

Nonvariational quantum optimisation approaches to pangenome-guided sequence assembly

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2604.06106-pangenome-assembly
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.06106
Category	app
Triaged	2026-05-21 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

Contents

1	Source	3
1.1	Domain classification	3
1.2	Expert persona for Julien	3
1.3	Related prior work (brief bibliography)	3
1.4	Difficulty estimate	4
2	Extraction	5
2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph)	5
2.2	Quantum hardware used	5

2.3	Computational primitive	6
2.4	Resource fingerprint	6
2.5	Assumptions and results	7
2.6	Portability flags	8
2.7	Caveats	9
3	Portability matrix	10

1 Source

1.1 Domain classification

Primary: biology (genomics / bioinformatics). The application is pangenome-guided sequence assembly: reconstructing an individual genome as a walk through a population-level pangenome graph whose node visit counts match read-derived copy-number estimates.

Secondary: optimisation. The actual quantum work lives entirely in the computational bottleneck, which the authors abstract as *Oriented Tangle Resolution* (a vertex-weighted walk-finding problem that generalises Hamiltonian path and is NP-hard) and attack with QAOA over two binary encodings (QUBO and a new HUBO). The biology is upstream of the part that touches hardware.

1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A quantum-optimisation specialist fluent in QAOA variants, not a geneticist. The fingerprint Julien must extract is a near-term gate-model optimisation stack on IBM heavy-hex, so the calibrated intuition needed is: non-variational / fixed-schedule QAOA (linear-ramp LR-QAOA) plus iterative warm-start bias updates; QUBO vs HUBO encodings and the qubit-versus-depth trade-off ($O(N^2)$ vs $O(N \log N)$ variables against deeper compiled circuits); compilation of multi-qubit Z -rotations on limited-connectivity layouts (SWAP networks, heavy-hex edge-colouring, R_{zz} parity-cancellation, MAX-SAT layout search); and IBM Heron noise budgets read off two-qubit CZ counts, operation counts, twirling, and CVaR-style post-selection. Genomics literacy can be shallow; understanding “visit counts must match copy-number weights $w(v)$ ” is enough to read the cost function.

1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- **Companion PGSA paper (classical baselines for the same problem):** Cudby & Strelchuk, *Pangenome-guided sequence assembly via binary optimisation*, [arXiv:2508.08200](https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.08200), published in *Briefings in Bioinformatics* (2026) [doi:10.1093/bib/bbag084](https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbag084). Introduces the QUBO formulation and benchmarks classical solvers (Gurobi branch-and-bound, MQLib); this paper is the quantum follow-up.
- **Same application, annealing vendor (D-Wave):** Boev et al., *Genome assembly using quantum and quantum-inspired annealing*, *Scientific Reports* 11 (2021), [arXiv:2004.06719](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.06719), [doi:10.1038/s41598-021-88321-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-88321-5). Reduces OLC-graph Hamiltonian-path assembly to QUBO on D-Wave and SimCIM. Direct vendor/primitive contrast (annealing vs gate-model QAOA) for the same computational core.
- **Same application, both modalities:** Sarkar, Al-Ars & Bertels, *QuASeR: Quantum Accelerated de novo DNA sequence reconstruction*, *PLOS ONE* (2021), [arXiv:2004.05078](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.05078), [doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0249850](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249850). TSP to QUBO to QAOA on gate-based simulator and D-Wave; useful as the earliest gate-model assembly portability point.
- **Recent (2026) genome-assembly graph optimisation:** *Accelerating De Novo Genome Assembly via Quantum-Assisted Graph Optimization with Bitstring Recovery*, [arXiv:2602.00156](https://arxiv.org/abs/2602.00156). Contemporary comparison point for the same assembly-as-graph-

optimisation framing.

- **The reused quantum primitive (Iterative-QAOA):** Lopez-Ruiz et al., *A Non-Variational Quantum Approach to the Job Shop Scheduling Problem*, [arXiv:2510.26859](https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.26859). Source of the Iterative-QAOA framework this paper adopts; shows the same primitive on a different application, helpful for judging how vendor-agnostic the schedule is.
- **Domain framing / scope criteria:** Maurizio & Mazzola, *Quantum Computing for Genomics: Conceptual Challenges and Practical Perspectives*, *PRX Life* (2025), [doi:10.1103/h49j-bsc6](https://doi.org/10.1103/h49j-bsc6). The survey whose near-term-speedup criteria (classically hard core, few variables) the authors invoke to justify the problem class.

Supporting primitives if Julien wants them, both cited in-paper: LR-QAOA schedule (Montanez-Barrera & Michielsen, *npj Quantum Information* 2025, [doi:10.1038/s41534-025-01082-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-025-01082-1); Kremenetski et al., [arXiv:2305.04455](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.04455)) and space-efficient binary encodings for HUBO (Glos et al., [arXiv:2009.07309](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.07309)).

1.4 Difficulty estimate

Medium. In favour: full pdflatex source is in `paper-source/` (no paywall, no missing SI), and the hardware fingerprint is stated in concrete numbers throughout. The results section gives explicit qubit counts (24/40/48 for QUBO, 12/15/20 for HUBO), operation and CZ counts per circuit (e.g. 3,423 ops / 670 CZ at 24 qubits; 13,273 ops / 2,865 two-qubit gates at 48 qubits), the device (*IBM Boston*, Heron R3), $p \in \{1, 3, 5\}$, shot budgets, and CVaR fractions $\alpha \in [0.01, 0.1]$. Against: several non-standard primitives raise the extraction load: direct HUBO encoding via the binary-indicator product, a bespoke MAX-SAT-based (≤ 6 -body) compilation with parity-cancellation, and Iterative-QAOA with a feedback inverse-temperature schedule (β_T), clip ϵ , and per-encoding ramp ranges ($\Delta_\beta, \Delta_\gamma$). The appendix carries the LR-QAOA parameter exploration and a hardware-noise sensitivity analysis that Julien will need for the full hyperparameter and fidelity picture, so budget time for it but expect no blockers.

2 Extraction

2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

The paper attacks the computational bottleneck of pangenome-guided sequence assembly (PGSA): reconstructing an individual genome as a walk through a population-level pangenome graph whose per-node visit counts match read-derived copy-number estimates. The authors abstract this as *Oriented Tangle Resolution*, an NP-hard vertex-weighted walk-finding problem that generalises Hamiltonian path. They cast it into two binary forms, an established QUBO that needs $O(N^2)$ variables and a new HUBO that encodes node indices in binary to need only $O(N \log N)$ variables, and solve both with Iterative-QAOA: a fixed linear-ramp QAOA schedule (LR-QAOA) wrapped in an iterative warm-start loop that updates per-qubit initial-state biases from the previous run’s measurements, avoiding a full variational optimisation. A bespoke compiler reduces two-qubit gate overhead on IBM heavy-hex hardware. Results come from noiseless matrix-product-state simulation (QUBO to 80+ qubits, HUBO to 20) and from runs on the IBM Boston device with Pauli twirling and CVaR-style post-selection.

2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** IBM / *IBM Boston*, described in the paper as a Heron R3 device (Results, “We then performed hardware experiments up to 48 qubits on the *IBM Boston* Heron R3 device”).
- **Qubit count:** Hardware runs use 24 and 48 qubits (QUBO) and 12 and 15 qubits (HUBO). The device is stated to provide enough qubits to encode graphs of up to 64 nodes for walks of length up to 26 (Introduction). Noiseless simulation goes to 80+ qubits (QUBO).
- **Connectivity:** Heavy-hex (IBM). The compilation strategy is built around the heavy-hex coupling graph, using a 3-colouring of its edges; cross-checked against the Ezratty 2025 index, which records heavy-hex as the Heron-generation IBM topology.
- **Gate set:** Native two-qubit gate is CZ (the paper repeatedly calls CZ the “error-dominating” two-qubit gate and counts CZ gates as the noise budget). Single-qubit rotations R_y , R_z are used; the warm-start initial state is one layer of $R_y(\phi_i)$ and the non-uniform mixer $e^{-i\beta H_M}$ is implemented in depth 3 as $R_y(\phi_i) R_z(-2\beta) R_y(-\phi_i)$ per qubit. The bespoke transpiler also emits R_{zz} on target pairs plus CX parity networks for multi-qubit Z -rotations.
- **Notable features leveraged:** Pauli twirling; CVaR-style post-selection / oversampling (keep best fraction α of samples); bespoke heavy-hex compilation (SWAP networks, edge-colouring, R_{zz} parity-cancellation, MAX-SAT layout search via the NuWLS solver, capped at ≤ 6 -body interactions); iterative warm-start bias updates with a non-uniform mixer. No mid-circuit measurement, dynamic circuits, or error correction are used.

2.3 Computational primitive

QAOA. Specifically the non-variational *Iterative-QAOA* variant: a fixed linear-ramp QAOA (LR-QAOA) circuit at depth p , wrapped in an iterative warm-start loop that recomputes per-qubit bias probabilities $p_i^{(j+1)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \langle Z_i^{(j)} \rangle)$ from the previous iteration’s measured bitstrings. There is no in-loop variational parameter optimisation; the ramp ranges $\Delta_\beta, \Delta_\gamma$ are fixed a priori.

2.4 Resource fingerprint

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	None in the QEC sense: no error correction; every qubit is a physical NISQ data qubit equal to one binary variable	Methods, “Mapping binary optimisation to quantum optimisation”; no QEC anywhere in paper
Qubits (physical)	Hardware: 24 & 48 (QUBO), 12 & 15 (HUBO). Simulation: 12 & 20 (HUBO), up to 80+ (QUBO)	Results, §QUBO Problems and §HUBO Problems
Circuit depth	Not reported for the hardware-run circuits (only total-operation and CZ counts are given). Table 1 reports two-qubit <i>depth</i> for grid-topology HUBO cost layers: custom transpiler 6 / 410 / 138 / 424 / 179 / 751 / 306 / 1,049 for 4 to 16-qubit examples (vs Qiskit-O3 18 to 2,612)	Methods, Table <code>tab:circuit_depth</code>
2Q-gate count (total)	QUBO: 670 CZ @24q, 2,865 two-qubit @48q. HUBO: 697 CZ @12q, 1,219 CZ @15q. (Total operations: 3,423 / 13,273 / 3,024 / 5,124 respectively)	Results, §QUBO Problems and §HUBO Problems

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Measurement shots	Per iteration. Simulation: 40,000 (QUBO), 400 (HUBO). Hardware: 40,000 @24q QUBO ($\alpha = 0.1$), 400,000 @48q QUBO ($\alpha = 0.01$), 4,000 @12q HUBO ($\alpha = 0.1$), 8,000 @15q HUBO ($\alpha = 0.05$). CVaR retains 4,000 “good” QUBO / 400 “good” HUBO samples for the bias update	Results, §QUBO/§HUBO; Methods, §Non-variational
Classical loop iter.	Up to 5 Iterative-QAOA bias-update iterations (warm-start refinement, not a variational optimiser). β_T schedule $\beta_T^{(1)} = 0.015 \rightarrow \beta_T^{(5)} = 0.045$	Methods, §Non-variational; Results
Wall-clock runtime	Not reported	n/a

Layer depth used: $p \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ in simulation; $p = 1$ on all hardware runs (chosen to minimise depth-induced noise). Device error rates quoted for IBM Boston: median two-qubit error $e_{\text{med}} = 1.24 \times 10^{-3}$, layered two-qubit error $e_{\text{layer}} = 2.10 \times 10^{-3}$ (SI, §Sensitivity to hardware noise).

2.5 Assumptions and results

- **Claim.** In noiseless MPS simulation, Iterative-QAOA reliably finds optimal QUBO assemblies, sampling the optimum from as little as a 1.65×10^{-19} fraction of the search space (80-qubit instance, five iterations of 40,000 samples). On IBM Boston, $p = 1$ hardware runs with CVaR post-selection track the noiseless simulation: the 24-qubit QUBO samples the optimum in iteration 1, and the 48-qubit QUBO first samples it in iteration 3 and largely converges by iteration 5. HUBO trades qubits for depth: it cuts variables from $O(N^2)$ to $O(N \log N)$ but compiles to deeper circuits with more two-qubit gates and is more noise-sensitive (15-qubit HUBO reaches the optimum only at iteration 4 on hardware). The bespoke compiler cuts two-qubit depth by 43% to 67% versus Qiskit optimisation level 3.
- **Error bars / noise assumptions.** No statistical error bars are reported; results are single representative instances shown as

energy-distribution histograms over iterations. The SI noise model is an independent-error back-of-envelope: per-shot probability of zero two-qubit errors $\approx (1 - e)^G$ with $G = 2,865$, giving $p_{\text{good}} \approx 2.9\%$ (median rate) or $\approx 0.24\%$ (layered rate); to collect $\sim 4,000$ good samples implies $\sim 1.4 \times 10^5$ total shots (median) up to $\sim 1.6 \times 10^6$ shots (layered). The authors flag that this omits correlated errors and that imperfect shots may still carry information.

- **Comparison to classical baseline.** No new in-paper classical run. The work positions itself against the companion classical study (Cudby & Strelchuk, arXiv:2508.08200) where Gurobi branch-and-bound and MQLib were “competitive but required multiple restarts and produced variable solution quality.” The paper states the problem is NP-hard, generalises Hamiltonian path, and that the prior state-of-the-art (*pathfinder*) used exhaustive search. No quantum-versus-classical speedup is claimed; the framing is proof-of-principle and “a plausible path toward quantum utility.”

2.6 Portability flags

- **Heavy-hex-specific compilation.** The entire two-qubit-overhead reduction (43% to 67%) is engineered against IBM heavy-hex limited connectivity: SWAP-minimising layout plus a 3-colouring of the heavy-hex edge set. On an all-to-all device (trapped ions, neutral-atom rearrangement) most SWAP layers vanish, so the reported gate counts overstate the cost there and the compiler’s headline advantage does not transfer.
- **HUBO needs multi-qubit Z -rotations decomposed to 2Q gates.** The HUBO cost layer contains Z -interactions whose arity equals the cost-polynomial degree; the compiler caps the MAX-SAT formula at ≤ 6 -body terms and realises each rotation via R_{zz} plus CX parity networks. Platforms with native multi-qubit entangling operations (trapped-ion global Mølmer-Sørensen / XX , some neutral-atom schemes) would implement these very differently, so the HUBO depth penalty is gate-set-dependent rather than fundamental.
- **Native gate set is CZ + single-qubit rotations.** The R_{zz}/CX parity-cancellation scheme and the depth-3 $R_y R_z R_y$ mixer are written for an IBM-style basis. Ion (XX/MS) or photonic gate sets would require re-decomposition, changing the two-qubit counts that drive the noise budget.
- **Sampling-bound, not depth-bound, workload.** The method leans on cheap, high-throughput shots: up to 4×10^5 shots per iteration on hardware, and an estimated 10^5 to 1.6×10^6 shots to harvest 4,000 good samples at 48 qubits via CVaR. A device with slow gate/measurement cycles (typical trapped-ion shot rates) is penalised heavily; the approach favours fast-sampling superconducting hardware.
- **Oversampling budget is set by IBM’s two-qubit error rate.** Required shots scale inversely with $p_{\text{good}} \approx (1 - e)^G$, so the shot budgets are calibrated to $e \approx 10^{-3}$ on Boston. A lower-error or higher-connectivity device (fewer gates G) collapses the shot requirement; the technique itself is vendor-agnostic but the quoted resource figures are not.
- **Low portability friction in the basics.** No mid-circuit measurement, dynamic circuits, or error correction are required; the primitive is plain depth- p QAOA plus classical post-processing, so the core algorithm ports to any gate-model sampler. Pauli twirling and CVaR post-selection are vendor-agnostic.

2.7 Caveats

Full open-access arXiv source was available in `paper-source/` (pdflatex bundle: introduction, results, discussion, methods, and a two-section Supplementary Information covering LR-QAOA parameter exploration and hardware-noise sensitivity). No paywall and no missing sections; all figures are present. Circuit *depth* for the specific hardware-run circuits is genuinely not reported by the authors (only total operation and *CZ* counts), so the depth row is marked accordingly. A 40-qubit QUBO hardware instance and a 15-qubit HUBO simulation instance appear only in commented-out figure blocks in the source and are excluded from the fingerprint as they are not in the published text. Numbers without an explicit per-instance source above are direct quotations from the Results section and the Sensitivity-to-hardware-noise SI section.

3 Portability matrix