

# Randomised measurements of a disorder-induced entanglement transition in a neutral atom quantum processor

Portability dossier - benchmark

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2604.24854-aquila-entanglement-transition
Source	arXiv
Link	<a href="https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.24854">https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.24854</a>
Category	benchmark
Triaged	2026-05-16 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Capability note</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Claim	2
1.2	Vendor and machine	2
1.3	Metrics	2
1.4	Context	4
1.5	Caveats	4

# 1 Capability note

## 1.1 Claim

A modified randomised-measurement protocol, driven entirely by global control plus programmable local detuning, was implemented on QuEra’s Aquila to measure the second-order Renyi entanglement entropy of a 3-qubit subsystem within a 6-qubit  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  chain, resolving a disorder-tuned transition between chaotic (fast, linear) and localised (slow, logarithmic) entanglement growth.

## 1.2 Vendor and machine

- Vendor / machine: QuEra Computing, Aquila, a cloud-accessible programmable analogue (Rydberg) neutral-atom quantum simulator.  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  atoms in optical tweezers, qubits encoded in  $|g\rangle \equiv |^5S_{1/2}\rangle$  and  $|r\rangle \equiv |^7S_{1/2}\rangle$ , coupled by static van der Waals interaction  $J_{ij} = C_6/|\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j|^6$ . Hardware supports up to 256 atoms within a  $75\ \mu\text{m} \times 125\ \mu\text{m}$  area.
- Access / software stack: run through AWS Braket (Amazon Braket credits acknowledged). Analysis and emulation in QuTiP 5.1.0, Python 3.12.2, `uncertainties`, `numpy` 3.2.2, SciPy 1.15.2. Code open on GitHub (`oscars47/RydSFF`); raw data archived at Oxford University Research Archive.
- Date of experiment: not reported in the manuscript (arXiv 2604.24854, preprint circulated 2026).

## 1.3 Metrics

Metric	Value	Source
QV / linear XEB	not reported (not applicable: analogue simulator, no gate-model benchmark)	–
1Q gate fidelity	not reported (no discrete gates; global drive only)	–
2Q gate fidelity	not reported (interactions are always-on van der Waals)	–

Metric	Value	Source
Circuit depth sustained	not gate-based; randomisation is $L = 16$ global $\phi$ -quenches, each $\tau = 0.06 \mu\text{s}$ (total $L\tau = 0.96 \mu\text{s}$ ); full sequence fits inside the coherence window	Methods, Fig. 3a
Qubit count used	6 ( $N = 6$ , 1D chain, spacing $a = 10 \mu\text{m}$ ); $N = 12$ in numerics only	Methods
$T_2^*$ (bare, no local detuning)	$6.2 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{s}$ ; usable window $\approx 4 \mu\text{s}$	Methods (decoherence)
Local-detuning decoherence metric $\theta_{\text{ramsey}}$	$4.8 \pm 0.4$	Methods
Readout error (Rydberg / ground)	$\epsilon_r = 0.1$ , $\epsilon_g = 0.05$	Methods (readout)
Array fill after postselection	$\approx 95\%$ correctly filled 6-qubit arrays ( $\approx 190$ of 200 shots usable)	Methods (measurement)
Total shots	1,800,000 (200 shots per configuration)	Methods
Renyi-measurement coverage	$z$ -projection variance $\approx 50\%$ of Haar-random 2-design	Fig. 2a, results
Hamiltonian parameters	$J = 5.42 \mu\text{s}^{-1}$ , $\Omega =$ $15.8 \mu\text{s}^{-1} = 2.92J$ ; disorder $ \Delta_{\text{local}}  \in$ $\{0.5J, 10J, 23.06J\}$	Methods

Metric	Value	Source
Disorder / rotation sampling	$n_{\text{ens}} = 15$ disorder realisations; $n_U = 15/20/25$ random unitaries	Methods

## 1.4 Context

This is a methods and capability demonstration, not a record-style benchmark, and it prints no quantum-volume, XEB, or gate-fidelity figure. Its contribution is to extend the randomised-measurement toolbox (previously shown on digital systems with local control, for example second Renyi entropy of up to 10-qubit partitions of a 20-ion trapped-ion register, Brydges et al. 2019) to a commercially available analogue simulator that has no local gate control, using only global drive phase quenches plus static local detuning. A concurrent Aquila preprint (Toga et al. 2026) builds a global 2-design to measure out-of-time-order correlators; the present work instead targets subsystem purity, which needs approximately local rotations. The reported  $T_2^* \approx 6 \mu\text{s}$  and  $\approx 95\%$  array fill are consistent with Aquila’s documented capability rather than an advance on it.

## 1.5 Caveats

- Preprint, not peer reviewed. The manuscript is formatted for journal submission but is an arXiv preprint at time of triage; treat all numbers as pre-review. Against that, raw data (Oxford ORA) and figure/analysis code (GitHub) are openly published, so the central results are reproducible from open data.
- The authors claim only a baseline signature of the transition, not its existence: phases are labelled presumed, and they state that confirming a genuine phase transition needs a larger experimental campaign with a scaling analysis.  $N = 6$  was chosen because single-qubit readout error accumulates exponentially with system size.
- The 2-design is approximate. Coverage variance is  $\approx 50\%$  of Haar and the randomisation sequence loses single-qubit purity on average; experimental entropy only qualitatively matches the unitary prediction and carries a large time-independent offset attributed to readout error and decoherence.
- Spectral and dynamical chaos-versus-localisation signatures (level-spacing ratios, spectral form factor, eigenstate entanglement) are numerical ( $N = 12$ , exact diagonalisation), not measured on hardware.