

# Hardware Realization of a Hamiltonian Simulation Algorithm for Time-Domain Maxwells Equations

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2604.25229-ionq-maxwell-equations
Source	arXiv
Link	<a href="https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.25229">https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.25229</a>
Category	app
Triaged	2026-05-16 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Domain classification . . . . .	3
1.2	Expert persona for Julien . . . . .	3
1.3	Related prior work (brief bibliography) . . . . .	3
1.4	Difficulty estimate . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Extraction</b>	<b>4</b>

2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph)	4
2.2	Quantum hardware used	4
2.3	Computational primitive	4
2.4	Resource fingerprint	4
2.5	Assumptions and results	5
2.6	Portability flags	6
2.7	Caveats	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Portability matrix</b>	<b>8</b>

# 1 Source

## 1.1 Domain classification

other - computational electromagnetics / PDE simulation. No secondary fixed-list domain; the local graph files this under `simulation_physics`.

## 1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A computational electromagnetics researcher who has implemented FDTD or Yee-grid Maxwell solvers and can read gate-model Hamiltonian simulation papers. They should understand how field components, signs, boundary conditions, and local observables move through a Schrodingerisation-based circuit. They do not need to be an IonQ specialist, but should be comfortable reading trapped-ion execution and Qiskit/IonQ run details.

## 1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- Shi Jin, Nana Liu, and Chuwen Ma, “Quantum simulation of Maxwell’s equations via Schrodingerisation”, ESAIM: M2AN 58(5), 1853-1879, 2024. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1051/m2an/2024046> and arXiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.08408>.
- Chuwen Ma, Shi Jin, Nana Liu, Kezhen Wang, and Lei Zhang, “Schrodingerization based Quantum Circuits for Maxwell’s Equation with time-dependent source terms”, arXiv:2411.10999, 2024. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.10999>.
- Junpeng Hu, Shi Jin, Nana Liu, and Lei Zhang, “Quantum Circuits for partial differential equations via Schrodingerisation”, Quantum 8, 1563, 2024. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2024-12-12-1563> and arXiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.10032>.
- Yuki Sato, Ruho Kondo, Ikko Hamamura, Tamiya Onodera, and Naoki Yamamoto, “Hamiltonian simulation for hyperbolic partial differential equations by scalable quantum circuits”, Phys. Rev. Research 6, 033246, 2024. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.033246> and arXiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.18398>.
- Hiroyuki Tezuka and Yuki Sato, “Quantum algorithm for Electromagnetic Field Analysis”, arXiv:2510.03596, 2025. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.03596>.
- Kane S. Yee, “Numerical solution of initial boundary value problems involving Maxwell’s equations in isotropic media”, IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation 14(3), 302-307, 1966. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/TAP.1966.1138693>.

## 1.4 Difficulty estimate

high. The paper is readable locally through the extracted HTML and TeX source, and it is not paywalled, but Julien’s extraction has to cross Maxwell FDTD discretisation, Schrodingerisation, Bell-basis Trotterisation, sign-reconstruction measurements, ADAPT-AQC compression, and IonQ/Qiskit execution details.

## 2 Extraction

### 2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

The paper implements a gate-model Hamiltonian simulation workflow for source-free, time-domain Maxwell equations. It discretises the fields on a Yee grid, rewrites the resulting finite-difference generator through Schrodingerisation, decomposes the Hamiltonian pieces into Bell-basis Trotter blocks, and measures local signed field components instead of reconstructing the full field. The hardware experiment runs a  $16 \times 16$  two-dimensional  $TE_z$  empty-grid case on IonQ Forte Enterprise after ADAPT-AQC circuit compression. The reported hardware curves for  $E_z$ ,  $H_x$ , and  $H_y$  at the grid centre qualitatively follow the analytical and noise-free quantum simulations. Larger two-dimensional and three-dimensional examples are simulator-only.

### 2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** IonQ / Forte Enterprise, described as a 36-qubit trapped-ion quantum computer (main paper, Sec. V, Hardware Implementation).
- **Qubit count:** 11 circuit qubits for the hardware instance: 10 system qubits and one Schrodingerisation ancilla, on a 36-qubit machine (main paper, Sec. V, Results).
- **Connectivity:** not reported in the paper.
- **Gate set:** native IonQ gate set not reported. The algorithmic construction uses Bell-basis blocks  $e^{iSt} = O(CRZ_n)O^\dagger$  with multi-controlled  $R_Z$  gates, and the paper reports logical CNOT counts rather than native IonQ gate counts (main paper, Sec. III-B, Eq. 17; Sec. V, Transpilation and Error Mitigation; Sec. V, Results).
- **Notable features leveraged:** ADAPT-AQC circuit compression; Qiskit transpilation to the IonQ backend; IonQ default debiasing because the jobs used more than 500 shots; local expectation-value measurements and interference-based Hadamard measurements for sign reconstruction. No mid-circuit measurement, reset, or analogue trapped-ion evolution is reported.

### 2.3 Computational primitive

Trotterised Hamiltonian simulation. More specifically, the paper uses Schrodingerisation of the non-unitary FDTD Maxwell generator, first-order Trotterisation of Bell-basis Hamiltonian blocks, and ADAPT-AQC as a classical approximate-compilation step before hardware execution.

### 2.4 Resource fingerprint

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	11 total circuit qubits for the hardware instance: 10 system qubits plus one ancilla	Main paper, Sec. V, Results; 2D mapping in Sec. IV-A gives $\log_2(4N_x N_y)$ system qubits
Qubits (physical)	not reported; the machine is described as a 36-qubit QPU, but the physical-qubit allocation for the submitted circuits is not given	Main paper, Sec. V, Hardware Implementation; Sec. V, Results
Circuit depth	naive Trotterised depth on the order of $\sim 4 \times 10^4$ ; compressed depth approximately $\sim 200$ across the measurement circuits	Main paper, Sec. V, Results
2Q-gate count (total)	not reported; the paper reports CNOT gates below 150 for each measurement circuit, not a total across all submitted circuits or jobs	Main paper, Sec. V, Results
Measurement shots	$2^{13} = 8192$ shots	Main paper, Sec. V, Transpilation and Error Mitigation
Classical loop iter.	not reported	Main paper, Sec. V, Circuit Compression with ADAPT-AQC, gives tolerances, update frequency, layer cap, and gate cap, but not realised iteration counts
Wall-clock runtime	not reported	Not reported in the paper, HTML, or TeX source bundle

## 2.5 Assumptions and results

- The main hardware claim is a first quantum-hardware demonstration of a Hamiltonian simulation algorithm that computes and measures signed, time-evolving electromagnetic vector-field components. The hardware problem is a  $16 \times 16$  two-dimensional empty grid with PMC boundaries on the domain edges and PEC boundaries on the top and bottom faces, with a unit  $E_z$  impulse at  $(x_0, y_0) = (8, 8)$  (main paper, Sec. V, Results; Fig. 5).
- The paper reports qualitative agreement between IonQ hardware, a noise-free quantum simulator, and an analytical classical evolution at the grid centre. It does not report hardware error bars, a hardware  $\ell_2$  error norm, the achieved final ADAPT-AQC

fidelity, or a hardware noise model; discrepancies are attributed to device noise and finite sampling (main paper, Sec. V, Results).

- The classical baseline is the analytical evolution using  $\exp(At)$  for  $(E_z, H_x, H_y)$  in the two-dimensional empty-grid case, with simulator Trotter errors tabulated for  $dt = 0.01$  and  $dt = 0.1$  (main paper, Sec. IV-A1, Table I). The paper does not present a runtime comparison against a production classical FDTD solver.

## 2.6 Portability flags

- ADAPT-AQC compression is essential to the reported hardware run: the direct Trotterised circuits are stated to be too deep, with depth on the order of  $\sim 4 \times 10^4$ , while the compressed measurement circuits are approximately  $\sim 200$  depth (main paper, Sec. V, Results).
- The paper gives logical CNOT and depth figures, but not native IonQ gate counts, native basis gates, or a physical-qubit layout (main paper, Sec. V, Transpilation and Error Mitigation; Sec. V, Results). A portability analysis should treat the resource figures as post-compression logical-circuit figures unless native compiled artefacts are obtained separately.
- The Bell-basis implementation uses multi-controlled  $R_Z$  blocks wrapped by  $O$  and  $O^\dagger$  transformations. Those controls and rotations must be decomposed into whatever gate set a target backend supports.
- The sign-reconstruction protocol is part of the computational result: the hardware experiment fixes the  $E_z$  reference by choosing offset  $C = 1$ , then uses relative-phase or Hadamard-interference measurements to assign signs to other field components (main paper, Sec. III-C; Sec. V, Results).
- The measurement strategy avoids full state tomography, but the paper does not report the number of circuits, observables, or submitted jobs required for the plotted hardware data.
- IonQ default debiasing is part of the reported execution path because the jobs used  $2^{13}$  shots, above the 500-shot threshold stated in the paper (main paper, Sec. V, Transpilation and Error Mitigation).
- No mid-circuit measurement, qubit reset, analogue evolution mode, pulse-level control, or hardware-native Maxwell primitive is reported.

## 2.7 Caveats

The dossier provides arXiv HTML and TeX source, but no local PDF and no separate Supplementary Information file. All visible sections of the HTML and TeX source were reachable; page numbers were not available locally, so citations use main-paper sections, equations, figures, and table references.

The hardware section says the evolution used  $dt = 0.1$  for up to 100 time steps and also says this corresponds to total propagation time  $T = 1$  (main paper, Sec. V, Results). Those statements are inconsistent as written, since  $0.1 \times 100 = 10$ . Figure 5 is plotted from  $T = 0$  to  $T = 1$ , so this extraction does not infer a correction.

The paper does not report connectivity, native IonQ basis gates, physical-qubit allocation, total submitted circuit or job count, final

compiled fidelity, hardware error bars, or wall-clock runtime.

### 3 Portability matrix