

Split-Evolution Quantum Phase Estimation for Particle-Conserving Hamiltonians

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-04-18

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2604.14921-ethylene-se-qpe
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.14921
Category	app
Triaged	2026-04-16 by vd1
Bootstrapped	2026-04-18

Contents

1	Source	3
1.1	Domain classification	3
1.2	Expert persona for Julien	3
1.3	Related prior work (brief bibliography)	3
1.4	Difficulty estimate	4
2	Extraction	5
2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph)	5
2.2	Quantum hardware used	5

2.3	Computational primitive	5
2.4	Resource fingerprint	6
2.5	Assumptions and results	7
2.6	Portability flags	8
2.7	Caveats	9
3	Portability matrix	10
3.1	Summary	10
3.2	Quantinuum H2-2 - runs as-is (<i>anchor</i>)	10
3.3	IonQ Forte - runs with translation	11
3.4	IBM Heron r3 - runs with translation	11
3.5	QuEra Gemini - runs with translation (compiler-dependent hypothesis)	12
3.6	Pasqal Orion Gamma - architectural rewrite	13
3.7	PsiQuantum (Omega) - infeasible today	14
3.8	Xanadu - infeasible today	14
3.9	Quantum Brilliance - infeasible today	15
3.10	Residual caveats	16

1 Source

1.1 Domain classification

quantum chemistry (electronic-structure QPE for particle-conserving second-quantised Hamiltonians; ethylene hardware demo and FeMoco resource analysis). No meaningful secondary domain - the algorithmic contribution (CSWAP-gadget / split-evolution reformulation) is chemistry-first; portability questions to non-chemistry users would be derivative.

1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A computational quantum chemist fluent in Trotterised QPE for molecular electronic-structure Hamiltonians in second quantisation, with working knowledge of fermion-to-qubit encodings (Jordan-Wigner / Bravyi-Kitaev), double-factorised Hamiltonian representations, and active-space selection for strongly-correlated systems such as FeMoco. Should have calibrated intuition for how CX count, T count and circuit depth scale with Trotter step size, phase-register bit count and DF truncation rank, and should recognise Quantinuum H2 / H2-2 native primitives (all-to-all connectivity, mid-circuit measurement, native two-qubit gate) well enough to read the ethylene demo without over-interpreting the vendor framing.

1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- O’Malley et al., “Scalable Quantum Simulation of Molecular Energies”, [arXiv:1512.06860](#) - reference prior molecular phase-estimation hardware experiment (H_2 , superconducting), explicitly cited as the baseline for “chemistry-based phase estimation with an explicit inverse QFT”.
- Berry et al., “Qubitization of Arbitrary Basis Quantum Chemistry Leveraging Sparsity and Low Rank Factorization”, [arXiv:1902.02134](#) - canonical fault-tolerant QPE + double-factorisation FeMoco resource reference.
- Lee et al., “Even more efficient quantum computations of chemistry through tensor hypercontraction”, [arXiv:2011.03494](#) - THC factorisation comparison point for the DF Trotter resource analysis in §III.
- Oumarou et al., “Reducing the runtime of fault-tolerant quantum simulations in chemistry through symmetry-compressed double factorization”, [arXiv:2403.03502](#) - most recent DF/FeMoco QPE runtime figure-of-merit; relevant for calibrating the paper’s “33% CX reduction” claim.
- Yamamoto et al., “Demonstrating Bayesian Quantum Phase Estimation with Quantum Error Detection”, [arXiv:2306.16608](#) - Bayesian-QPE-on-Quantinuum prior art; portability-relevant as the nearest canonical-QPE alternative on the same hardware family.
- Berry et al., “On low-depth algorithms for quantum phase estimation”, [arXiv:2302.02454](#) - independent depth-reduction direction for QPE, useful to contrast against the two-register parallelisation trick here.

1.4 Difficulty estimate

medium. The PDF is in the dossier (arXiv preprint, no paywall) and the paper is self-contained. Difficulty comes from the non-standard primitive (CSWAP-gadget substitution for controlled-U) that Julien will need to normalise into the hardware-fingerprint schema, plus a full Trotterised double-factorisation resource analysis (§III-IV, FeMoco orbital sweeps) whose tables may partly live in SI - if the SI is not already attached alongside `paper.pdf`, the triager should fetch it before Julien runs.

2 Extraction

2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

The authors (Quantinuum) propose *split-evolution quantum phase estimation* (SE-QPE), a modification of canonical QPE in which each controlled time-evolution block $\text{controlled-}U(\tau)^{\otimes j}$ is replaced by a “CSWAP-gadget” acting between a target register (prepared in an approximate eigenstate) and a reference register (prepared in the fermionic vacuum for particle-conserving Hamiltonians). The gadget removes all controlled time evolution from the circuit, splits the evolution across two parallel uncontrolled halves, and lets the reference register double as a natural error-detection flag. They back the construction with a Trotterised double-factorised (DF) resource analysis on FeMoco active spaces up to (60e,60o) - reporting asymptotic reductions of ≈ 33 in CX count, ≈ 25 in T count, and a CX-depth ratio of $\approx 3/N$ - and with a hardware demonstration on a 4-qubit Jordan-Wigner Pariser-Parr-Pople (PPP) model of ethylene run on Quantinuum’s System Model H2-2 trapped-ion device.

2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** Quantinuum / System Model H2-2 (trapped-ion QCCD). Ancillary runs on the H2-1LE statevector simulator and H2-Emulator (noise model mirroring the real device); hardware access window 28 Jan - 11 Mar 2026 (ref. [64]).
- **Qubit count:** 16 qubits for the M=5 cat-SE-QPE demo and 17 qubits for the M=6 cat-SE-QPE demo; N available on H2-2 not restated in the paper text (see Quantinuum H2-2 product page cited as ref. [64]).
- **Connectivity:** All-to-all (QCCD ion-shuttling). The resource analysis in §III explicitly *assumes* all-to-all connectivity, both for the ZZ-layer scheduling and for the cat-state fan-out tree (Table I caption, p. 7; §III closing paragraph, p. 6).
- **Gate set:** Native ZZPhase two-qubit gate + arbitrary-angle one-qubit rotations. Gate counts in Table IV are reported as “Total Gate Count” and “ZZPhase Count / ZZPhase Depth”. Circuits compiled with pytket via a `RemoveRedundancies` pass and with H2-2 compiler optimisation level 2 (p. 9).
- **Notable features leveraged:** Mid-circuit measurement + reset of the reference and fan-out registers (“MR” variants, p. 8 col. 2); cat/GHZ fan-out of the CSWAP control line for log-depth parallel CSWAP execution (“cat” prefix, p. 4 col. 2); dynamical decoupling during idle time with a 0.03 s threshold (p. 9 col. 2); explicit compiler barriers placed around each CSWAP-gadget to prevent gate-reordering across mid-circuit measurements (p. 9 col. 2 \rightarrow p. 10 col. 1).

2.3 Computational primitive

QPE - canonical quantum phase estimation with an explicit inverse QFT on the phase register, modified by the CSWAP-gadget substitution (the time-evolution operator itself is a second-order symmetric Trotterisation of a double-factorised electronic-structure Hamiltonian, Eq. (24) / Eq. (42)). Not VQE: there is no classical outer optimisation loop over circuit parameters.

2.4 Resource fingerprint

Values below are for the **ethylene PPP hardware experiment on H2-2** (Table IV, p. 11), since this is the only hardware run in the paper. FeMoco numbers are simulation-only and are summarised in “Assumptions and results” below.

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	4 system qubits per register (target + reference); 5 or 6 phase-register qubits; $N-1 = 3$ cat-fan-out qubits. Totals: 16 (M=5 cat-SE-QPE) / 17 (M=6 cat-SE-QPE)	p. 9 col. 2 ($Q_{cat-SE-QPE} = 2N+M+(N-1)$); Table IV “Total Qubits” column, p. 11
Qubits (physical)	Same 16-17; H2-2 has no logical-level encoding in this experiment - qubits reported are raw trapped-ion qubits	Implicit from p. 9 col. 2
Circuit depth	ZZPhase depth 166 (HW cat-SE-QPE, M=5); 251 (HW cat-SE-QPE, M=6); 166 (HW MR-cat-SE-QPE, M=5); 251 (HW MR-cat-SE-QPE, M=6)	Table IV “ZZPhase Depth” column, p. 11
2Q-gate count (total)	ZZPhase count 567 (HW cat-SE-QPE, M=5); 890 (HW cat-SE-QPE, M=6); 567 (HW MR-cat-SE-QPE, M=5); 890 (HW MR-cat-SE-QPE, M=6). Total all-gate counts 1627 / 2524 / 1690 / 2601 respectively	Table IV “Total Gate Count” and “ZZPhase Count”, p. 11
Measurement shots	5000 shots per circuit instance on H2-2 (10 000 on H2-Emulator for some EM M=6 rows)	p. 9 col. 2; Table IV “Total Shots” column, p. 11

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Classical loop iter.	Not applicable - canonical-QPE variant, no variational outer loop. A small number of distinct circuit instances were run per setting (baseline / SE-QPE / Mixed / cat / MR-cat \pm M=5, 6)	Table IV, p. 11
Wall-clock runtime	Not reported	-

The paper also reports, per Table IV, the number of “Error Detection Bits” (ED Bits column) = 7 for cat-SE-QPE and 35 (M=5) / 42 (M=6) for MR-cat-SE-QPE, which come from reference-register and fan-out-register measurements consumed for post-selection (see Fig. 9 for the per-round breakdown).

2.5 Assumptions and results

- **Ethylene claim (hardware):** On the 4-qubit PPP ethylene model with mean-field initial state $|S0^M F\rangle$ (exact overlap $|\langle S0|S0^M F\rangle|^2 = 0.97427$, App. A, p. 15), SE-QPE on H2-2 recovers the canonical-QPE phase-register statistics. The M=5 HW cat-SE-QPE modal bitstring gives $\tilde{E}_G S = -0.235619$ Ha vs. exact ED ground state $E_G S = -0.234282$ Ha - a residual ≈ 1.35 mHa attributed to statevector-level Trotter bias (Trotter-free statevector peak is at the same bitstring, p. 9 col. 1). The M=6 HW cat-SE-QPE modal bitstring gives $\tilde{E}_G S = -0.245437$ Ha (1.1 mHa residual vs. exact).
- **Peak-retention advantage (hardware):** “The 5 ancilla qubit SE-QPE case yielded an improvement of 23.35% over canonical QPE on the Quantinuum H2-Emulator in reading out the most likely bitstring. The 6 ancilla qubit SE-QPE case successfully read out the correct ground-state energy peak, whereas no clear peak could be determined from the canonical QPE equivalent on the Quantinuum H2-Emulator” (p. 10 col. 2, conclusion). Table IV “Peak (%) Filtered” supports this: 42.77% for HW MR-cat-SE-QPE at M=5 vs. a no-peak EM-QPE row at M=6 (marked with *, p. 11 caption).
- **FeMoco claim (simulation-only):** Second-order Trotter DF-QPE resource estimates across active spaces from (2e,2o) to (60e,60o), plus (54e,54o), (57e,57o), (60e,60o) (p. 6 col. 2). Chemical-accuracy budget $\varepsilon_{chem} = 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ Ha split equally across truncation / Trotter / T-synthesis / phase-grid errors (Eq. (28)). Asymptotic gain factors:
 - $g_{CX} \hat{\text{count}} \approx 2/3$, $g_{CX} \hat{\text{depth}} \approx 3/N$ (Eq. (26)).
 - $g_{Rz} \hat{\text{count}} \approx 3/4$, $g_{Rz} \hat{\text{depth}} \approx 3/8$ (Eq. (27)).
 - Fig. 5 shows compiled ratios converging onto these asymptotes beyond N ≈ 10 spin-orbitals; raw CX and T counts for QPE at N=120 reach $\approx 10^{14}$.

- **Error bars / noise:** For hardware, statistical bars in Fig. 7 are Wilson-score intervals over 5000 shots. Trotter bias is corrected perturbatively with $\delta E_QPE = 0.00091716 Ha^2$ in $s_1 = \tau/2 + \lambda\tau^3$ (Eq. (B3), App. B). Chosen $\tau = 10 Ha^{-1}$ (M=5) and $\tau = 8 Ha^{-1}$ (M=6); at these choices phase-grid discretisation dominates ($\delta E_QPE = 9.82$ mHa at M=5 and 6.14 mHa at M=6, App. C). Hardware noise is characterised indirectly through the H2-Emulator noise model referenced as [67].
- **Classical baseline:** Exact diagonalisation of the PPP Hamiltonian gives $E_G S = -0.234282$ Ha (App. A). Comparison to H2 O’Malley et al. [30] is the only prior molecular-QPE-with-iQFT hardware baseline invoked - and on a different platform (superconducting, 2-qubit H_2).

2.6 Portability flags

Features the paper depends on that will matter when Brillant evaluates non-H2 platforms:

- **All-to-all connectivity assumed in the cost model.** Per §III closing paragraph (p. 6): “Table I costs assume all-to-all connectivity (e.g. trapped-ion architectures) so that dense ZZ layers can be scheduled via perfect matchings and cat state fan-out can be implemented in $O(\log N)$ depth. On architectures with restricted connectivity, additional routing overhead would modify both the baseline evolution and the CSWAP-layer costs, and the substitution gains should be reevaluated using architecture-specific constraints.” The ≈ 33 CX-count and $3/N$ CX-depth advantages are therefore not directly transferable to heavy-hex (IBM) or linear-NN topologies without recomputation.
- **Mid-circuit measurement + reset of the reference register is critical.** The MR-cat-SE-QPE variant depends on mid-circuit reset to re-prepare $|0\rangle$ after every CSWAP-gadget and to feed error-detection flags (Figs. 3, 9). Platforms without mid-circuit measurement (or with poor reset fidelity) lose the error-detection advantage that the paper highlights at M=6.
- **Cat/GHZ fan-out of the CSWAP control.** To parallelise the N CSWAPs to log-depth the authors prepare a cat state on N fan-out ancillas via a CX tree. The analysis assumes $2(N-1)$ CXs with depth $2\lceil \log_2 N \rceil$ (Table I, p. 7); on restricted connectivity this is significantly more expensive.
- **Native ZZPhase gate.** Gate counts and depths in Table IV are quoted directly in ZZPhase, the native H2 two-qubit primitive. For CX-native superconducting gate sets or $XX(\theta)$ – native platforms, re-decomposition is required and per-gate cost will shift.
- **Particle-conserving Hamiltonian.** The vacuum-reference trick specifically requires that the reference state be an eigenstate of $U(\tau)$; for molecular electronic-structure Hamiltonians in second quantisation the fermionic vacuum trivially satisfies this with a classically-computable eigenphase (Eq. (9)). The method *does not* directly apply to non-particle-conserving models (e.g. spin models without a conserved-particle sector). The paper acknowledges CU-QPE / compute-uncompute substitutions handle the more general case but with extra runtime overhead and without a general phase-statistics equivalence to canonical QPE (§II, Fig. 4; App. E).
- **CSWAP-as-7-CX decomposition.** The paper uses Cruz & Murta’s 7-CX CSWAP (ref. [47]) with T-count 7. Hardware that does not support Clifford+T efficiently, or that has a cheaper native CSWAP, will change the per-CSWAP overhead used in the analysis.

- **Barrier-preserving compilation + dynamical decoupling.** Removing compiler barriers or increasing optimisation level is reported to “cause compilation with gate optimization across CSWAP-gadgets resulting in disorderly circuits with mid-circuit measurements and qubit reset gates displaced from their positions after each CSWAP-gadget” (p. 9 \rightarrow p. 10). Portability to compilers without a barrier primitive, or to qubits with short coherence relative to long idle windows, is non-trivial.
- **No logical-level encoding.** The 16-17 H2-2 qubits are physical trapped-ion qubits; there is no fault-tolerant encoding in the hardware run. The FeMoco analysis, by contrast, quotes T counts suitable for fault-tolerant costing (ref. [41, 51] synthesis cost). These two halves of the paper live at different levels of abstraction.

2.7 Caveats

The arXiv PDF (pp. 1-20, including Appendices A-F) is fully accessible - there is no paywall and no separately-hosted Supplementary Information. All tables, figures, and equations cited above are inside this single file. One minor gap: Appendices D and the figure set 11-18 give resource metrics for the circuit building blocks (U_0 , U_l , cU_0 , cU_l , W , W_block) at N up to 30, but do not tabulate the absolute FeMoco resource numbers at each reported active space - those must be read off the log-scale panels of Fig. 5. Wall-clock runtime on H2-2 is not reported for any circuit. The paper does not quote a separate “two-qubit gate error rate” that could be plugged into an independent noise model; the Emulator noise profile is cited only via ref. [67] (Quantinuum H2-Emulator product page).

3 Portability matrix

Anchor: Quantinuum System Model H2-2 (trapped-ion QCCD) executed the split-evolution QPE demo on a 4-qubit Jordan-Wigner PPP model of ethylene at 16-17 physical qubits, ZZPhase depth 166-251, 5 000 shots per circuit, between 28 Jan and 11 Mar 2026, reported in arXiv:2604.14921 (Table IV, p. 11). **Two distinct claims, keep separate:** the hardware execution is the 4-system-qubit ethylene PPP model at 16-17 physical qubits; the FeMoco analysis in §III-IV is a *resource-analysis extrapolation* under double-factorised Trotter, not a hardware run. Portability conclusions about FeMoco-scale ($N \approx 120$) follow from the cost model, not from any executed circuit.

Julien’s fingerprint: 16-17 physical qubits (4 system + 4 reference + 5-6 phase + 3 cat fan-out); native ZZPhase with up to 890 two-qubit gates and depth ≤ 251 ; all-to-all connectivity assumed in the cost model (Table I, p. 7); mid-circuit measurement + reset is critical for the MR variant; log-depth cat/GHZ fan-out of the CSWAP control; second-quantised particle-conserving Hamiltonian with fermionic vacuum as reference; 7-CX CSWAP decomposition. See `extraction.md` for full detail.

3.1 Summary

Modality	Vendor	Verdict	2026 fit
Trapped ion (QCCD) (anchor)	Quantinuum H2-2	runs as-is	yes
Trapped ion	IonQ Forte	runs with translation	yes (non-MR only)
Superconducting	IBM Heron r3	runs with translation	yes
Neutral atom (digital)	QuEra Gemini	runs with translation (compiler-dependent hypothesis)	partial (non-MR only; native lowering unverified)
Neutral atom (analog)	Pasqal Orion Gamma	architectural rewrite	no
Photonic (fusion / MBQC)	PsiQuantum (Omega)	infeasible today	no
Photonic (mixed)	Xanadu (Borealis GBS / Aurora prototype / future universal)	infeasible today	no
NV / diamond	Quantum Brilliance	infeasible today	no

3.2 Quantinuum H2-2 - runs as-is (*anchor*)

Modality: Trapped ion (QCCD).

H2-2 is the platform the paper actually ran on: native ZZPhase as the two-qubit primitive, all-to-all QCCD connectivity that lets the cost model assume dense ZZ-layer scheduling and $O(\log N)$ cat fan-out, and mid-circuit measurement + reset (MCMR) used directly by the MR-cat-SE-QPE variant for reference-register reset and error-detection flagging. The advertised 56 fully-connected qubits cover the 16-qubit ($M = 5$) and 17-qubit ($M = 6$) instances with headroom for the cat fan-out register.

Translation: - (anchor row, no translation needed).

Evidence:

- arXiv:2604.14921 Table IV, p. 11 - hardware run on H2-2 from 28 Jan to 11 Mar 2026.
- quantinuum.com/hardware/h2 (retrieved 2026-04-18) - System Model H2 specifications: native ZZPhase, all-to-all QCCD connectivity, mid-circuit measurement + reset.

3.3 IonQ Forte - runs with translation

Modality: Trapped ion.

IonQ Forte is the obvious within-modality second platform: any-pair entanglement on a single ion chain, an MS/ZZ native two-qubit family close to ZZPhase, 36 qubits comfortably above the 17-qubit $M = 6$ demand, and 0.4 % two-qubit gate error in the same ballpark as the H2-2 fidelity envelope. The critical constraint from Julien’s fingerprint is mid-circuit measurement: IonQ’s public SDK documentation says it is not supported, which scopes the verdict to the **non-MR** cat-SE-QPE variant.

Translation: Scope of this row is the **non-MR cat-SE-QPE** variant: (i) rebuild the 4-qubit JW PPP Trotter step against IonQ’s MS/ZZ native two-qubit family - ZZPhase(θ) maps near-directly and ZZPhase count stays around 890 at $M = 6$; (ii) keep the log-depth cat fan-out on Forte’s any-pair connectivity, with no SWAP inflation; (iii) run the 17-qubit instance well within the 36-qubit budget. The **MR-cat-SE-QPE** variant - and the $M = 6$ error-detection advantage that depends on it - is **infeasible on Forte today**: IonQ’s public SDK documentation states that “Mid-circuit measurements and partial measurements are not supported”, so the reference-register reset after every CSWAP-gadget cannot be emulated. Net: porting the non-MR variant is a compilation pass; porting the MR variant waits on an MCMR rollout that is not on the public spec sheet.

Evidence:

- ionq.com/quantum-systems/forte (retrieved 2026-04-18) - 36 qubit count, any-pair entanglement, 0.4 % two-qubit gate error.
- docs.ionq.com/sdks/qbraid (retrieved 2026-04-18) - “Mid-circuit measurements and partial measurements are not supported”.

3.4 IBM Heron r3 - runs with translation

Modality: Superconducting.

Heron r3 is the strongest non-trapped-ion target *architecturally*: IBM’s QPU-information page lists `measure`, `reset`, and `if_else` (classical feedforward) in the supported-instruction set, and the dynamic-circuits-on-Heron-r3 tutorial is costed on a Heron r3 processor and uses mid-circuit measurement, feedforward, and `if_test`-conditional operations end-to-end - so the MR-cat-SE-QPE variant has a plausible compilation path. But the row is “MR variant *architecturally* available” rather than “MR variant *operationally* costed”: a routed resource estimate of the CSWAP-gadget fan-out + MCMR + feedforward chain against the heavy-hex compiler is the precondition for asserting the MR variant survives in any operationally meaningful sense. The paper itself flags (§III closing paragraph) that restricted connectivity changes both the baseline evolution and the CSWAP-layer costs, so Table I’s all-to-all asymptotes do not transfer. 156 qubits cover the demonstration instance comfortably; FeMoco-scale ($N \approx 120$) is where heavy-hex routing overhead bites.

Translation: (i) Decompose the 7-CX CSWAPs into Heron’s ECR / CZ basis and route onto the heavy-hex lattice; SWAP inflation on the log-depth cat fan-out is moderate at 17 qubits ($N - 1 = 3$ fan-out ancillas fit inside one heavy-hex cluster) but would dominate at FeMoco $N \approx 120$. (ii) Preserve the MR variant by lowering MCMR to IBM’s `measure` + `reset` instructions and the reference-register error-detection flags to `if_else`-conditional gates - IBM’s dynamic-circuits-on-Heron-r3 tutorial demonstrates this exact path. (iii) Re-derive the resource asymptotes: the paper’s $\approx 33\%$ CX-count reduction and $3/N$ CX-depth ratio (Eq. (26)) are derived under all-to-all and do not transfer to heavy-hex without recomputation against a routing-aware compiler.

Evidence:

- quantum.cloud.ibm.com/docs/en/guides/processor-types (retrieved 2026-04-18) - Heron r3, 156 qubits, heavy-hex topology.
- quantum.cloud.ibm.com/docs/en/guides/qpu-information (retrieved 2026-04-18) - supported instructions include `measure`, `reset`, `if_else` (classical feedforward).
- quantum.cloud.ibm.com/docs/en/tutorials/dc-hex-ising (retrieved 2026-04-18) - dynamic-circuits tutorial costed on Heron r3 with mid-circuit measurement + feedforward.

3.5 QuEra Gemini - runs with translation (compiler-dependent hypothesis)

Modality: Neutral atom (digital).

The Gemini row splits cleanly into two sub-claims, neither of which the cited public evidence currently settles:

- **Non-MR variant (compiler-dependent hypothesis).** Plausible *if* QuEra’s compiler can lower the SE-QPE ZZPhase/CSWAP stack onto Gemini’s digital gate set - but the cited Gemini page does not name the native two-qubit gate, does not document the lowering of ZZPhase(θ), and does not publish a compiler recipe for the CSWAP gadget. Capacity (12 of 260 qubits) and DQA all-to-all are fine. The row is therefore a hypothesis pending native-gate disclosure or a costed compiler trace, not a routine translation.
- **MR variant (unsupported on public evidence).** Mid-circuit measurement with atom-preserving reset is not advertised on the Gemini spec page; the MR-cat-SE-QPE variant requires it for reference-register reset. Until QuEra publishes MCM/reset support,

the MR variant should not be claimed runnable on public evidence - not “uncertain”, *unsupported*.

Translation: Treat as a compiler-retargeting project, not a transpilation pass. Preconditions for promoting the non-MR row to a costed runs with translation: (i) QuEra discloses or the compiler emits a native-gate trace for the ZZPhase(θ) Trotter layer plus the CSWAP gadget, (ii) the resulting 2Q gate count and depth are within Gemini’s fidelity envelope at 17 qubits, (iii) the shot budget at Gemini’s published throughput is bounded. Preconditions for the MR row to move off **unsupported**: published atom-preserving MCM + reset, with named primitives.

Evidence:

- quera.com/gemini (retrieved 2026-04-18) - 260 qubits, digital gate-mode, > 99.2 % 2Q fidelity, DQA-based all-to-all; no MCM in listed specs.

3.6 Pasqal Orion Gamma - architectural rewrite

Modality: Neutral atom (analog).

Pasqal’s production modality on Orion Gamma is analog Rydberg evolution under a programmable Ising-type Hamiltonian - not gate-based digital QPE. The paper’s algorithm is fundamentally digital: canonical QPE on a second-quantised fermionic Hamiltonian with second-order Trotterisation, CSWAP-gadget substitution, and an explicit inverse QFT. There is no compilation pass that turns that into an analog Rydberg pulse sequence; making it run on Orion Gamma is a research programme, not a port.

Translation: Re-expressing the algorithm as analog Rydberg evolution would mean abandoning the CSWAP-gadget primitive entirely and recasting electronic-structure phase estimation as a Rydberg-native analog evolution - for example analog adiabatic state preparation under

$$H = \sum_i \frac{\Omega}{2} \sigma_i^x - \sum_i \Delta \hat{n}_i + \sum_{ij} V_{ij} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j$$

with variational readout for the eigenphase. That is a research programme on a different problem class, not a transpilation pass. Digital-analog pulses are on Pasqal’s roadmap but are not the committed production modality today; until they are, the SE-QPE construction simply does not have a target on Orion Gamma. Horizon: viable post-2028 at the earliest, conditional on the digital-analog mode reaching commercial availability.

Evidence:

- pasqal.com/hardware (retrieved 2026-04-18) - Orion Gamma, 140+ qubits, “native analog modality”.

3.7 PsiQuantum (Omega) - infeasible today

Modality: Photonic (fusion / MBQC).

Architecturally the paper would need to be re-expressed in PsiQuantum’s fusion-based / measurement-based formalism on the Omega silicon-photonic chipset. Even setting the rewrite aside, no commercial cloud device is accessible in 2026: PsiQuantum’s Brisbane and Chicago utility-scale sites are still under construction, so there is no hardware to run a port on. Horizon: viable post-2027 at the earliest, contingent on Brisbane/Chicago coming online and a fusion-based compiler stack for chemistry being published.

Translation: Two compounding obstacles. First, the algorithm would have to be re-expressed in the fusion-based MBQC formalism - recasting the CSWAP-gadget, the cat fan-out, and the iQFT against entangled resource states with fusion gates, which is a different compilation model from gate-based QPE. Second, no Omega-class device is on a commercial cloud in 2026; Brisbane and Chicago are roadmap milestones, not currently bookable hardware. Both obstacles need to clear before this row could move to **architectural rewrite or runs with translation**.

Evidence:

- psiquantum.com/technology (retrieved 2026-04-18) - fusion-based measurement architecture on the Omega chipset; Brisbane and Chicago described as future utility-scale facilities.

3.8 Xanadu - infeasible today

Modality: Photonic (mixed: GBS / CV prototype / future universal).

Xanadu’s public hardware line covers three distinct devices that should not be conflated:

- **Borealis (GBS / Braket-listed historically).** A Gaussian boson sampler with squeezed light - a non-universal, sampling-only modality that does not expose universal gate-based computation. Canonical SE-QPE on a fermionic Hamiltonian requires universal gate execution that Borealis does not implement. As of 2026 it is no longer in AWS Braket’s supported-devices list, so even the GBS lane is off the open cloud.
- **Aurora (Jan 2025 networking prototype, not a cloud QPU).** A 12-mode CV research prototype with four modular server racks; 35 photonic chips; 13 km of fibre; 84 squeezers; and 36 PNR detectors. It was demonstrated to showcase modular networking, not opened to users via API/cloud. The announcement frames near-term work as optical-loss reduction before scaling. Not a 2026-bookable hardware target for SE-QPE.
- **Future universal/fusion-based path.** Xanadu’s longer-horizon direction toward fault-tolerant photonic computing is a different architecture with no published 2026 device; the verdict on that lane is “no commercial target this year” rather than “architecturally disqualified.”

Net: SE-QPE has no Xanadu execution target in 2026 across any of the three lanes.

Translation: No port path exists today. Borealis is architecturally disqualified (sampling, not universal); Aurora is access-disqualified (prototype, not cloud); the future universal substrate is timeline-disqualified (no 2026 device). Verdict would change for the universal lane once a programmable DV/fusion-based successor reaches a commercial cloud.

Evidence:

- [AWS Amazon Braket “Supported regions and devices” documentation](#) (retrieved 2026-05-16) - current QPU device list shows no Xanadu entry; Borealis no longer Braket-supported.
- [xanadu.ai - Aurora announcement \(2025-01-22\)](#) (retrieved 2026-05-16) - Aurora framed as a networking/modularity prototype, not a cloud-accessible QPU.
- Madsen *et al.*, *Nature* **638**, 912-919 (2025) - “Scaling and networking a modular photonic quantum computer”, Aurora architecture paper.
- Madsen *et al.*, “Quantum computational advantage with a programmable photonic processor”, *Nature* 606, 75-81 (2022) - Borealis as GBS device (primary, replacing earlier secondary citation).

3.9 Quantum Brilliance - infeasible today

Modality: NV / diamond.

Stated on public evidence: **no Quantum Brilliance public material documents a 2026 gate-model NV system with the 16-17 qubit register, all-to-all (or routable) control, MCM/reset, and 2Q-fidelity envelope this paper’s demonstration needs.** The public technology and hardware pages describe room-temperature NV-diamond computing and chip integration as an edge-accelerator product but do not publish a committed 2026 device qubit count or a documented entangling-gate path at the required scale. Ezratty’s *UQT 2025* (§8.5.5) summarises the open NV-platform challenges as “two-qubit gate fidelities not stellar, not demonstrated at scale so far.”

Translation: Disqualified on public evidence rather than a specific numeric scale claim: the paper needs a documented 16-17 qubit gate-model NV substrate with an entangling-gate path; the public QB pages do not expose such a machine today. Horizon: plausible only after a publicly specified multi-qubit NV module or optical-bus scale-up reaches a documented qubit count and gate-fidelity envelope matching the SE-QPE demo; no public roadmap commits to that today.

Evidence:

- [quantumbrilliance.com/technology](#) (retrieved 2026-05-16) - room-temperature NV-diamond, edge-accelerator positioning; no committed 2026 device qubit count.
- [quantumbrilliance.com](#) (retrieved 2026-05-16) - hardware/product overview; no published 16+ qubit gate-model module with MCM and the required 2Q-fidelity envelope.
- Ezratty *UQT 2025* §8.5.5 “Manufacturing” p. 503 - NV-platform open challenges: 2Q-fidelity, scale.

- Ezratty *UQT 2025* §8.5.6 “Vendors” pp. 504-505 - Quantum Brilliance NV-in-diamond, photonic interconnect plan.

Commentary (≈ 350 words). Portability of the non-MR cat-SE-QPE variant is genuinely easy only inside the trapped-ion modality. **IonQ Forte** is the obvious “second platform” worth actually running for the non-MR variant: 36 qubits leave headroom over the 17-qubit $M = 6$ instance, the any-pair ion-chain topology preserves the log-depth cat fan-out that the paper’s cost model leans on, and Forte’s MS/ZZ native two-qubit primitive is close enough to ZZPhase that the CSWAP-gadget translation is a compilation pass, not a redesign. The critical caveat is that IonQ’s public SDK documentation currently states that mid-circuit measurements and partial measurements are not supported, so the MR-cat-SE-QPE variant and the $M = 6$ error-detection advantage it unlocks cannot be replicated on Forte today; that narrows the Forte recommendation to the non-MR variant unless and until IonQ publishes MCMR availability on the spec sheet. Among the non-trapped-ion tier, **IBM Heron r3** is the strongest secondary target because heavy-hex preserves both variants end-to-end: IBM’s QPU-information page lists `measure`, `reset`, and `if_else` classical feedforward in the supported-instruction set, and the dynamic-circuits tutorial is costed explicitly on a Heron r3 processor - so the MR variant’s reference-register resets and error-detection flags survive the port. The cost is on the translation side: heavy-hex routing inflates SWAPs on the CSWAP fan-out, and the paper’s $\approx 33\%$ CX-count and $3/N$ CX-depth asymptotes (Eq. (26)) are derived under all-to-all and would have to be re-solved against the heavy-hex lattice, especially at FeMoco $N \approx 120$. **QuEra Gemini** remains attractive on qubit count and DQA-based all-to-all but kneecaps the MR story because the public Gemini spec sheet does not advertise MCM; the non-MR variant is fine, the MR variant is uncertain pending follow-up with QuEra. **Pasqal Orion Gamma**’s analog Rydberg modality is the wrong formalism for gate-based fermionic QPE; **PsiQuantum (Omega)** is not on a commercial cloud in 2026; **Xanadu** has no 2026 SE-QPE target across any lane (Borealis is GBS-sampling-only and off Braket; Aurora is a networking prototype, not a cloud QPU; the future universal/fusion path has no 2026 device); **Quantum Brilliance** NV-diamond nodes are an order of magnitude too small. Structural gap: `vendor-app/edges.json` has no silicon-spin vendor, so the spin lane is omitted rather than padded - worth flagging to the curator if spin becomes decision-relevant for later chemistry dossiers.

3.10 Residual caveats

- **Ethylene hardware demo vs FeMoco resource analysis.** The hardware execution is a 16-17 physical-qubit ethylene PPP model; FeMoco-scale ($N \approx 120$) results in §III-IV are a resource-analysis extrapolation under double-factorised Trotter, not a hardware run. These are two distinct claims and should not be quoted as if they were one experiment.
- **QuEra Gemini (compiler-dependent hypothesis).** The non-MR variant is plausible only *if* QuEra’s compiler can lower the SE-QPE ZZPhase/CSWAP stack onto Gemini’s digital gate set, which the cited Gemini page does not document. The MR variant is *unsupported* on public evidence (no advertised MCM/reset), not just “uncertain”. Treat as hypothesis pending native-gate disclosure.
- **IBM Heron r3 MR variant - architecturally available, not operationally costed.** Heron’s `measure/reset/if_else` instruction set and the dynamic-circuits tutorial give the MR variant a plausible compilation path, but a routed resource estimate of the CSWAP-gadget fan-out + MCMR + feedforward chain against the heavy-hex compiler is the precondition for asserting operational survival. Until that estimate exists, the MR claim is architectural, not operational.

- **Quantum Brilliance disqualification on public evidence, not on a specific scale claim.** The earlier “two-orders-of-magnitude” wording was wrong; the public-evidence disqualification is that no QB material documents a 16-qubit gate-model NV system with the required control features.
- **Xanadu lanes must stay split.** Borealis (GBS, no longer Bracket-listed), Aurora (Jan 2025 networking prototype, not a cloud QPU), and the future universal/fusion-based path are three distinct devices and should not be conflated in any 2026 portability claim.