

Large-Scale Quantum Circuit Simulation on an Exascale System for QPU Benchmarking

Portability dossier - benchmark

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2604.26423-helios-qpu-benchmark
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.26423
Category	benchmark
Triaged	2026-05-16 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

Contents

1	Capability note	2
1.1	Claim	2
1.2	Vendor and machine	2
1.3	Metrics	2
1.4	Context	4
1.5	Caveats	5

1 Capability note

1.1 Claim

Quantinuum Helios-1 (98-qubit trapped-ion QPU) sustains a statistically meaningful LR-QAOA signal up to 93 qubits / 12,834 two-qubit gates on fully connected weighted MaxCut, validated as noise-tolerant up to 48 qubits / 3,384 two-qubit gates against noiseless state-vector simulation on JUPITER (Europe’s first exascale supercomputer), the largest reported QAOA simulation at FP32 precision to date.

1.2 Vendor and machine

- **QPU under test:** Quantinuum Helios-1, a 98-qubit trapped-ion processor on a quantum charge-coupled device (QCCD) architecture, using $^{137}\text{Ba}^+$ hyperfine qubits with effectively all-to-all connectivity via ion shuttling between operation zones.
- **Classical reference machine:** JUPITER (Jülich Supercomputing Centre), Europe’s first exascale supercomputer, running the JUQCS-50 state-vector simulator at FP32 precision on 4,096 nodes / 16,384 NVIDIA GH200 (Grace Hopper) superchips. Cross-generation GPU runs also used JUWELS Booster (A100).
- **Software stack:** LR-QAOA benchmark (non-variational, linear-ramp QAOA) at depth $p = 3$; JUQCS simulator (Fortran 2003 + CUDA-Fortran + CUDA-aware MPI); CPLEX for optimal cut values.
- **Authors / institution:** J. A. Montanez-Barrera and Kristel Michielsen, Jülich Supercomputing Centre, Forschungszentrum Jülich.
- **Date of experiment:** not reported explicitly; Quantinuum runs used the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility. Preprint dated April 2026 (arXiv 2604.26423).

1.3 Metrics

Metric	Value	Source
QV / linear XEB	Not used; the reported metric is the LR-QAOA approximation ratio r , classified against a random baseline at a 3σ threshold	Methods (Sec. II)
1Q gate fidelity	not reported	n/a

Metric	Value	Source
2Q gate fidelity	2-qubit gate infidelity $\approx 7.9 \times 10^{-4}$ ($\approx 99.92\%$); cited from the Helios device paper, not measured here	Sec. II-F
Classically verified regime	Noise-tolerant (indistinguishable from noiseless JUPITER simulation) up to 48 qubits / 3,384 two-qubit gates	Abstract; Sec. III-B
Coherent regime (vs random)	Statistically distinguishable from random sampling at 3σ up to 93 qubits / 12,834 two-qubit gates	Abstract; Sec. III-B
Random regime	95- and 98-qubit outputs fall below the 3σ random threshold (indistinguishable from random)	Sec. III-B
Qubit count used	Device has 98 qubits; benchmarked 40 to 98 qubits	Sec. II-G

Metric	Value	Source
Circuit depth sustained	LR-QAOA $p = 3$ ($\Delta_\beta, \Delta_\gamma = 0.2$); two-qubit gate count $N_{2q} =$ $pN_q(N_q - 1)/2$ grows quadratically	Sec. II-B, II-G
Shots	9 to 49 shots per circuit; method yields a meaningful signal with as few as 10 shots	Sec. II-G
Largest classical simulation	48 qubits, 3,384 two-qubit gates, FP32; $\approx 2,048$ TiB state vector; ≈ 2490 s on 16,384 GH200 superchips	Sec. III-A, III-B
GPU scaling	H100 $\approx 1.9\times$ over A100 (30-qubit); $3.7\times$ strong-scaling speedup (40-qubit, 128 to 512 GPUs); JUPITER matches JUWELS Booster on a 40-qubit run using half the GPUs	Contributions; Sec. III-A

1.4 Context

The work positions Helios-1 against Quantinuum’s previous-generation H2-1 (compared directly at 40 qubits, where Helios-1 reaches a comparable or higher approximation ratio with only 10 shots versus H2-1’s 50, indicating a generational gain); Quantinuum’s prior H2 generation carried 32 qubits at roughly 99.8% two-qubit fidelity in 2024. Two distinct capability claims stand out: a hardware claim (coherent LR-QAOA behaviour to 93 qubits / 12,834 two-qubit gates on an all-to-all topology, near full device width) and a

classical-simulation claim (a 48-qubit, FP32 QAOA state-vector simulation billed as the largest reported to date and the first exascale-supercomputer simulation used for QPU benchmarking). It also demonstrates exascale classical simulation as a validation reference at the edge of classical tractability (\$ \$48 qubits).

1.5 Caveats

- **Preprint, not peer-reviewed.** This is an arXiv submission (2604.26423); treat all figures as not yet independently refereed.
- **Weak baseline beyond 48 qubits.** For 50 to 98 qubits no noiseless reference exists, so the “coherent up to 93 qubits” claim rests solely on statistical separation from uniform random sampling at 3σ . Clearing the random threshold shows the output is not pure noise; it does not certify correctness of the LR-QAOA solution. The authors are explicit about this.
- **Low-statistics regime.** Only 9 to 49 shots per circuit (budget-driven). The mean-of-means / 3σ resampling is designed for this regime, but sampling uncertainty is large and a single random graph instance is used per problem size (no averaging over instances).
- **Cited, not measured, fidelity.** The 7.9×10^{-4} two-qubit infidelity is taken from the Helios device paper, not re-characterised in this study.
- **Moderate depth.** Only $p = 3$ LR-QAOA layers; the authors note deeper circuits would stress coherence further. Results may not extrapolate to deeper or differently structured workloads.
- **Reproducibility.** Problem instances and results are posted to a public JuGit repository (jugit.fz-juelich.de/qip/lrqaoa-exascale-qpu-benchmarking), so the analysis is reproducible from open data; the underlying QPU runs are not.