

A digitally controlled silicon quantum processing unit

Portability dossier - benchmark

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2604.16216-hrl-silicon-qpu
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.16216
Category	benchmark
Triaged	2026-05-16 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

Contents

1	Capability note	2
1.1	Claim	2
1.2	Vendor and machine	2
1.3	Metrics	2
1.4	Context	3
1.5	Caveats	4

1 Capability note

1.1 Claim

HRL Laboratories demonstrated an integrated silicon exchange-only (EO) quantum processing unit driven by a 4-K cryogenic CMOS controller, reporting mean single-qubit gate error of 2×10^{-4} and mean CNOT error of 3×10^{-3} (an order-of-magnitude advance over the prior EO state of the art), and validated it with distance-5 and distance-3 repetition codes plus a $[[4, 2, 2]]$ quantum error detecting code (arXiv preprint, April 2026).

1.2 Vendor and machine

Item	Value
Vendor	HRL Laboratories, LLC (Malibu, California, USA)
Modality	Silicon exchange-only (EO) spin qubits, three-electron triple-dot encoding
Qubit device	Si/SiGe quantum well, isotopically enhanced, 200~nm foundry process; 54 exchange-coupled quantum dots over three rails, configurable to up to 18 EO qubits in a 3×6 lattice
Controller	Fully custom mixed-signal cryo-CMOS SoC in 130~nm RF CMOS, ≈ 70 -million transistors, operating at 4-K
Interconnect	Nb-on-polyimide high-density superconducting ribbon cable (296 coaxial lines) bridging 4-K to the mK stage
Software stack	Co-designed compiler, custom instruction set, autonomous multi-sequencer engine (up to 250~MHz); “Squeaky” spin/event simulators for model comparison
Date	arXiv 2604.16216, submitted April 2026 (v1), revised May 2026 (v2)

1.3 Metrics

Metric	Value	Source
Quantum Volume / linear XEB	not reported	n/a
1Q gate error (mean, BRB)	2×10^{-4} (fidelity $\approx 99.98\%$)	Fig. 3a
2Q CNOT error (mean, interleaved BRB)	3×10^{-3} (fidelity $\approx 99.7\%$)	Fig. 3a

Metric	Value	Source
2Q CNOT error (lowest reproducible)	9×10^{-4} (fidelity $\approx 99.91\%$)	Fig. 3a
Distance-5 repetition code LER	5.0×10^{-3} , 7 EO qubits	Fig. 4c
Distance-3 repetition code LER (with LRUs)	3.2×10^{-3}	Fig. 4d
Distance improvement factor $\Lambda_{5/3}$	4.7	Fig. 4c
[[4, 2, 2]] two-logical-qubit fidelity (3 rounds, post-selected)	$F_L = 0.95$, 6 physical qubits	Fig. 4e
[[4, 2, 2]] fidelity ignoring error-detection	$F_L = 0.59$	text
Circuit depth sustained	200 syndrome-extraction rounds; 335,422 exchange pulses per 200-round shot	Fig. 4a, 4c
Qubit count used	7 ([5, 1, 5]), 6 ([[4, 2, 2]]); 18 max configurable	text
Charge noise N_{osc} (oscillations to $1/e$ decay)	median 674 (quartiles 394, 977)	Fig. 3b
Magnetic dephasing T_2^*	median 19.3 $\sim\mu\text{s}$ (quartiles 11.1, 25.6 $\sim\mu\text{s}$)	Fig. 3c
Effective electron temperature	150 $\sim\text{mK}$	Quantum chip §
Controller power	$\leq 3.5\text{-W}$ in typical operation	Controller §
Ribbon thermal load / crosstalk	$< 10 \mu\text{W}$ (4 $\sim\text{K}$ to mK); $< -80\text{-dB}$ crosstalk up to 10 $\sim\text{GHz}$	Interconnect §
SPAM assignment infidelity	best $\approx 1.2\%$ at the [[4, 2, 2]] readout site	Methods

1.4 Context

This is HRL’s first system-integrated EO QPU, pairing low-noise mK silicon qubits with 4 $\sim\text{K}$ cryo-CMOS control over a superconducting ribbon. The headline claim is an order-of-magnitude gate-error improvement over HRL’s own prior EO work (Weinstein 2023, Ha 2025, Madzik 2025); intrinsic charge noise improves by a similar factor. The [[4, 2, 2]] code had earlier been run on trapped-ion, superconducting, and neutral-atom platforms, and recently on silicon (Undseth 2026, Zhang 2026), so the modality, not the code, is the novelty here. No fault-tolerance threshold is crossed, but $\Lambda_{5/3} = 4.7$ distance scaling and well-behaved (near-Markovian) errors are reported. The genuinely distinctive contribution is the power- and space-efficient cryogenic control architecture rather than a record single-number benchmark.

1.5 Caveats

- This is an arXiv preprint (v2, May 2026); peer-review status is not established. Authorship is attributed to “Members of the HRL Quantum Team and Collaborators.”
- The “order of magnitude” framing is relative to HRL’s prior EO devices, not an absolute cross-modality record; silicon two-qubit fidelities near 99.9% have been reported elsewhere, so the CNOT mean of 3×10^{-3} is competitive but not unprecedented.
- The best CNOT figure (9×10^{-4}) is a “lowest reproducible” value, well below the reported mean (3×10^{-3}); the mean is the fair headline number.
- The $[[4, 2, 2]]$ result is error detection with post-selection, not active correction: roughly 77% of the 10,000 shots per basis are discarded, and F_L collapses to 0.59 without the detecting measurements.
- The distance-5 experiment showed “as-yet unexplained fluctuations in error rate” not seen in the distance-3 or $[[4, 2, 2]]$ data.
- Gate-performance metrics come from the QPU used for $[[4, 2, 2]]$, while the cryoMUX charge- and magnetic-noise data come from a separate chip “of the same type,” so the parametrics are not all from a single device.