

Harnessing a 256-qubit Neutral Atom Simulator for Graph Classification

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-05-08

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2605.04737-aquila-graph-classification
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.04737
Category	app
Triaged	2026-05-08 by vd1
Bootstrapped	2026-05-08

Contents

1	Source	3
1.1	Domain classification	3
1.2	Expert persona for Julien	3
1.3	Related prior work (brief bibliography)	3
1.4	Difficulty estimate	4
2	Extraction	5
2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph)	5
2.2	Quantum hardware used	5

2.3	Computational primitive	5
2.4	Resource fingerprint	6
2.5	Assumptions and results	7
2.6	Portability flags	8
2.7	Caveats	8
3	Portability matrix	9
3.1	Summary	9
3.2	QuEra Aquila - runs as-is (<i>anchor</i>)	9
3.3	Pasqal Orion Gamma - runs with translation	10
3.4	Atom Computing AC1000 - architectural rewrite	11
3.5	Quantinuum H2 / Helios - architectural rewrite	11
3.6	IBM Heron / Nighthawk - architectural rewrite	12
3.7	D-Wave Advantage2 - architectural rewrite	13
3.8	PsiQuantum Omega - infeasible today	13
3.9	Quandela - infeasible today	14
3.10	Xanadu (Borealis-class) - infeasible today	14
3.11	Quantum Brilliance - infeasible today	15
3.12	Residual caveats	16

1 Source

1.1 Domain classification

machine learning (primary) - quantum machine learning via the Quantum Evolution Kernel (QEK) feeding a classical SVM for graph classification. Secondary: biology only by virtue of the PROTEINS benchmark dataset; the contribution is methodological, not biological.

1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A QML practitioner with hands-on experience on analogue Rydberg neutral-atom processors - ideally someone who has run waveform-driven kernels on Pasqal Fresnel/Pulser or QuEra Aquila and is fluent in unit-disk-graph embedding under the global-pulse constraint. They should have calibrated intuition about the Aquila access path (AWS Braket, finite-shot global Ω/Δ schedules, 256-atom register, no per-qubit addressing) and the QEK pipeline specifically - Hamiltonian-evolution feature extraction, Jensen-Shannon divergence as kernel, Bayesian optimisation of pulse parameters Λ . Familiarity with classical graph-kernel baselines (shortest-path, Weisfeiler-Lehman) is needed to read the comparison cleanly.

1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- L. Henry, S. Thabet, C. Dalyac, L. Henriët, *Quantum evolution kernel: machine learning on graphs with programmable arrays of qubits*, Phys. Rev. A 104, 032416 (2021). doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.104.032416 - the Pasqal-origin QEK paper this work re-implements; same primitive, different vendor.
- B. Albrecht, C. Dalyac, L. Leclerc *et al.*, *Quantum feature maps for graph machine learning on a neutral atom quantum processor*, Phys. Rev. A 107, 042615 (2023). doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.107.042615 - closest portability comparator: QEK on a 32-qubit Pasqal QPU with triangular-lattice connectivity.
- J. Wurtz *et al.*, *Aquila: QuEra’s 256-qubit neutral-atom quantum computer*, arXiv:2306.11727 (2023) - hardware reference for the target platform.
- L. Henriët, L. Beguin, A. Signoles *et al.*, *Quantum computing with neutral atoms*, Quantum 4, 327 (2020). doi:10.22331/q-2020-09-21-327 - canonical neutral-atom architecture review framing the Hamiltonian, Rydberg blockade, and pulse model used here.
- P. Mernyei, K. Meichanetzidis, Í. Í. Ceylan, *Equivariant quantum graph circuits*, arXiv:2112.05261 (2022) - gate-model generalisation of graph-feature QML; useful for cross-paradigm portability framing.
- V. Havlíček *et al.*, *Supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces*, Nature 567, 209-212 (2019). doi:10.1038/s41586-019-0980-2 - superconducting/gate-based quantum-kernel baseline; the natural “same application, different paradigm” reference.

1.4 Difficulty estimate

medium. The paper is freely available (arXiv 2605.04737, CC BY 4.0) with a complete LaTeXML HTML rendering already in the dossier, so no paywall or SI dependency. It is a moderate-length IEEE-style conference paper (seven sections, no separate SI required for the fingerprint), and the hardware fingerprint is concrete: 256-qubit Aquila on AWS Braket, global Rabi/detuning pulses, two-layer Hamiltonian with parameter set Λ , Bayesian-optimised waveform, Jensen-Shannon-divergence kernel, SVM downstream. The “exotic” element Julien must handle carefully is that this is analogue Hamiltonian evolution rather than a gate count - so qubit count, register geometry, pulse durations, and shot count matter more than gate depth/fidelity. That bumps it above **low** but does not warrant **high**.

2 Extraction

2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

The authors implement a quantum machine-learning pipeline that classifies proteins from the standard PROTEINS benchmark as enzymes or non-enzymes. Each protein is represented as a graph (one node per amino acid, an edge between residues less than 6 Å apart). They embed every graph as a unit-disk graph on the 2D atom register of QuEra’s 256-qubit Aquila neutral-atom simulator (accessed via AWS Braket), let the register evolve under a layered analogue Hamiltonian whose mixing pulses and free-evolution windows are parameterised by a vector Λ , and read out an energy distribution per graph. Pairwise Jensen-Shannon divergence between distributions defines the Quantum Evolution Kernel (QEK), which feeds a classical SVM. The pulse parameters Λ are pre-tuned by Bayesian optimisation on the PROTEINS12 subset using the classical Bloqade emulator. On the full PROTEINS256 (276 graphs), the noisy Aquila run reaches F1 = 65.6 %, comparable to the classical Shortest-Path Kernel baseline (65.3 %).

2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** QuEra Computing / Aquila (accessed through AWS Braket). The paper repeatedly calls Aquila a “neutral atom simulator”, which is accurate - it is an analogue Rydberg machine, not a gate-based QPU.
- **Qubit count:** 256 physical atoms available; up to 256 atoms used per Aquila task (one atom per graph node). PROTEINS256 contains graphs with up to 256 nodes; PROTEINS12 graphs are capped at 12 nodes (§IV).
- **Connectivity:** Programmable 2D atom register; effective graph connectivity is set by the Rydberg blockade radius r_b so that pairs at distance $|x_i - x_j| < r_b$ interact. Aquila imposes additional constraints: register area $75 \mu\text{m} \times 76 \mu\text{m}$, atoms must sit on discrete rows with $\geq 4 \mu\text{m}$ vertical spacing (§IV-A). Net connectivity class: **unit-disk graph (UDG) in the plane**, not all-to-all and not a fixed lattice.
- **Gate set:** None in the digital sense. The native operations are global, time-dependent Rabi and detuning pulses that drive the analogue Hamiltonian $H(t) = \sum_i \hbar \Omega_i(t) / 2 (e^{i\varphi} |0\rangle\langle 1| + \text{h.c.}) - \sum_i \hbar \Delta_i(t) \hat{n}_i + \sum_{j > i} C_6 / |x_i - x_j|^6 \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j$ (Eq. 1). Crucially, Ω and Δ are **global only** - atoms are not individually addressable.
- **Notable features leveraged:** Rydberg blockade as the source of entanglement and the basis for graph-edge encoding; arbitrary 2D atom placement via optical tweezers; analogue Hamiltonian evolution under alternating mixing ($H\theta = \theta \Sigma \hat{\sigma}_\gamma$) and Ising/blockade ($HG = \Sigma \hat{\sigma}_i^z \hat{\sigma}_j^z$ on UDG edges) terms; computational-basis readout of $|g\rangle/|r\rangle$ populations.

2.3 Computational primitive

Analog Hamiltonian evolution used as a feature extractor - the Quantum Evolution Kernel (QEK). The closest entry on the persona’s list is “analog evolution” (with QML-inference downstream via a classical SVM). It is **not** a Trotterised digital Hamiltonian simulation, **not** VQE/QAOA in the gate-based sense, and not amplitude estimation.

2.4 Resource fingerprint

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	up to 256 (one atom per graph node; ≤ 12 for PROTEINS12, ≤ 256 for PROTEINS256)	§IV (“limited to a maximum of 256 nodes (i.e., the maximum number of physical qubits available on Aquila”); Table II
Qubits (physical)	256 (Aquila register)	Title; §I “Aquila 256-qubit neutral atom simulator”; §IV
Circuit depth	not applicable (analogue evolution); pulse-sequence wall-time used as proxy: total Λ_{BO} duration = 201 ns (3 mixing pulses 50 ns + 85 ns + 20 ns + 2 free-evolution gaps), Λ_a (literature) duration = 317 ns; total ≤ 500 ns enforced as optimisation constraint	§V-C, Eq. on constraints; §V-C “201 ns ... in contrast to the 317 ns”
2Q-gate count (total)	not applicable (analogue Rydberg evolution, no digital 2-qubit gates)	- (paper never reports a gate count)
Measurement shots	$n_shots = 1\,000$ per graph; total per $\Lambda = n_shots \times n_graphs = 276 \times 10^3$ on PROTEINS256 (143×10^3 on PROTEINS12); shot-budget sweep also reported at 10 / 100 / 1 000 shots/graph	§V-A item 2 (“ $N_shots = n_shots \times n_graphs = 276 \cdot 10^3$ ”); §VI-A; Table VI
Classical loop iter.	Bayesian optimisation: max 50 iterations on PROTEINS12 (run on classical Bloqade emulator, not on Aquila); SVM hyperparameter grid: $100 \times 30 = 3\,000$ (C, w) combinations $\times K = 10$ folds	§V-C (“maximum number of iterations is set to 50”); §V-B (“100 points ... 30 pairs ... $K = 10$ ”)

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Wall-clock runtime	not reported explicitly. Paper notes Aquila “is able to sample at an approximate rate of 10 Hz”. For 276×10^3 shots that implies ≈ 7.7 h of pure shot time per Λ on PROTEINS256, but the authors do not state an end-to-end runtime.	§VI-C (“approximate rate of 10 Hz with an associated cost per shot”)

Other reported settings worth keeping for downstream work: - Maximum Rabi amplitude held constant at $\Omega_0 = 15.8$ rad/ μ s (§V-C). Mixing-pulse rotation angles $\theta_i = \Omega_0 \cdot \tau_i$. - Pulse and gap floor $\tau_i, t_i > 5$ ns (laser-bandwidth constraint, §V-C). - Embedding produced by the DEN (Distance-Encoder Network) UDG-embedding model adapted to Aquila’s discrete-row constraint (§IV-A). - Reduced datasets after UDG-embedding feasibility filter: PROTEINS12 = 143 graphs (33 enzyme / 110 non-enzyme), PROTEINS256 = 276 graphs (108 / 168) (Table II).

2.5 Assumptions and results

- **Claim:** End-to-end QEK + SVM runs on Aquila itself (not just emulated) at the 256-atom register scale, producing F1 = 65.6 % on PROTEINS256 vs. 65.3 % for the classical Shortest-Path Kernel - i.e., comparable, with a small edge in F1/precision but lower or equal accuracy. On the smaller PROTEINS12 (where statistics are noisier), Aquila with the BO-optimised waveform reaches F1 = 49 % vs. 44.1 % SPK and 46.3 % emulated QEK (Table IV).
- **Error bars / noise assumptions:** No explicit confidence intervals are reported on the F1/accuracy/precision/recall numbers (single-decimal point estimates from K=10-fold cross-validation, no std). Noise is described qualitatively in §VI-A: detection error ($|g\rangle$ misread as $|r\rangle$) inflates the high-energy tail; coupling to the ground state during evolution lowers the low-energy tail relative to noiseless emulation. The authors deliberately keep total pulse time below 500 ns to suppress decoherence; they observe that the shorter $\Lambda_B O$ waveform (201 ns) actually beats the noiseless emulation, which they attribute to a fortunate noise/feature interplay rather than a tight error model.
- **Comparison to classical baseline:** Shortest-Path Kernel (Borgwardt & Kriegel) on the same datasets, same SVM/cross-validation pipeline, run on a Dell-XPS 15 7590. QEK on Aquila is comparable to SPK on PROTEINS256 and modestly ahead on the data-starved PROTEINS12 - read by the authors as weak evidence for the “few-shot generalisation” argument of Caro et al. [bib.bib212], not as a quantum advantage claim.

2.6 Portability flags

- **Analogue, not gate-based.** QEK is defined directly in terms of the Rydberg Hamiltonian. Porting to a gate-based platform requires Trotterising the time-dependent ($\Omega(t)$, $\Delta(t)$, V_{ij}) dynamics - non-trivial, and $1/r^6$ all-to-all interactions become an $O(n^2)$ two-qubit-gate burden per Trotter step on hardware without native long-range couplings. There is **no digital gate equivalent** of the Rydberg blockade.
- **Programmable 2D atom register required.** Pasqal Fresnel/Orion and other neutral-atom platforms are the natural ports; superconducting/trapped-ion/photonic backends are not.
- ****Global pulses only (no per-atom Ω/Δ). **** This forces the embedding step (atom positions encode the graph). Any platform that *does* support per-qubit addressing would change the algorithmic primitive - the QEK paper exploits the “global pulse + UDG embedding” coincidence as the whole point of the method.
- **Aquila-specific geometry constraints.** Register area $75 \mu\text{m} \times 76 \mu\text{m}$, discrete rows with $\geq 4 \mu\text{m}$ vertical spacing (§IV-A). The authors explicitly say “this constraint is specific to Aquila, it has no correspondence with other neutral atom platforms”; ports to e.g. Pasqal triangular-lattice machines need a different embedding loss.
- **UDG-only graphs.** Graphs that admit no feasible 2D unit-disk embedding are silently dropped (the dataset shrinks from 1 113 to 143 / 276). PROTEINS256 covers $\sim 25\%$ of the original dataset. Any portability claim has to acknowledge that the workload is “graphs that survive UDG embedding”, not “arbitrary graphs”.
- **Bayesian optimisation done classically.** The 50 BO iterations $\times 276 \times 10^3$ shots / iter (and at 12-qubit graph size, an exponential-in-qubits emulation cost) live on Bloqade, not Aquila. Any vendor that doesn’t ship a comparable classical emulator (or whose dynamics aren’t classically tractable at the 12-qubit scale) cannot reproduce this calibration step.
- **Coherence floor sets the pulse-time budget.** The < 500 ns total-evolution constraint is an Aquila T_2 – *driven* limit. Vendors with longer coherence may afford deeper (more-layer) QEKs; vendors with shorter coherence cannot.
- **Readout assumption.** Aquila returns computational-basis ($|g\rangle$, $|r\rangle$) bitstrings; the energy observable is post-processed classically. Any port that lacks the equivalent natural read-out would require additional state-tomography overhead that this method does not budget for.

2.7 Caveats

The paper is open-access on arXiv (CC BY 4.0) and Romain placed the full LaTeXML HTML rendering in the dossier ([paper.html](#)). All seven sections plus references were readable; no separate Supplementary Information is referenced or required. No paywall, no missing pages. One real gap: the paper reports the Aquila sampling rate (≈ 10 Hz) but never an end-to-end wall-clock runtime, so the runtime row above is “not reported” with an implied lower bound only. Per-metric error bars on the classification scores are also absent - only point estimates from $K=10$ -fold cross-validation are given.

3 Portability matrix

Anchor: QuEra Aquila (256-atom analog Rydberg simulator, accessed via AWS Braket) executed the QEK + SVM pipeline on PROTEINS256 at the full 256-atom register, reported in [Coelho *et al.*, arXiv:2605.04737 \(2026\)](#), §IV-VI and Tables II-V.

Julien’s fingerprint: up to 256 atoms (one per graph node); analog Rydberg Hamiltonian under *global* $\Omega(t) / \Delta(t)$ pulses with no per-atom addressing; two-layer alternating mixer H_θ + blockade H_G ansatz parameterised by Λ with total pulse time ≤ 500 ns; UDG 2D-register embedding under Aquila’s discrete-row $\geq 4 \mu\text{m}$ constraint; 1 000 shots/graph; computational-basis ($|g\rangle, |r\rangle$) read-out; Λ pre-optimised classically on Bloqade. See [extraction.md](#) for full detail.

3.1 Summary

Modality	Vendor	Verdict	2026 fit
Neutral atom - analog (anchor)	QuEra Aquila	runs as-is	yes
Neutral atom - analog (alt geometry)	Pasqal Orion Gamma	runs with translation	partial: subscale ($\lesssim 140$ atoms) in 2026; full 256 conditional on Centaurus (2028)
Neutral atom - digital (gate-based)	Atom Computing AC1000	architectural rewrite	partial (small-scale demo only)
Trapped ion	Quantinuum H2 / Helios	architectural rewrite	partial ($\lesssim 50$ qubits)
Superconducting	IBM Heron / Nighthawk	architectural rewrite	partial (\lesssim tens of qubits)
Quantum annealing	D-Wave Advantage2	architectural rewrite	partial (different kernel construction)
Photonic - DV / FBQC	PsiQuantum Omega	infeasible today	no
Photonic - DV / KLM dual-rail	Quandela	infeasible today	no
Photonic - CV / GBS	Xanadu (Borealis-class)	infeasible today	no
NV / diamond	Quantum Brilliance	infeasible today	no

3.2 QuEra Aquila - runs as-is (*anchor*)

Modality: Neutral atom - analog.

Aquila is the platform the paper actually ran on: 256 physical atoms in a $75 \times 76 \mu\text{m}$ 2D programmable register, *global* time-dependent Rabi $\Omega(t) \in [0, 2.5 \cdot 2\pi]$ MHz and detuning $\Delta(t) \in [-20 \cdot 2\pi, +20 \cdot 2\pi]$ MHz, $1/r^6$ Rydberg blockade as the source of entanglement, and computational-basis read-out - every critical element of Julien’s fingerprint maps to a native Aquila primitive. The Aquila-specific

discrete-row ≥ 4 μm vertical-spacing constraint (§IV-A) is the only fingerprint element that does *not* generalise to other neutral-atom platforms.

Translation: - (anchor row, no translation needed).

Evidence:

- Coelho *et al.*, arXiv:2605.04737 (2026) - hardware run on Aquila via AWS Braket, §IV-VI, Tables II-V.
- Wurtz *et al.*, arXiv:2306.11727 (2023) - Aquila architecture reference paper.
- quera.com/aquila (retrieved 2026-05-08) - 256 atoms, 75×76 μm register, global Ω and Δ ranges, ≥ 4 μm spacing constraint.

3.3 Pasqal Orion Gamma - runs with translation

Modality: Neutral atom - analog (alt geometry).

Pasqal’s analog mode is the *same primitive*: global Rabi/detuning pulses driving a Rydberg-blockade Hamiltonian on a programmable 2D Rb-87 register. The two-layer H_θ mixer + H_G blockade ansatz used by QEK is therefore native, and the closest comparator paper (Albrecht *et al.*, PRA 107, 042615 (2023)) ran an analogous QEK pipeline on a 32-atom Pasqal QPU. Pasqal’s current cloud-accessible machine - Orion Gamma - is listed at 140+ qubits, deployed November 2024, with on-prem deployments at CEA, CINECA, Aramco, and DistriQ. Vela (200+ qubits, orders 2026, deliveries from 2027) and Centaurus (500+ qubits, 2028) are on the roadmap.

Translation: (i) Re-derive the UDG embedding *without* the Aquila-specific discrete-row ≥ 4 μm rule - the paper notes this constraint “has no correspondence with other neutral atom platforms” (§IV-A), so Pasqal’s free-tweezer geometry should *reduce* embedding loss. (ii) Re-run the 50-iteration Bayesian optimisation of Λ on Pulser/QuTiP rather than Bloqade (well within tractable emulation at ≤ 12 atoms). (iii) Verify the ≤ 500 ns pulse-time budget against Pasqal Rb-87 coherence - comparable to Aquila’s, so the budget should hold. (iv) Keep 1 000 shots/graph and the same Jensen-Shannon-divergence kernel + SVM downstream untouched. **Scale caveat:** Orion Gamma at 140+ atoms can host the PROTEINS12 sub-experiment outright and a $\lesssim 140$ -atom slice of PROTEINS256, but the full 256-atom register exceeds today’s user-accessible Pasqal hardware. The cited Pasqal hardware page lists Vela at “200+ qubits” - that is the natural intermediate step but may or may not clear 256 atoms depending on the delivered configuration. **Centaurus** at “500+ qubits” (2028) is the cited Pasqal system that unambiguously exceeds the paper’s 256-atom ceiling; it is the firm full-register route. A Vela-window full-256 run remains possible if Vela’s delivered count clears 256, but should not be planned as guaranteed.

Evidence:

- [Pasqal hardware page](#) (retrieved 2026-05-08) - Orion Gamma 140+ qubits, cloud-accessible plus on-prem deployments at CEA / CINECA / Aramco / DistriQ; Vela 200+ qubits (orders 2026, deliveries from 2027); Centaurus 500+ qubits (2028).
- [Pasqal 2025 Roadmap newsroom](#) (retrieved 2026-05-08) - analog/digital dual mode; Orion Beta deployed at GENCI/Jülich.
- [Pasqal “Technical Overview for Advanced Users - Orion Beta” PDF](#) (retrieved 2026-05-08) - Orion Beta technical specs, 100 qubits.

- Albrecht *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A 107, 042615 (2023) - 32-atom QEK on Pasqal QPU, the existing same-primitive proof-of-concept.
- Ezratty *UQT 2025* §8.8.7 “Vendors” pp. 578-580 - Pasqal architectural background, dual analog/digital mode.

3.4 Atom Computing AC1000 - architectural rewrite

Modality: Neutral atom - digital (gate-based).

Atom Computing’s commercial offer (AC1000) is *gate-only*: it uses *transient* Rydberg blockade as a CZ gate primitive rather than continuous many-body $H(t)$ evolution, and atom positions don’t carry algorithmic meaning. The “global pulse + UDG-as-positions” coincidence that is the algorithmic point of QEK is lost once the platform goes gate-based. Sr-87 long T_2 (≈ 40 s on Phoenix per Ezratty p. 575) does not buy you much because the bottleneck moves to gate count and 2Q fidelity.

Translation: Reproducing QEK requires Trotterising

$$H(t) = \sum_i \frac{\Omega(t)}{2} \sigma_i^x - \sum_i \Delta(t) \hat{n}_i + \sum_{ij} \frac{C_6}{|x_i - x_j|^6} \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j$$

at $1/r^6$ all-to-all couplings - that costs $O(\text{layers} \times n^2)$ two-qubit gates per Trotter step, which at $n = 256$ and current $\sim 99\%$ 2Q fidelity blows the error budget. UDG edges have to be encoded into *gate connectivity* instead of *register geometry*, removing the algorithmic motivation. A ≤ 16 -atom demonstrator is plausible as a cross-modality benchmark; the 256-atom paper-scale run is not.

Evidence:

- atom-computing.com (retrieved 2026-05-08) - “AC1000 - 1,200+ Fully-Connected Qubits”, “gate-based quantum computers with arrays of optically-trapped neutral atoms”.
- Ezratty *UQT 2025* §8.8.7 “Vendors” pp. 575-576 - Atom Computing Phoenix Sr-87 100-qubit, $T_2 \approx 40$ s.

3.5 Quantinuum H2 / Helios - architectural rewrite

Modality: Trapped ion (QCCD).

Trapped-ion all-to-all native ZZ is *helpful* for the H_G (Ising-on-UDG) part - UDG edges become coefficient masks rather than geometric constraints - and mid-circuit measurement + qubit reuse are first-class. But there is no native analog Rydberg evolution and no global Ω / Δ pulse equivalent: the time-dependent drive must be Trotterised into Mølmer-Sørensen sequences, which at ms-scale 2Q gates and a $1/r^6$ all-to-all coupling structure inflates wall-clock far past anything analogous to the paper’s ≤ 500 ns coherence-budget envelope.

Translation: (i) Trotterise $H(t)$ into MS-gate sequences; for a faithful $1/r^6$ all-to-all Hamiltonian this is hundreds of Trotter steps. (ii) Recast each UDG-edge mask as a coefficient on the corresponding ZZ rotation; benefit from native all-to-all so no SWAP overhead. (iii)

Use mid-circuit measurement for read-out, but keep in mind that gate-count, not coherence time, becomes the binding error budget. **Scale ceiling in 2026:** ~ 56 qubits on H2 and 98 fully-connected qubits on Helios per Quantinuum’s published Helios data sheet - neither hosts the full PROTEINS256 register. A ≤ 50 -qubit Quantinuum reproduction of the smaller PROTEINS12 / 32-qubit Albrecht-style sub-experiment is the realistic cross-modality benchmark.

Evidence:

- [Quantinuum Helios Product Data Sheet](#) (retrieved 2026-05-09) - Helios 98 fully-connected qubits, all-to-all connectivity, mid-circuit measurement and qubit reuse.
- [quantinuum.com/products-solutions/quantinuum-systems](#) (retrieved 2026-05-08) - H2 56-qubit, “all-to-all connectivity”, “mid-circuit measurement and qubit reuse”.
- Ezratty *UQT 2025* §8.7.6 “Vendors” pp. 543-547 - Quantinuum H2 all-to-all and MCMR; Helios roadmap.

3.6 IBM Heron / Nighthawk - architectural rewrite

Modality: Superconducting.

IBM’s two relevant 2026-current QPUs are Heron (heavy-hex 156 qubits, sparse degree- ≤ 3 connectivity) and Nighthawk (120 qubits on a *square* lattice with 218 next-generation tunable couplers each linking a qubit to its four nearest neighbours, announced 12 Nov 2025 with a 5 000-2Q-gate budget rising to 7 500 by end-2026 and 10 000 in 2027). Both are sparse-by-design - exactly the wrong topology for a UDG-encoded long-range Hamiltonian: every non-adjacent UDG edge in H_G costs a SWAP chain. IBM’s per-qubit microwave control also *inverts* the paper’s “global pulse, no per-qubit addressing” assumption.

Translation: Trotterise the time-dependent global $\Omega(t) / \Delta(t)$ drive plus $1/r^6$ all-to-all couplings into ECR/CZ sequences, then route onto the heavy-hex or square lattice with SWAP insertion for non-adjacent UDG edges. At $n = 256$ the 2Q-gate count blows past Nighthawk’s 5 000-gate envelope (and Heron’s smaller envelope). Tens-of-qubit Trotter demonstrations within Nighthawk’s gate budget are doable but *lose the analog character* that motivates QEK - this becomes a different algorithm rather than a port.

Evidence:

- IBM Newsroom press release 2025-11-12 “IBM Delivers New Quantum Processors, Software, and Algorithm Breakthroughs on Path to Advantage and Fault Tolerance” (retrieved 2026-05-08) - Nighthawk: 120 qubits; square lattice; 218 tunable couplers; 5 000-2Q-gate budget today; 7 500 end-2026; 10 000 in 2027.
- Ezratty *UQT 2025* §8.3.7 “Vendors” pp. 415 and 420 - IBM Heron heavy-hex with 156 qubits; §7.1.3 “Quantum computers segmentation” p. 244 - heavy-hex connectivity 1-to-2 / 1-to-3.

3.7 D-Wave Advantage2 - architectural rewrite

Modality: Quantum annealing.

D-Wave Advantage2 is single-shot adiabatic Ising sampling on a fixed Zephyr graph (4 400+ superconducting qubits, 20-way connectivity). The static $H_G = \sum_{ij \in E} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z$ blockade term *is* an Ising Hamiltonian, so QEK’s static part has a near-cousin - but the alternating mixer $H_\theta = \theta \sum_i \sigma_i^y$ between blockade windows has no D-Wave analogue, and the read-out model is fundamentally different.

Translation: Three architectural mismatches. (i) Zephyr is a *fixed* connectivity, not arbitrary 2D UDG, so encoding paper graphs requires minor-embedding and chain-strength tuning. (ii) Advantage2 does not expose the alternating H_θ mixer between blockade windows; QA mixes only at the start of the schedule. (iii) Read-out is a low-energy bitstring, not the *energy distribution* over a continuously-evolved state that QEK’s Jensen-Shannon-divergence kernel consumes. You could define a “QA-flavoured graph kernel” from Advantage2 samples, but it would not reproduce the paper’s results - that belongs in a “compare graph-kernel families” study, not a portability study of QEK.

Evidence:

- dwavequantum.com/solutions-and-products/systems (retrieved 2026-05-08) - “Advantage2 - 4,400+ superconducting qubits”, “Zephyr topology with 20-way connectivity”, “annealing quantum computer”.

3.8 PsiQuantum Omega - infeasible today

Modality: Photonic - DV / FBQC.

PsiQuantum’s silicon-photonic Omega chips have no current cloud access in 2026 and are aimed at a fault-tolerant million-qubit deployment whose first sites (Brisbane, Chicago) are 2027+ on the published roadmap. Even setting access aside, FBQC is fundamentally measurement-based and exposes no native analog Rydberg / Ising Hamiltonian or pulse-driven continuous-time many-body evolution - QEK would need full re-expression as MBQC.

Translation: No port path today. Both obstacles compound: (i) a measurement-based rewrite of QEK against entangled photonic resource states + fusion gates is a research project with no published prior art for Rydberg-style continuous-time evolution; (ii) no Omega-class device is on a commercial cloud in 2026. Horizon: viable post-2027 at the earliest, contingent on Brisbane/Chicago coming online and a FBQC compiler stack for analog-equivalent Hamiltonian primitives being published.

Evidence:

- psiquantum.com (retrieved 2026-05-08) - Omega chip, fusion-based architecture, Brisbane and Chicago facilities described as future utility-scale sites; no current cloud access.
- Ezratty *UQT 2025* §8.10.8 “Vendors” pp. 628-630 - PsiQuantum Omega, FBQC roadmap, 1M-qubit goal.

3.9 Quandela - infeasible today

Modality: Photonic - DV / KLM dual-rail.

Quandela’s commercial offer is KLM dual-rail photonic - Ezratty classifies it as “narrow NISQ” with single-digit-to-low-tens of effective qubits in 2026. Two compounding problems: the qubit count is two orders of magnitude below the 256-atom register the paper exercises, and KLM dual-rail does not implement an analog Rydberg-blockade Hamiltonian, so the same architectural rewrite cost as PsiQuantum applies before access becomes the binding constraint.

Translation: Disqualified by both capability gap and primitive mismatch. Even the smaller PROTEINS12 sub-experiment (12-atom register) is at or above Quandela’s current effective-qubit envelope, and no native Rydberg-blockade primitive exists on the platform. Horizon for QEK-class analog-Hamiltonian workloads on Quandela: post-2030 if at all, contingent on a major architectural pivot away from KLM dual-rail.

Evidence:

- Ezratty *UQT 2025* §8.10.8 “Vendors” pp. 633-636 - Quandela KLM dual-rail photonic, “narrow NISQ” classification.

3.10 Xanadu (Borealis-class) - infeasible today

Modality: Photonic - CV / GBS.

A CV-photon Gaussian boson sampler is the *one* non-Rydberg modality where a graph kernel is a known concrete construction: the GBS output amplitude on input adjacency A is proportional to the hafnian of A ’s encoded matrix (Bradler-Schuld). On a hypothetical 216-mode Borealis-class device the paper’s pipeline could be replaced - same shot budget, different primitive, different feature space. **The blocker is access, not just primitive.** Borealis is no longer on Amazon Braket’s supported-devices list, and Xanadu’s 2026 hardware direction (Aurora-style fault-tolerant photonics) is a long-horizon FT roadmap rather than a near-term GBS-sampling QPU.

Translation: Architecturally a viable rewrite via the Bradler-Schuld GBS-graph-kernel construction: (i) Takagi-decompose each adjacency $A = U \text{diag}(\lambda_i) U^T$, mapping $\{\lambda_i\}$ to per-mode squeezing parameters and U to the 216-mode interferometer setting; (ii) collect $\sim 10^3$ samples per graph (matches the paper’s shot budget); (iii) coarse-grain each sample into orbit probabilities; (iv) replace the Jensen-Shannon divergence between Rydberg energy distributions with an inner product (or χ^2 -like distance) between orbit-probability feature vectors; (v) feed the resulting kernel matrix to the same SVM. **But there is no 2026-runnable open-cloud CV-photon GBS QPU on which to execute it.** A 2026 reproduction would need to wait on a successor open-cloud GBS device. Horizon: contingent on a successor GBS device coming back to Braket or another open cloud.

Evidence:

- AWS Amazon Braket “Supported regions and devices” documentation (retrieved 2026-05-08) - current QPU device list: AQT, IonQ, IQM, QuEra, Rigetti - no Xanadu entry.
- Madsen *et al.*, “Quantum computational advantage with a programmable photonic processor”, Nature 606, 75-81 (2022) - Borealis device paper, 216 phase-locked squeezed modes (historical reference).
- Bradler *et al.*, “Graph isomorphism and Gaussian boson sampling”, Phys. Rev. A 98, 032310 (2018) - GBS-graph-kernel construction.
- Ezratty *UQT 2025* §8.10.6 “Boson sampling” p. 621; §8.10.8 “Vendors” pp. 632-633 - Xanadu PIC, Borealis architectural background.

3.11 Quantum Brilliance - infeasible today

Modality: NV / diamond.

Quantum Brilliance’s NV-diamond room-temperature registers are at single-digit qubits in 2026 - Ezratty notes “two-qubit gate fidelities not stellar, not demonstrated at scale” as the open challenges. The capability gap to a 256-atom register with a long-range Ising blockade is two orders of magnitude in qubit count, plus a primitive change: there is no analog-Rydberg-equivalent native operation on NV centres.

Translation: Disqualified on both axes. Scale gap is roughly 256 vs $\lesssim 10$ qubits today; primitive gap is “no native analog Rydberg-blockade Hamiltonian” - NV-diamond is gate-based on a small number of register qubits with photonic interconnect plans. Roadmap horizon for QEK-class workloads on NV is post-2030 if at all.

Evidence:

- Ezratty *UQT 2025* §8.5.5 “Manufacturing” p. 503 - NV challenges: “two-qubit gate fidelities not stellar”, “not demonstrated at scale so far”.
- Ezratty *UQT 2025* §8.5.6 “Vendors” pp. 504-505 - Quantum Brilliance NV-in-diamond, photonic interconnect plan.

Commentary (≈ 390 words). This paper sits squarely on the analog Rydberg primitive - global $\Omega(t) / \Delta(t)$ pulses, $1/r^6$ blockade as the *source* of entanglement, and a 2D atom register that *encodes* the input graph as register geometry. That triple makes Aquila a natural host and every other modality awkward.

The only runs with translation row is **Pasqal Orion Gamma** - same primitive, same observable, different lattice constraint, and essentially what the closest comparator paper (Albrecht *et al.* 2023, 32 atoms) already demonstrated. For a second-platform reproduction that materially tests the QEK story rather than a different algorithm, Pasqal is it: dual analog/digital mode, 140+ qubits cloud-accessible today, no Aquila-specific 4 μm row rule, and a mature Pulser-based emulator for the BO calibration step. The plain scale caveat is that Orion Gamma can host the PROTEINS12 sub-experiment outright and a $\lesssim 140$ -atom slice of PROTEINS256, but not the full 256-atom register. The cited Pasqal hardware page lists Vela as “200+ qubits” (deliveries from 2027) - that may or may not clear 256 atoms depending on the delivered configuration, so it is the natural intermediate but not a guaranteed full-256 route. **Centaurus** at “500+ qubits” (2028) is the cited Pasqal system that unambiguously clears 256 and is therefore the firm full-register destination. The right

Pasqal experiment to commission today is PROTEINS12 plus the largest PROTEINS256 sub-slice that fits in 140 atoms; the full-register rerun is conditionally on Vela and definitively on Centaurus.

The trapped-ion lane (**Quantinuum H2 / Helios**) is the *interesting cross-modality experiment* rather than a port. All-to-all native ZZ matches H_G , and MCMR + qubit reuse give clean read-out - but ms-scale 2Q gate times, a Trotterised drive, and a 56-98 qubit ceiling exclude PROTEINS256. A 32-qubit Quantinuum reproduction of PROTEINS12, alongside Aquila and Pasqal, is the cleanest cross-modality comparison the Lab could commission today.

Everything else is **architectural rewrite** or worse. **Atom Computing AC1000** has the right atoms but the wrong control model. **IBM Heron / Nighthawk** inverts the global-pulse assumption and pays SWAP overhead for non-adjacent UDG edges. **D-Wave Advantage2** can express *a* graph kernel, just not this one. **PsiQuantum Omega, Quandela, Xanadu (Borealis-class)**, and **Quantum Brilliance** are disqualified by some combination of access, scale, or primitive mismatch - Xanadu’s CV-photonics GBS lane has a concrete rewrite path (Bradler-Schuld) but no open-cloud GBS device is currently runnable.

3.12 Residual caveats

- **Pasqal scale.** Orion Gamma (140+ atoms) hosts the PROTEINS12 sub-experiment outright and a \lesssim 140-atom slice of PROTEINS256, but the full 256-atom register exceeds today’s user-accessible Pasqal hardware. The **runs with translation** verdict is for a subscale 2026 rerun; the full 256-atom rerun is roadmap-dependent (Vela 2027 may or may not clear 256; Centaurus 2028 is the firm full-register route).
- **UDG embedding attrition.** The PROTEINS workload is the subset of graphs that survive UDG embedding under Aquila’s discrete-row $\geq 4 \mu\text{m}$ rule. Pasqal’s free-tweezer geometry should *reduce* embedding loss but the surviving graph set changes - comparisons should not silently treat “PROTEINS” as the generic benchmark.
- **Bayesian-optimisation calibration on a classical emulator.** The Pasqal translation depends on re-running the 50-iteration Λ optimisation on a Pulser/QuTiP-style emulator. This is a go/no-go precondition for a credible translated run, not an implementation footnote.
- **Performance framing.** The paper reports $F1 \approx 65.6\%$ (Aquila QEK) vs $\approx 65.3\%$ (classical Shortest-Path Kernel) on PROTEINS256 without confidence intervals. That is best described as *comparable within unreported uncertainty*, not a performance edge.