

# CovAngelo: A hybrid quantum-classical computing platform for accurate and scalable drug discovery

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

---

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2604.10487-covangelo-drug-discovery
Source	arXiv
Link	<a href="https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.10487">https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.10487</a>
Category	app
Triaged	2026-05-16 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Domain classification . . . . .	3
1.2	Expert persona for Julien . . . . .	3
1.3	Related prior work (brief bibliography) . . . . .	3
1.4	Difficulty estimate . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Extraction</b>	<b>5</b>

2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph)	5
2.2	Quantum hardware used	5
2.3	Computational primitive	5
2.4	Resource fingerprint	6
2.5	Assumptions and results	6
2.5.1	Fault-tolerant extrapolations (not measured on hardware)	7
2.6	Portability flags	7
2.7	Caveats	8
<b>3</b>	<b>Portability matrix</b>	<b>9</b>

# 1 Source

## 1.1 Domain classification

**Primary: quantum chemistry.** The computational core is first-principles electronic structure: a QM/QM/MM multiscale embedding built on a correlated density matrix embedding theory (ECC-DMET) with quantum-information-optimised orbitals, solved by classical many-body methods (CCSD, CASCI, FCI, DMRG, sc-BW2), near-term VQE/UCCSD on hardware, and a fault-tolerant double-factorisation plus qubitised QPE pipeline.

Secondary: **machine learning.** The authors’ stated downstream goal is the generation of physically consistent, transferable QM training data for next-generation ML potentials and generative *de novo* drug-design models, so the paper is framed as a data-generation engine for ML as much as a standalone solver. The application setting is computer-aided drug design (covalent protein-ligand binding), which gives it a biology/pharma flavour, but the portability-relevant content lives in the quantum-chemistry primitive.

## 1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A computational quantum chemist fluent in quantum embedding (DMET and QM/MM) and near-term electronic-structure algorithms (VQE with the UCCSD ansatz, ADAPT-VQE), with calibrated intuition for fragment/bath active-space selection, basis-set convergence (the paper sweeps cc-pVXZ, jun-, and aug- families), and implicit solvent models (PCM,  $\epsilon = 4$  and  $\epsilon = 78.3$ ). They should also be comfortable reading fault-tolerant resource estimates: double factorisation, qubitised QPE, and how the block-encoding normalisation  $\lambda$  drives T-gate count. The fingerprint spans three hardware families (superconducting IQM Garnet and IBM, trapped-ion IonQ) unified under CUDA-Q plus Qiskit, so cross-vendor familiarity helps. Anti-hype calibration matters: the actual hardware run is a single 8-qubit (2-fragment-orbital), 1024-shot VQE on IQM Garnet, while the headline “up to 20 $\times$ ” and “fivefold T-count reduction” figures are FTQC extrapolations, not measured device results.

## 1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- Santagati *et al.*, “Drug design on quantum computers”, *Nat. Phys.* **20**, 549 (2024). DOI [10.1038/s41567-024-02411-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-024-02411-5). Sets the QC-for-drug-design landscape this platform positions against.
- Shajan *et al.*, “Toward Quantum-Centric Simulations of Extended Molecules: Sample-Based Quantum Diagonalization Enhanced with DMET”, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **21**, 6801 (2025). DOI [10.1021/acs.jctc.5c00114](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.5c00114). Same primitive (DMET embedding) run on a different vendor stack (IBM SQD): the closest portability comparator.
- Goings *et al.*, “Reliably assessing the electronic structure of cytochrome P450 on today’s classical computers and tomorrow’s quantum computers”, *PNAS* **119**, e2203533119 (2022). DOI [10.1073/pnas.2203533119](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2203533119). Same FTQC primitive (double factorisation plus qubitised QPE resource estimation) on a different active-site chemistry.

- Li *et al.*, “A hybrid quantum computing pipeline for real world drug discovery”, *Sci. Rep.* **14**, 16942 (2024). DOI [10.1038/s41598-024-67897-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-67897-8). Same application class (industrial drug discovery) via a different hybrid quantum-classical pipeline.
- Chaudhuri *et al.*, “Quantum Chemical Characterization of Rotamerism in Thio-Michael Additions for Targeted Covalent Inhibitors”, *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **64**, 7687 (2024). DOI [10.1021/acs.jcim.4c01379](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.4c01379). The classical QM comparator for the same thio-Michael covalent-inhibitor chemistry (the paper benchmarks barrier definitions against it).
- Deka and Žak, “Simultaneously Optimizing Symmetry Shifts and Tensor Factorizations for Cost-Efficient Fault-Tolerant Quantum Simulations of Electronic Hamiltonians”, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **21**, 4458 (2025). DOI [10.1021/acs.jctc.4c01722](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.4c01722). The BEIT symmetry-optimised factorisation that underpins the paper’s T-count reduction claim.

#### 1.4 Difficulty estimate

**High.** The arXiv LaTeX source is fully available and readable (no paywall; `paper-source/whitepaper_arxiv_v1.tex` plus figures), which removes any access risk. The difficulty is breadth and depth, not access. The whitepaper runs to roughly fifty pages with substantial appendix theory (ECC-DMET derivations, bath-orbital constructions, single- and two-orbital entropy and mutual-information orbital selection, Wick approximation) that Julien will need to skim to anchor the active-space story. It uses several exotic or in-house primitives (ECC-DMET, quantum-information-optimised orbitals, sc-BW2, BEIT symmetry-shifted double factorisation) and spans the full stack: a real IQM Garnet hardware run, CUDA-Q and Qiskit simulators across four GPU classes, IonQ and IBM backends, and FTQC resource estimation. The mitigating factor is that the near-term hardware fingerprint is reported crisply in one table and its surrounding paragraph (Sec. “Near-term quantum devices”), so the measured-device portion is quick to extract even though the surrounding framework is large.

## 2 Extraction

### 2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

CovAngelo is a software platform for computing reaction barriers and energy profiles of covalent protein-ligand binding from first principles. Its core is a three-layer quantum-in-quantum-in-classical (QM/QM/MM) multiscale embedding: molecular dynamics supplies geometries, a correlated density matrix embedding theory (ECC-DMET) with quantum-information-optimised orbitals compresses the reactive site into a small embedded Hamiltonian, and that Hamiltonian is handed to a solver. The same embedded Hamiltonian can be solved classically (CCSD, FCI, CASSCF, DMRG, sc-BW2), by GPU circuit simulators, by near-term quantum hardware (a VQE run), or by a fault-tolerant pipeline (double factorisation plus qubitised quantum phase estimation, as a resource estimate only). The demonstration is the Michael addition of the covalent inhibitor zanubrutinib to Cys481 of Bruton’s tyrosine kinase. The stated downstream purpose is generating physically consistent quantum-chemical training data for machine-learning potentials and generative drug-design models.

### 2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** IQM / Garnet (superconducting QPU). IBM (superconducting) and IonQ (trapped-ion) are listed as integrated/enabled backends under CUDA-Q, but no IBM or IonQ device run is reported; the only measured-device result is on IQM Garnet (p. “Near-term quantum devices”, source line 571; backend list line 92).
- **Qubit count:** 8 used / 20 available. The embedded Hamiltonian was built by DMET with 2 fragment orbitals, giving an 8-qubit circuit on the 20-qubit Garnet chip (line 571).
- **Connectivity:** Not reported in the paper. (Substrate context, not from the paper: IQM Garnet is a Crystal-family square-grid tunable-coupler transmon processor per `vendor-notes/superconducting_qubits.tex`. Used only to sanity-check the substrate, not as paper evidence.)
- **Gate set:** Not reported in the paper. The circuit is a UCCSD ansatz as implemented in CUDA-Q; the paper notes the implementation “could be optimized for a given quantum architecture, reducing the number of required native gates” (line 594), i.e. it relies on CUDA-Q transpilation to Garnet’s native basis. (Substrate context: IQM native two-qubit gate is CZ plus single-qubit rotations; Ezratty 8.3.7 and the vendor note both list CZ.)
- **Notable features leveraged:** None exotic. Plain gate-model state preparation and computational-basis sampling. The only deliberate hardware-economy choice is grouping Pauli strings into **qubit-wise-commuting** groups so the pre-measurement basis change needs only single-qubit gates rather than two-qubit gates, which cut the measurement count by about a factor of 3 (line 569). No mid-circuit measurement, no dynamic circuits, no all-to-all connectivity claim.

### 2.3 Computational primitive

**VQE** (Variational Quantum Eigensolver) with a UCCSD ansatz, Jordan-Wigner mapping, and a COBYLA classical optimiser, for the near-term hardware and simulator runs. The fault-tolerant section uses a separate primitive, **qubitised QPE** built on a double-

factorised block encoding, but only as an offline resource estimate (no QPE was executed on hardware). The classical solver options (CCSD/FCI/CASSCF/DMRG/sc-BW2) carry the production chemistry results.

## 2.4 Resource fingerprint

Values below are for the single measured hardware run (8-qubit VQE-UCCSD on IQM Garnet, simplified acrylamide + methanethiolate system at the transition-state geometry, C-PCM solvent  $\epsilon = 4$ ).

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	8 (4 spatial orbitals, JW binary encoding; 2 fragment + bath)	“Near-term quantum devices”, line 571
Qubits (physical)	not reported (no error correction; 8 of 20 physical transmons)	line 571
Circuit depth	not reported	not reported
2Q-gate count (total)	not reported	not reported
Measurement shots	1024 per circuit	line 571
Classical loop iter.	not reported (COBYLA; count not given)	line 571
Wall-clock runtime	not reported for the QPU run	not reported (a full ligand-protein evaluation is quoted as “30 minutes to a few hours on a laptop”, line 59, but that is classical, not the QPU run)

## 2.5 Assumptions and results

- **What was claimed.** On Garnet, the 8-qubit VQE estimated the ground-state energy of the simplified system for two orbital choices. Quantum-information-optimised (QIO) orbitals gave a closer energy to FCI than chemically motivated orbitals: with  $E_{FCI} = -683.050$  a.u., the optimised run gave  $E_{VQE} = -680.992$  a.u. ( $\Delta E = 2.058$  a.u.) versus the chemical run  $E_{VQE} = -680.105$  a.u. ( $\Delta E = 2.943$  a.u.), where  $\Delta E = E_{FCI} - E_{VQE}$  (Table “qpu\_results”, lines 577-579). The platform’s chemistry claim (computed classically, not on the QPU) is a CCSD/DF QM/QM/MM barrier of 6.09 kcal/mol for zanubrutinib-BTK, versus 1.96 (DFT) and 11.30 (HF) kcal/mol (Table, lines 958-962).
- **Error bars / noise assumptions.** No statistical error bars are quoted on the VQE energies. The roughly 2 a.u. (about 1290 kcal/mol) gap from FCI is far above chemical accuracy; the authors attribute it explicitly to “the large error rates of current quantum computers” (line 590). Simulator benchmarks were run noiseless with exact sampling (shots =  $-1$  in CUDA-Q, line 599), so the hardware run is the only result carrying device noise.

- **Comparison to classical baseline.** FCI is the in-paper reference for the VQE; the device VQE underestimates the binding energy substantially. For the production chemistry, the headline efficiency claim is the ECC-DMET orbital compression: matching the energy of more than 20 chemically motivated orbitals with 4 QIO orbitals, a roughly fivefold reduction in fragment-orbital count (line 978).

### 2.5.1 Fault-tolerant extrapolations (not measured on hardware)

- The abstract’s “potential speedups of up to 20x” and the introduction’s “20-fold reduction in T-gate counts” (lines 39, 51, 134) are attributed to prior work (Deka *et al.* 2025, the BEIT symmetry-shifted factorisation), not to a result obtained in this paper.
- This paper’s own FTQC benchmark (Fig. “ftqc\_beit\_df\_t\_gate\_benchmark”) compares standard double factorisation with the symmetry-optimised BEIT factorisation at fixed target precision and reports a T-count reduction “close to a factor of five” for the largest active space shown (lines 1041, 1047). Absolute T-gate counts are not tabulated in the text; only the relative curve versus number of active orbitals is shown.

## 2.6 Portability flags

- **Gate-model VQE is largely vendor-neutral by design.** The hardware primitive is ordinary UCCSD-VQE driven through CUDA-Q, whose stated purpose is to target IQM, IBM, and IonQ from the same circuit. At 8 qubits there is no exotic hardware dependence, so the near-term run ports readily across digital gate-model vendors.
- **UCCSD must be transpiled to each vendor’s native gate set.** The authors flag that the CUDA-Q UCCSD implementation “could be optimized for a given quantum architecture, reducing the number of required native gates” (line 594). UCCSD’s exponentiated excitation operators decompose differently into CZ (IQM/IBM-Heron) versus a native  $XX(\theta)$ /Mølmer-Sørensen entangler (IonQ), so the two-qubit-gate cost is vendor-dependent and not reported here.
- **Jordan-Wigner mapping plus limited connectivity.** The Pauli-string Hamiltonian comes from a Jordan-Wigner encoding (line 563); the resulting long Pauli strings imply multi-qubit terms that route poorly on a fixed square-grid topology like Garnet and well on an all-to-all trapped-ion machine. The paper does not analyse this routing cost.
- **Measurement strategy is connectivity-economical.** Restricting to qubit-wise-commuting groups (line 569) deliberately avoids the two-qubit basis-change gates that general commuting-group measurement would require, a choice that favours hardware with limited connectivity or expensive entangling gates.
- **FTQC pipeline is fault-tolerance-only.** The double-factorisation plus qubitised QPE route consumes T-gates and assumes error correction; it does not port to any near-term device. Its dominant cost driver is the block-encoding normalisation  $\lambda$  (line 688), reduced classically by the BEIT symmetry shift.
- **IBM and IonQ are advertised but unexercised.** Treat the multi-vendor claim as a software-integration capability (CUDA-Q backends), not as cross-vendor empirical evidence; only IQM Garnet was actually run.

## 2.7 Caveats

Full open access: the complete arXiv LaTeX source is present (`paper-source/whitepaper_arxiv_v1.tex`), so no section was unreachable and there was no paywall. The limits are in what the paper reports, not in what could be read:

- No circuit depth, no two-qubit-gate count, no transpiled native-gate count, and no QPU wall-clock time are given for the Garnet run.
- No COBYLA iteration count and no statistical error bars on the VQE energies.
- The Garnet connectivity and native gate set are not stated in the paper; the substrate details above are drawn from `vendor-notes/` and Ezratty 8.3.7 for sanity-checking only, not from this paper.
- The FTQC improvement is reported only as a relative “factor of five” in a figure; no absolute T-gate counts, ancilla counts, code-distance, or runtime estimates appear in the extracted sections.
- Several benchmark figures (simulator-versus-simulator runtime, GPU-versus-GPU runtime) are presented as plots without tabulated values, so those numbers could not be transcribed.
- The headline “up to 20x”/“20-fold” speedup is a citation to prior work (Deka 2025), not an independent measurement in this paper; the paper’s own demonstrated factor is the roughly fivefold T-count reduction.

### 3 Portability matrix