

Efficient direct quantum state tomography using fan-out couplings

Portability dossier - benchmark

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2604.04454-fanout-tomography
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.04454
Category	benchmark
Triaged	2026-05-16 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

Contents

1	Capability note	2
1.1	Claim	2
1.2	Vendor and machine	2
1.3	Metrics	2
1.4	Context	5
1.5	Caveats	5

1 Capability note

1.1 Claim

A direct quantum state tomography (DQST) scheme using a single meter qubit fan-out-coupled to the system was demonstrated on IBM superconducting hardware, reconstructing four-qubit states at fidelities comparable to standard tomography with fewer than half the measurement settings, and certifying genuine multipartite entanglement of a 20-qubit GHZ state ($F_{\text{GHZ}} > 0.5$) from a single circuit configuration with error mitigation.

1.2 Vendor and machine

IBM Quantum Platform, `ibm_aachen` superconducting processor (156-qubit Heron-r2-class device; native gate set CZ , RZ , SX). Experiments run via IBM Quantum cloud services. arXiv preprint 2604.04454, source dated early April 2026; device calibration changed between the up-to-15-qubit and 20-qubit runs, so different physical qubit sets were used for each.

1.3 Metrics

Metric	Value	Source
Largest GME certified	20-qubit GHZ, $F_{\text{GHZ}} > 0.5$ with ZNE + QREM	Main text, Fig. 3
GHZ fidelity without mitigation	exceeds 0.5 entanglement threshold up to 15 qubits; falls below 0.5 at 20 qubits	Main text
GHZ fidelity, single circuit config	one measurement setting, system-size independent	Main text

Metric	Value	Source
4-qubit DQST fidelity (with QREM)	GHZ 97.4(1)%, $ 0\rangle^{\otimes 4}$ 99.30(6)%, $ +\rangle^{\otimes 4}$ 98.03(5)%	Table I
4-qubit DQST fidelity (no mitigation)	GHZ 96.3(1)%, $ 0\rangle^{\otimes 4}$ 98.99(6)%, $ +\rangle^{\otimes 4}$ 97.57(4)%	Table I
4-qubit standard QST fidelity (QREM)	GHZ 98.02(4)%, $ 0\rangle^{\otimes 4}$ 99.04(3)%, $ +\rangle^{\otimes 4}$ 99.20(5)%	Table I
Cross-fidelity (DQST vs QST)	GHZ 98.2(2)%, $ 0\rangle^{\otimes 4}$ 99.12(7)%, $ +\rangle^{\otimes 4}$ 98.2(1)%	Main text
$n = 4$ ZNE-extrapolated GHZ fidelity	0.959	Supp. Note 3
1Q gate (RX) fidelity	error ≈ 2.18 to 2.53×10^{-4} ($F \approx 99.98\%$)	Supp. Notes 2–3
2Q gate (CZ) fidelity	error $\approx 2.94 \times 10^{-3}$ ($F \approx 99.71\%$)	Supp. Note 3

Metric	Value	Source
Circuit depth sustained	constant in principle (system-size independent); on <code>ibm_aachen</code> fan-out is decomposed into <i>CNOT/SWAP</i> sequences, so realised depth grows	Main text, Supp. Note 2
Qubit count used	up to 20 system qubits + 1 meter qubit	Main text
Measurement settings / shots	4-qubit: 31 circuits (DQST) vs 81 (QST), 10,000 shots/circuit; GHZ DFE: 100,000 shots/point (100 Pauli-twirl instances \times 1,000 shots)	Main text
QV / linear XEB	not reported (device-level benchmarks not measured)	—

1.4 Context

This is a method-and-verification result rather than a record-setting hardware benchmark: it reuses a stock IBM Heron-class device (typical CZ error $\sim 3 \times 10^{-3}$) and shows that DQST matches standard Pauli tomography on four qubits at roughly half the measurement settings, then certifies 20-qubit GHZ entanglement from one circuit configuration. For comparison, GHZ-state genuine-multipartite-entanglement certification on superconducting hardware has previously been pushed to tens of qubits by Google and IBM using multi-setting protocols; the novelty here is the single-configuration, constant-depth fan-out estimator and its compatibility with ZNE, not the qubit count. The 20-qubit certification depends critically on error mitigation, crossing the 0.5 threshold only after ZNE + QREM.

1.5 Caveats

- arXiv preprint (formatted for a PRL/Nature-style venue); peer-review status at time of harvest is unconfirmed. Treat numbers as author-reported and not independently refereed.
- The headline “constant circuit depth” is an architectural property of an ideal single-shot fan-out gate. `ibm_aachen` does not natively support a single-depth fan-out, so the demonstrated circuits decompose it into *CNOT* (and *SWAP*) sequences; realised depth and noise grow with system size and connectivity constraints. The constant-depth claim is not what was physically executed.
- The 20-qubit GHZ fidelity crosses 0.5 only with both ZNE and QREM applied; the unmitigated value is below the entanglement threshold.
- Per- n GHZ fidelity values appear only in Figure 3 (plotted), not as printed numbers; only the $n = 4$ ZNE example (0.959) and the qualitative thresholds are quotable from text.
- Data and code are stated as “available from the corresponding author upon request”, so the results are not reproducible from open data.