

Variationally Compressing Quantum Circuits to Approximate Nonadiabatic Molecular Quantum Dynamics

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2605.06122-nonadiabatic-dynamics-compression
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.06122
Category	app
Triaged	2026-05-16 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

Contents

1	Source	3
1.1	Domain classification	3
1.2	Expert persona for Julien	3
1.3	Related prior work (brief bibliography)	3
1.4	Difficulty estimate	4
2	Extraction	5

2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph)	5
2.2	Quantum hardware used	5
2.3	Computational primitive	6
2.4	Resource fingerprint	6
2.5	Assumptions and results	8
2.6	Portability flags	8
2.7	Caveats	9
3	Portability matrix	10

1 Source

1.1 Domain classification

quantum chemistry. The paper sits squarely in quantum-computational chemistry: it simulates nonadiabatic molecular quantum dynamics (vibronic state transfer in an electron-transfer reaction), using the Marcus model as its physical benchmark and rate coefficients as the observable to preserve. There is no strong second application domain. The technical core is an application-agnostic circuit-compilation method (variational fast-forwarding plus quantum-assisted quantum compiling), so a reader coming from the quantum-algorithms / compilation side will also find it familiar, but every quantity of interest is chemical, so the primary classification is quantum chemistry.

1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A quantum-computational chemist comfortable with first-quantized, grid-based wavepacket dynamics rather than second-quantized VQE electronic structure. They should know Trotter-Suzuki product formulas, the centered-QFT trick for applying the kinetic operator in momentum space, and the Trotter-error-versus- grid-resolution tradeoff that ties the timestep τ to qubit count through commutator norms. Crucially, they need fluency in variational circuit compilation (VFF, QAQC, Walsh-operator diagonal unitaries, l -local truncation) and calibrated intuition for NISQ superconducting hardware: what ZZ locality, SWAP routing, $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ depth, and an LHST fidelity threshold of $C_{\text{LHST}} \leq 0.01$ actually cost on an IBM Eagle or Heron device. They should be able to read the Marcus electron-transfer benchmark and judge whether the recovered rate coefficients are physically meaningful or merely qualitatively suggestive.

1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- Ollitrault, Mazzola, Tavernelli, “Non-adiabatic molecular quantum dynamics with quantum computers”, PRL 125, 260511 (2020), arXiv:2006.09405. The direct benchmark this paper compresses: the same binary-encoded Marcus model with explicit (uncompressed) Trotter circuits, from the IBM Quantum group.
- Cirstoiu, Holmes, Iosue, Cincio, Coles, Sornborger, “Variational Fast Forwarding for Quantum Simulation Beyond the Coherence Time”, npj QI 6, 82 (2020), arXiv:1910.04292. The VFF primitive being reused here, originally demonstrated on Rigetti hardware, so a natural same-primitive / different-vendor portability comparison.
- Khatri, LaRose, Poremba, Cincio, Sornborger, Coles, “Quantum-assisted quantum compiling”, Quantum 3, 140 (2019), arXiv:1807.00800. The QAQC optimisation and LHST cost function used throughout; compiled into both IBM and Rigetti native gate sets, directly relevant to the paper’s native-gate-set argument.
- Benenti, Strini, “Quantum simulation of the single-particle Schrödinger equation”, Am. J. Phys. 76, 657 (2008), arXiv:0709.1704, doi:10.1119/1.2894532. The explicit $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ quadratic-operator circuit construction that the variational compression is measured against.
- Navickas et al., “Experimental quantum simulation of chemical dynamics”, JACS (2025), arXiv:2409.04044. The same application (nonadiabatic chemical dynamics) on a trapped-ion mixed-qudit-boson analog simulator: a strong cross-paradigm contrast (analog

bosonic versus digital superconducting) for the portability discussion.

1.4 Difficulty estimate

medium. The full LaTeX source, figures, supplemental figures, and bibliography are all present in `paper-source/`, so there is no paywall or fetch problem and Julien can extract directly from the source. What lifts it above `low`: the hardware fingerprint is spread across two backends plus emulation (IBM Brisbane / Eagle for the $n = 3$ fast-forwarding demonstration, IBM Torino / Heron for $n = 6$ wavepacket initialisation, and classical emulation up to $n = 8$ position qubits, 15 logical qubits in total), with several registers (position, objective, ancilla, comparator) carrying distinct roles. The primitives are non-routine (VFF ansatz, QAQC/LHST cost, Walsh expansions, l -local truncation, bespoke Toffoli comparator circuits) and key resource and circuit detail lives in the appendices, so the extraction is more than a single-table read, but nothing sits behind an inaccessible supplement.

2 Extraction

2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

The paper develops a way to make the deep quantum circuits used for simulating molecular electron-transfer dynamics shallower, so they fit noisy near-term hardware. The physical target is the Marcus model: a particle (nuclear wavepacket) moving in two coupled harmonic potential wells, where the observable to preserve is the electron-transfer rate coefficient. The authors encode the wavepacket on a binary position register, write each Trotter step’s quadratic potential and kinetic terms as diagonal Walsh-operator unitaries, then variationally “compress” each term using a fast-forwarding ansatz (WDW^\dagger) optimised by quantum-assisted quantum compiling (QAQC). An l -local truncation deletes long-range two-qubit gates that would otherwise need costly SWAP routing, trading a controlled approximation error for shallower depth. Most results come from classical emulation (up to 15 logical qubits); hardware runs on IBM superconducting devices demonstrate wavepacket initialisation and noise-resilient fast-forwarding of the compressed kinetic operator.

2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** IBM. Three named superconducting devices: `ibm_brisbane` (paper-stated as a “127-qubit Eagle processor”), `ibm_sherbrooke`, and `ibm_torino`. The bulk of the science is classical emulation; hardware is used only for wavepacket initialisation and the fast-forwarding demonstration.
- **Qubit count:** 3 qubits used on `ibm_brisbane` for the fast-forwarding demonstration (of 127 available). Wavepacket initialisations used $n = 3$ to $n = 8$ position qubits on `ibm_torino`, and $n = 3$ on `ibm_brisbane` and `ibm_sherbrooke`. No error-correction overhead is invoked (NISQ, one physical qubit per logical qubit).
- **Connectivity:** Heavy-hex (not stated in the paper for the named devices; cross-checked against the substrate reference). The paper works at the logical level with **linear** and **ring** qubit topologies and reasons about generic transmon SWAP cost ($2d - 3$ SWAPs to bridge distance d).
- **Gate set:** Not stated for the devices. The paper’s circuits are written in terms of R_z , CNOT, Toffoli, and parity-based $ZZ(\theta) = \text{CNOT}(\mathbb{1} \otimes R_z(\theta)) \text{CNOT}$. Substrate reference: Eagle (`ibm_brisbane`/`ibm_sherbrooke`) entangles via cross-resonance (ZX); Heron (`ibm_torino`) uses a tunable coupler with native $R_{ZZ}(\theta)$ and $R_x(\theta)$ pulses, which maps directly onto this paper’s parity-based $ZZ(\theta)$ primitive.
- **Notable features leveraged:** Fast-forwarding by rescaling the diagonal $D(\vec{\theta}, \tau) \rightarrow D(N\vec{\theta}, \tau)$ without re-optimising W (Schur / VFF structure). No mid-circuit measurement is required: the coupling comparator uses ancilla plus Toffoli cascades that are uncomputed, and the circuits are explicitly built to avoid reset gates. The QAQC cost (Local Hilbert-Schmidt Test) is noise-resilient by construction, but is evaluated here in emulation rather than on hardware.

2.3 Computational primitive

Trotterised Hamiltonian simulation (second-order product formula for the Marcus-model dynamics), implemented through **variational circuit compilation / compression** (a VFF WDW^\dagger ansatz optimised by QAQC against a Local Hilbert-Schmidt Test cost). The hardware demonstration specifically exercises **variational fast-forwarding** (diagonal-parameter rescaling of the compressed kinetic operator). It is not VQE: nothing here computes a ground-state energy; the variational loop compiles unitaries, it does not minimise an energy.

2.4 Resource fingerprint

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	Up to 15 for the full nonadiabatic emulation (8 position + 3 ancilla + 3 comparator + 1 objective); 3 on hardware for fast-forwarding; up to 8 position qubits for initialisation. QAQC emulation needs $2n$ qubits (Bell pairs), up to 10 for $n = 5$.	Discussion Eq. (total qubits); App. “Coupling Function” ($8 + \log_2 8 + 3 + \log_2 2 = 15$); Results “Fast-Forwarding”; Methods (QAQC needs $2n$ qubits)
Qubits (physical)	Same as logical (no QEC). 3 physical on <code>ibm_brisbane</code> (127-qubit Eagle); up to 8 on <code>ibm_torino</code> .	Results “Fast-Forwarding”; App. “Wavepacket Initialization”
Circuit depth	Not reported as an absolute number. Scaling: compressed depth $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot l)$ vs explicit $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$; comparator depth $2n^{1.5}/3 + \mathcal{O}(1)$.	Conclusions; App. “Log-Size Comparison Circuit”

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
2Q-gate count (total)	Not reported as a single run total. “On the order of several hundred two-qubit gates” per single $n = 8$ nonadiabatic Trotter step. Per-operator counts in Table I (e.g. $n = 8$ kinetic T : 18 ZZ compressed vs 36 explicit; $n = 8$ V_0 : 25 CZZ + 50 Toffoli compressed). One $l = 7$ -local CZZ alone \approx 132 CNOT + 14 T gates.	Results “Fast-Forwarding”; Table I; Discussion “Resource Scaling”
Measurement shots	1024 shots/trial \times 10 independent trials per configuration (2048 shots/trial for $n = 7, 8$ initialisations).	App. “Wavepacket Initialization”
Classical loop iter.	Not reported numerically. QAQC/Adam convergence shown only in figure; larger n needs more iterations.	Results “Optimization Convergence” (Fig.); App. “Quantum Assisted Quantum Compiling”
Wall-clock runtime	~ 70 core-hours for one 5-qubit QAQC optimisation (classical: $4 \times$ AMD EPYC Milan, ≤ 256 GB total memory). Hardware wall-clock not reported.	App. “Quantum Assisted Quantum Compiling”

Additional reported run parameters (not in the standard rows): fast-forwarding applied $N = 0$ to 10 timesteps of the $l = 1$ -local compressed kinetic operator at $\tau = 32$ a.u.; rate coefficients extracted by linear regression of $\mathcal{P}_0(t)$ over $t \leq 100$ a.u. for driving forces $\Delta G^0 \in [0, 0.3]$ a.u.; box size $L = 20$, $2^n = 256$ grid points at $n = 8$; coupling a 3-basis-state (127, 128, 129) area-preserving step of the Gaussian $Ce^{-\beta(x-a)^2}$ with $C = 0.01$, $\beta = 5.0$, $a = L/2$.

2.5 Assumptions and results

- **Claim.** Variationally compressed Trotter terms preserve the physical observable (Marcus rate coefficients) under controlled l -local truncation, while cutting depth from $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ to $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot l)$ and removing SWAP-inducing long-range ZZ gates. Compressed circuits remain fast-forwardable (rescale the diagonal, no re-optimisation), and the Schur/VFF ansatz is noise-resilient on real hardware. A two-surface, three-piece-coupling 1D problem fits in **15 logical qubits**, down from 18 in the Ollitrault et al. (2020) benchmark, via a smaller Toffoli-cascade comparator.
- **Error bars / noise assumptions.** Compression threshold $C_{\text{LHST}} \leq 0.01$ (retained entanglement fidelity 0.99). Hardware fidelities: fast-forwarding on `ibm_brisbane` stayed **above 0.80 through $N = 10$ steps** (from ≈ 0.99 at initialisation); UCC initialisation $F = 0.991 \pm 0.000623$ (`ibm_brisbane`), $F = 0.912 \pm 0.00129$ (`ibm_sherbrooke`), and $F = 0.933 \pm 0.000413$ at $n = 6$ (`ibm_torino`), with hardware error taken as the standard error over ten 1024-shot runs. Truncation error accumulates coherently as $\mathcal{O}(N \cdot C_{\text{LHST}})$ over N Trotter steps.
- **Comparison to classical baseline.** The benchmark is the explicit (uncompressed) Trotterisation of the same binary-encoded Marcus model from Ollitrault et al. (2020), itself a classical emulation. Compressed circuits ($l = 4$ kinetic, $l = 6$ potential) reproduce the **qualitative** dependence of the rate on ΔG^0 (peak location, inverted-regime suppression) but with quantitative deviations from both the explicit-circuit and theoretical Marcus rates, largest in the normal and inverted regimes where the rate is sensitive to wavepacket tails. The authors are explicit that this is proof-of-principle, not a quantitatively converged result, and that running the $N \approx 100$ steps needed for rate extraction is beyond current superconducting coherence times.

2.6 Portability flags

Hardware-specific features and assumptions that matter for the portability analysis:

- **Designed to relax connectivity, not to demand it.** The headline method exists precisely to remove the all-to-all connectivity that the explicit $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ Benenti-Strini construction needs. l -local truncation targets **linear** (nearest + next-nearest-neighbour) and **ring** topologies. Ring topology reaches the same C_{LHST} at lower l (e.g. $l = 3$ vs $l = 4$ for the kinetic term at $n \leq 6$) because the maximum qubit distance is halved. This is a rare case where a higher-connectivity substrate (trapped-ion all-to-all, neutral-atom reconfigurable) could **skip the truncation approximation entirely** and run the exact operator, while heavy-hex / linear superconducting hardware is the constrained case the paper optimises for.
- **Parity-based $ZZ(\theta)$ is the core two-qubit primitive.** The ansatz is built from $ZZ(\theta)$ rotations decomposed as $\text{CNOT} \cdot R_z \cdot \text{CNOT}$. On IBM Heron (`ibm_torino`) this is essentially a native $R_{ZZ}(\theta)$ pulse; on cross-resonance Eagle it must be synthesised from ZX/CNOT . On a trapped-ion substrate the native $XX(\theta)$ / Mølmer-Sørensen interaction is also parity-type. The authors explicitly argue against blind transpilation and **for compiling directly to the native gate set** (they note a Qiskit CR_z does not transpile optimally to a trapped-ion $ZZ(\theta)$). Portability of the ansatz across vendors hinges on how cleanly each native entangler maps to $ZZ(\theta)$.
- **Fast-forwarding needs only depth/fidelity headroom, no exotic feature.** The WDW^\dagger rescaling trick is substrate-agnostic;

it requires gate fidelity and coherence sufficient for the W/W^\dagger brick-wall layers, not any hardware-specific capability. It is a generic NISQ portability win.

- **Coupling circuit is Toffoli- and T-gate-heavy.** Controlled potentials V_0, V_1 turn each ZZ into a CZZ costing 2 Toffoli gates; one $l = 7$ -local CZZ alone is quoted at 132 CNOT + 14 T gates. The comparator uses Toffoli cascades ($\mathcal{O}(n^{1.5})$ depth, $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ ancilla), preferred over Draper arithmetic for $n \lesssim 16$. The **T-gate count** is the relevant cost driver for any fault-tolerant portability target; the Toffoli/ancilla budget is what shrank the register from 18 to 15 qubits.
- **No mid-circuit measurement or reset required** (a portability advantage): ancillas are uncomputed via inverse Toffolis rather than reset, though the authors caution that hardware may need resets in practice to avoid register state-mixing.
- **Centred QFT (cQFT) wraps the kinetic operator.** Standard QFT-style long-range controlled-phase structure; its routing cost is itself topology dependent and is an additional portability variable not folded into the compressed-term counts.

2.7 Caveats

- **Full open access.** Complete LaTeX source, all figures and supplemental figures, and the bibliography are present in `paper-source/`; nothing was paywalled or unreachable. Extraction is from the source `.tex` (`NonAdiabaticVFF_4.27.26.tex`) directly.
- **Device-level connectivity and native gate set are background, not paper-stated.** The paper names `ibm_brisbane` (only `ibm_brisbane` is labelled “127-qubit Eagle”), `ibm_sherbrooke`, and `ibm_torino`, but does not state their topology, native gates, or (for Sherbrooke/Torino) qubit counts. The heavy-hex / cross-resonance / Heron-tunable-coupler facts here are cross-checked from the local superconducting substrate note and the Ezratty index, not from the paper. The Heron classification of `ibm_torino` is inference from IBM’s device naming, not a paper claim.
- **Several resource numbers are genuinely absent.** Absolute circuit depth, total per-run two-qubit gate count, optimiser iteration counts, and hardware wall-clock are not reported and are marked “not reported” above rather than estimated.
- **One internal table value looks anomalous.** Table I lists the $n = 8$ compressed R_z count for V_0/V_1 as 63, exceeding the explicit count of 58; this is reproduced as printed and may be a typo or genuine W -layer overhead. Flagged for Brilliant rather than silently corrected.

3 Portability matrix