX Quantum 5, 010308 (2024), [arXiv:2306.14887](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.14887). Belief-propagation tensor-network classical refutation of the IBM Ising experiment; the same

BP-PEPS family appears here as a baseline.

- Begušić, Gray, Chan, “Fast and converged classical simulations of evidence for the utility of quantum computing before fault tolerance”, Sci. Adv. 10, eadk4321 (2024), [arXiv:2308.05077](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.05077). Sparse Pauli dynamics, the Heisenberg-picture classical method this paper deploys (and finds it cannot converge) on the intermediate-temperature quench.

#### 1.4 Difficulty estimate

**High.** Drivers: the paper is PRX-length with an extensive supplement that carries most of the hardware fingerprint (error-model learning, cycle benchmarking, the ZNE/ZNR pipeline) plus the classical-method sections (MPS, PEPS, PEPO, SPD, NQS); it uses several non-standard primitives (a circuit-level leakage-detection gadget, zero-noise regression, Floquet prethermalization analysis) that Julien will need to disentangle from the headline observables. Mitigating factor: the full LaTeX source and all figures are already in [paper-source/](#), and the work is open (arXiv 2503.20870, with experimental data on Zenodo, [doi:10.5281/zenodo.18487089](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18487089)), so there is no paywall or fetch barrier. Reliance on the supplement for the fingerprint is the main reason this lands at high rather than medium.

## 2 Extraction

Note on title: the arXiv-registered title (in the dossier metadata) is *Digital quantum magnetism at the frontier of classical simulations*. The LaTeX source bundle carries a revised title, *Digital quantum magnetism on a trapped-ion quantum computer*. Same paper, arXiv:2503.20870.

### 2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

The authors run a digital, gate-based quantum simulation of the 2D transverse-field Ising model (TFIM) on Quantinuum’s 56-qubit H2 trapped-ion computer, using a second-order Trotter decomposition to approximate continuous time evolution. The key point: if Trotter (digitization) error is small enough, energy is approximately conserved and the system shows Floquet prethermalization, thermalizing to an effective Hamiltonian resembling the target instead of heating straight to infinite temperature. On a  $7 \times 8$  lattice they observe this out to 20 Trotter steps (over 2000 two-qubit gates), where no classical method they tested is both efficient and trustworthy. From a  $14 \times 4$  inhomogeneous quench they extract a hydrodynamic diffusion constant  $\mathcal{D} = 0.38(5)$ . Using all-to-all connectivity they reprogram onto a frustrated  $9 \times 6$  triangular torus and see thermalization constrained by an emergent quantum-dimer / lattice-gauge description with conserved topological winding numbers.

### 2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** Quantinuum System Model H2 (devices H2-1 and H2-2), trapped-ion QCCD architecture.
- **Qubit count:** 56 qubits available; 56 used for the square-lattice quenches ( $7 \times 8$  and  $14 \times 4$ ), 54 used for the  $9 \times 6$  triangular-lattice quench. No logical encoding (physical qubits used directly; this is a NISQ-style experiment, not error-corrected).
- **Connectivity:** All-to-all *effective* connectivity. Physically realised by the QCCD architecture (ions shuttled between trap zones; chain composition reconfigured mid-circuit so any pair can be co-located and entangled). The paper uses this explicitly to implement arbitrary lattice geometries with periodic boundary conditions, including a frustrated triangular torus.
- **Gate set:** Native arbitrary-angle two-qubit partial entangler  $U_{ZZ}(\theta) = \exp[-i(\theta/2) Z \otimes Z]$  (both signs  $\pm\theta$  are directly implementable as physically distinct gates); native single-qubit gate  $U_{1q}(\theta, \phi) = e^{-i(X \cos \phi + Y \sin \phi)\theta/2}$ . The Trotter circuit uses  $U_X(\varphi) = U_{1q}(\varphi, 0)$  and  $U_{ZZ}(2Jdt)$ .
- **Notable features leveraged:** mid-circuit measurement + qubit reset + qubit reuse (for the  $\sim \log_2 N$ -depth leakage-detection gadget); real-time classical pseudo-random number generation with conditional single-qubit gates (per-shot Pauli insertion for zero-noise extrapolation); 4 parallel gate zones (used for parallel cycle benchmarking); dynamical decoupling, randomized compiling (Pauli twirling of the non-Clifford  $U_{ZZ}$ ).

### 2.3 Computational primitive

**Trotterised Hamiltonian simulation.** Non-equilibrium real-time evolution of the TFIM via a second-order Trotter product formula. There is no variational optimisation and no quantum phase estimation; the circuit is a fixed Trotterised unitary iterated  $s$  times.

TFIM target Hamiltonian (Eq. 1, main text):

$$H = J \sum_{\langle j,k \rangle} Z_j Z_k + h \sum_j X_j \equiv H_{ZZ} + H_X.$$

Second-order Trotter step (Eq. 2, main text), iterated  $s$  times:

$$U(dt) \approx e^{-i dt H_X/2} e^{-i dt H_{ZZ}} e^{-i dt H_X/2} \equiv \mathcal{U}.$$

All reported quenches use  $dt = 0.25/|J|$ .

### 2.4 Resource fingerprint

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	Not applicable (no QEC; physical qubits used directly)	Methods, “Quantum hardware and data acquisition”
Qubits (physical)	56 (machine); 56 used on $7 \times 8$ and $14 \times 4$ ; 54 used on $9 \times 6$ triangular	Methods §“Quantum hardware”; SI §III (Overview of experimental details)
Circuit depth	Not reported as a single figure. Deepest circuit = 20 Trotter steps; each step = 4 two-qubit (ZZ) layers between single-qubit layers (so $\approx 80$ entangling layers), plus DD/RC single-qubit layers and a $\sim \log_2 N$ -depth leakage-detection circuit before measurement	Main text §“Digitized Ising dynamics”; SI §I.A, §I.C

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
2Q-gate count (total)	“over 2000 two-qubit gates” for the deepest circuits ( $s = 20, 7 \times 8$ )	Main text §“Quantum thermalization”
Measurement shots	$S = 600$ shots per Trotter step for the low-temp, intermediate-temp, and hydrodynamics quenches (split between unamplified and noise-amplified ZNE circuits); $S = 200$ shots per Trotter step for the triangular-lattice quench	SI §III (Overview of experimental details)
Classical loop iter.	Not applicable (non-variational Trotter evolution; no closed quantum-classical optimisation loop)	Main text §“Digitized Ising dynamics”
Wall-clock runtime	Not reported for the quantum hardware. (The hour/day-scale runtimes in the paper are all for classical baselines, not for H2.)	SI §V, §VII (classical runtimes only)

Inference, clearly flagged as not directly tabulated: on a square torus the number of nearest-neighbour bonds is  $2N$ , so a  $7 \times 8 = 56$ -qubit Trotter step has  $\approx 112 U_{ZZ}$  gates and  $s = 20$  steps give  $\approx 2240$  two-qubit gates, consistent with the paper’s “over 2000” statement. The paper itself reports only the aggregate “over 2000”.

Gate-quality numbers (for the portability assessment):

- Native partial-entangler fidelity 99.94(1)% (abstract; main text §“Quantum thermalization”).
- $U_{ZZ}(\pm 0.5)$  average gate infidelity, measured by interleaved benchmarking per quench:  $6(1) \times 10^{-4}$  (low-temp),  $5.0(6) \times 10^{-4}$  (intermediate-temp),  $7.1(8) \times 10^{-4}$  (hydrodynamics);  $3.3 \times 10^{-4}$  (triangular). Single-qubit gate infidelity  $\sim 3 \times 10^{-5}$  (SI §I.A, §II.B / Overview of experimental details).

- Pre-quench cycle-benchmarking infidelities: H2-1  $7.3(7) \times 10^{-4}$  (low-temp) and  $5.5(5) \times 10^{-4}$  (hydro); H2-2  $7.9(9) \times 10^{-4}$  (low-temp) and  $5.7(6) \times 10^{-4}$  (intermediate). (SI §II.B.)

## 2.5 Assumptions and results

- **Claims.** (1) With  $dt|J| = 0.25$ , digitization error is small enough to stabilise Floquet-prethermal Ising thermalization on a  $7 \times 8$  lattice out to  $s = 20$  Trotter steps, in a regime where the tested classical methods are not simultaneously efficient and trustworthy. (2) Emergent hydrodynamics is observed, with diffusion constant  $\mathcal{D} = 0.38(5)$  from the diffusive scaling  $\Gamma_q \approx \mathcal{D}q^2$  of the long-wavelength energy-density modes. (3) On the frustrated triangular lattice, dynamics is consistent with an emergent quantum-dimer-model / lattice-gauge description: the minimal winding-number sector relaxes to Markov-chain-Monte-Carlo ergodic values while the maximal sector is effectively frozen, with topological winding numbers approximately conserved.
- **Error bars / noise handling.** All error bars are standard errors of the mean from bootstrap resampling (100 resamples for the square-lattice and hydrodynamics quenches; 200 for the triangular quench), with the full multi-step error-mitigation processing re-run on each resample. The mitigation stack: dynamical decoupling (coherent memory errors), randomized compiling (coherent to incoherent), circuit-level leakage detection, zero-noise regression (ZNR, a heralded-error fit introduced here to replace post-selection on leakage), and zero-noise extrapolation (ZNE, exponential fit from two noise levels). For the deepest circuits the authors estimate only  $\sim 10\%$  of shots are error-free. ZNE error bars are inflated to account for uncertainty in the drift-corrected amplification factor  $\alpha'$ .
- **Classical baselines.** MPS with bond dimension up to  $\chi = 4000$  plus zero-truncation extrapolation (ZTE): converges for the low-temperature quench to  $s \leq 20$ , but for the intermediate-temperature quench is controlled only to  $s \approx 9$ ; reaching 5% accuracy to  $s = 20$  is estimated to need  $\chi \sim 2^{16}$  (among the largest ever used). BP-PEPS (Schrödinger picture): converged only to  $\approx 8$  Trotter steps, GPU-memory-limited. PEPO (Heisenberg): less accurate than PEPS at comparable cost. Sparse Pauli dynamics (SPD):  $\sim 4$  billion Pauli operators, up to  $\sim 1.4$  TB memory,  $\sim 51$  h on 16 CPUs ( $\delta = 2^{-20}$ ), not converged. Neural-network quantum state (CNN + time-dependent VMC, NetKet):  $\sim 1$  week on a single NVIDIA A100 for the  $7 \times 8$  lattice to  $s = 19$ , accurate only at short times. The paper does not claim the hydrodynamics result itself is beyond classical reach; the quantum-advantage framing rests on the intermediate-temperature  $7 \times 8$  thermalization data.

## 2.6 Portability flags

Hardware-specific features that will matter for Brillant’s portability analysis:

- **All-to-all effective connectivity at 54-56 qubits is structurally relied upon.** Every reported geometry uses periodic boundary conditions (wrap-around bonds), and one of the three physics results requires a *triangular* lattice on a torus. On a fixed-layout device (heavy-hex superconducting, linear-chain) the PBC wrap bonds and the triangular geometry demand SWAP networks, multiplying the two-qubit-gate count and circuit depth. This is the single most important portability flag.

- **Native arbitrary-angle  $U_{ZZ}(\theta)$  partial entangler.** The Trotter ZZ rotation is one native gate (and both  $\pm\theta$  are native, which the randomized-compiling scheme exploits). On gate sets with only a fixed entangler (CZ, CNOT,  $\sqrt{i\text{SWAP}}$ ), each  $U_{ZZ}(\theta)$  must be decomposed (typically 2 CNOTs plus single-qubit rotations), roughly doubling the dominant two-qubit cost before any SWAP overhead.
- **Mid-circuit measurement + reset + qubit reuse.** The  $\sim \log_2 N$ -depth leakage-detection gadget, and hence the leakage-targeted ZNR mitigation, needs mid-circuit measurement and qubit reuse. Platforms without reliable MCM cannot reproduce this specific leakage-mitigation pathway.
- **Per-shot dynamic randomization.** ZNE Pauli insertion uses the control system’s real-time classical PRNG and conditional single-qubit gates for per-shot randomization. A platform without low-overhead per-shot circuit variation would pay a large compilation overhead instead.
- **Fidelity-bound, not connectivity-bound, depth.** The headline result is enabled by sustaining  $\sim 6 \times 10^{-4}$  two-qubit infidelity across 2000+ gate circuits. Portability to another vendor is gated primarily by whether that vendor can hold comparable per-gate fidelity over the (decomposition- and SWAP-inflated) gate count, not by raw qubit number.
- **Mitigation stack portability is mixed.** Dynamical decoupling, randomized compiling, cycle benchmarking, and ZNE are conceptually platform-agnostic. ZNR as deployed here specifically requires per-shot error *heralding* (leakage detection); on a platform without a cheap heralding channel it would reduce to ordinary ZNE/post-selection.
- **QCCD-specific throughput (4 gate zones, parallel ion transport)** is an engineering convenience for benchmarking throughput, not essential to the physics, and is not portability-critical.

## 2.7 Caveats

- **Access was complete.** The paper is open (arXiv:2503.20870) with the full LaTeX source bundle present in `paper-source/` and experimental data on Zenodo ([doi:10.5281/zenodo.18487089](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18487089)). No paywall and no unreachable sections. The fingerprint above is drawn from the main text plus the supplemental material (implementation, error-model learning, ZNE/ZNR, overview of experimental details, and the classical-method sections), all of which were available.
- **Quantum wall-clock runtime is not reported.** Quantinuum papers typically do not publish device wall-clock time; the only hour/day-scale runtimes in the paper are for classical baselines (MPS GPU runtimes, SPD CPU runtimes, NQS GPU runtime). Marked “not reported” rather than inferred.
- **Per-step two-qubit gate counts are not tabulated.** The paper reports only the aggregate “over 2000 two-qubit gates” for the deepest circuits; the per-Trotter-step count is my arithmetic inference (flagged as such above) and excludes the additional two-qubit gates inside the leakage-detection gadget.
- **One experiment used a different protocol.** The triangular-lattice quench used 200 shots/step, ordinary leakage post-selection (not ZNR), and fixed ZNE parameters ( $r = 0.5$ ,  $\alpha = 4$ ), unlike the optimised ZNE/ZNR pipeline of the other three quenches.
- **Data span.** Experiments were taken over an extended period; the intermediate-temperature quench was run most recently (January 2026) after hardware improvements, which is why its measured gate infidelity is lower than the earlier runs.

### 3 Portability matrix