

Quantum Simulation of Magnetic Materials: from Ab-Initio to NISQ

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2605.10667-magnetic-materials-iqm
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.10667
Category	app
Triaged	2026-05-21 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

Contents

1	Source	3
1.1	Domain classification	3
1.2	Expert persona for Julien	3
1.3	Related prior work (brief bibliography)	3
1.4	Difficulty estimate	4
2	Extraction	5
2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph)	5
2.2	Quantum hardware used	5

2.3	Computational primitive	5
2.4	Resource fingerprint	6
2.5	Assumptions and results	7
2.6	Portability flags	8
2.7	Caveats	8
3	Portability matrix	9

1 Source

1.1 Domain classification

Primary: materials. The physics target is condensed-matter quantum magnetism: low-energy spin-wave / magnon spectra of two-dimensional chromium tri-halide monolayers (CrCl_3 , CrBr_3 , CrI_3) on a honeycomb lattice, probed via real-time quench dynamics on IQM Resonance hardware (Garnet, Emerald) up to 48 qubits.

Secondary: quantum chemistry. The front-end of the workflow is genuinely a quantum-chemistry pipeline: CASSCF in PySCF on a finite Cr_2X_{10} dimer, an active space selected by the Active Space Finder, and a parity-optimisation (“spin mapper”) step that distils spin-like orbitals from the ab-initio electronic Hamiltonian before any spin model exists. Julien will need both lenses, but the deliverable physics is materials-science.

1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A condensed-matter physicist specialising in quantum magnetism and spin dynamics, comfortable reading magnon dispersions and high-symmetry-point (Γ , K, M) spectra, who also runs Trotterised real-time simulation on NISQ hardware. They should have calibrated intuition about first-order Lie-Trotter step selection versus coherence budget, mapping a 1D effective spin-1/2 ring onto physical qubit connectivity to avoid SWAPs, and superconducting error-mitigation primitives (Pauli twirling / randomised compiling, TREX readout mitigation). A working grasp of active-space quantum chemistry (CASSCF, t_{2g}/e_g crystal-field splitting, Clebsch-Gordan projection to an effective spin-3/2 and the subsequent two-level magnetisation truncation) is needed to follow how the hardware model is derived, even though the fingerprint itself lives in the time-evolution layer.

1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- Kim et al., *Evidence for the utility of quantum computing before fault tolerance*, Nature **618**, 500 (2023). doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06096-3. The canonical cloud-NISQ “quantum utility” demonstration of the same computational primitive (Trotterised real-time spin dynamics) on a different vendor and topology (IBM 127-qubit heavy-hex superconducting). Direct cross-vendor anchor.
- Lee et al., *Benchmarking quantum simulation with neutron-scattering experiments*, arXiv:2603.15608 (2026). arXiv:2603.15608. Same application class (magnon / spin-wave dynamics) cross-checked against inelastic neutron scattering, executed on IBM hardware. The closest application-level portability comparison.
- Maskara et al., *Programmable simulations of molecules and materials with reconfigurable quantum processors*, Nat. Phys. **21**, 289 (2025). doi:10.1038/s41567-024-02738-z. Neutral-atom (Rydberg) materials simulation; the paper’s own conclusion flags neutral-atom and ion-trap architectures as better suited to the 2D extension, so this is the cross-architecture pointer.
- Schoenauer et al., *A method to derive material-specific model descriptions of spin degrees of freedom coupled to a fermionic bath...*, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. (2025). doi:10.1140/epjs/s11734-025-01922-3. The HQS Spin Mapper / parity-optimisation method that

produces the effective spin model. Essential context for the ab-initio-to-spins front-end.

- Esquembre-Kucukalic et al. (Molina-Sanchez group), *Magnons in chromium trihalides calculated with the ab initio Bethe-Salpeter equation*, Phys. Rev. B **112**, 184412 (2025). doi:10.1103/gbpw-zh1v. Same materials and same observable computed by a fully classical ab-initio method; the classical-baseline comparator the authors benchmark against.
- Chen et al., *Topological Spin Excitations in Honeycomb Ferromagnet CrI₃*, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 041028 (2018). doi:10.1103/PhysRevX.8.041028. Experimental neutron-scattering magnon dispersion for the target material; the empirical ground truth the workflow ultimately aims to reproduce.

1.4 Difficulty estimate

Medium. The source is fully open: the arXiv preprint and the complete LaTeX source (all content and methods sections, plus the hardware-calibration table) are already in the dossier folder, with no paywall. The hardware fingerprint is unusually well laid out: an explicit per-run calibration table gives QPU (Garnet vs Emerald), one- and two-qubit gate errors and times, T_1 / T_2 , readout error, dates, system sizes ($N = 6$ to 48), CZ-based two-qubit depth (6 at Γ/K , 5 at M), 19 Trotter steps / 20 time points, 16 twirls \times 512 shots = 8192 shots per time point, and per-momentum Trotter step sizes. What lifts this above “low” is the dense, multi-stage front-end (CASSCF active space, parity optimisation, Clebsch-Gordan projection to effective spin-3/2, magnetisation truncation, wave-vector “twist” encoding): Julien will need care to separate genuine hardware parameters from model-construction choices, and to judge which reductions are hardware-motivated. Raw bitstrings and the proprietary HQS preprocessing scripts are on-request only (DLR approval, HQS licences), but the paper text and calibration table carry enough to extract the fingerprint without them.

2 Extraction

2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

The authors build an end-to-end, cloud-accessible workflow that simulates the low-energy spin-wave (magnon) spectra of two-dimensional chromium tri-halide monolayers (CrCl_3 , CrBr_3 , CrI_3) on commercial superconducting quantum hardware. A classical front-end derives an effective quantum spin model directly from first principles: a CASSCF calculation (PySCF) on a finite Cr_2X_{10} dimer, a parity optimisation (“spin mapper”) that distils three spin-1/2 t_{2g} orbitals per chromium atom, re-periodisation onto a honeycomb lattice, a wave-vector “twist” encoding of the magnon momentum, Clebsch-Gordan projection of each magnetic centre to an effective spin-3/2, and a two-level magnetisation truncation that yields a nearest-neighbour spin-1/2 chain on a 1D ring. That ring is time-evolved after a ferromagnetic quench using a first-order Lie-Trotter product formula on IQM Resonance (Garnet and Emerald chips), for systems of $N = 6$ to 48 qubits, and the resulting momentum-resolved spectra at the Γ , K and M points are benchmarked against Krylov and DMRG classical references.

2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** IQM, accessed via the IQM Resonance commercial cloud platform. Two superconducting chips: **Garnet** (runs at $N = 6, 12$) and **Emerald** (runs at $N = 18$ through 48). (Methods, Table 1; Workflow §“Quantum simulation of magnon spectra”).
- **Qubit count:** Up to **48 qubits used** (one physical qubit per effective spin-1/2; $N \in \{6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42, 48\}$). The paper does not state the total qubit count of either chip; for cross-reference only, IQM Garnet is a 20-qubit and Emerald a 54-qubit “Crystal” processor (Ezratty 2025; not a paper figure).
- **Connectivity:** The final model is deliberately reduced to a **periodic one-dimensional ring of N spins** and mapped onto a loop of physical qubits so that “all interactions can be implemented without additional swap operations” (Workflow §“From ab-initio to quantum spins”). The underlying IQM chip is a square-grid (“Crystal”) nearest-neighbour topology with native CZ (vendor/Ezratty cross-check); the experiment uses only a ring embedded in it.
- **Gate set:** Native two-qubit gate is **CZ** (Table 1 reports a two-qubit **cz** error and time). Single-qubit gates are used but not named in the text. Each ring bond is compiled to 3 CZ per Trotter step at Γ /K (5/2 per site at M).
- **Notable features leveraged:** Pauli twirling / randomised compiling and TREX (twirled readout-error extinction) error mitigation. The circuits are dense with no qubit idling, so dynamical decoupling is explicitly *not* used. No mid-circuit measurement, no dynamic circuits, no architecture-specific primitives are invoked.

2.3 Computational primitive

Trotterised real-time Hamiltonian simulation: first-order Lie-Trotter product-formula time evolution of a nearest-neighbour spin-1/2 ring after a non-linear ferromagnetic quench, with the magnon spectrum extracted from the Fourier transform of a time-dependent

transverse spin correlator. This is *not* a variational algorithm (no QAOA/VQE-style classical outer loop).

The simulated model (Methods Eq. `final_model`) is

$$\hat{H}^{(2)} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left[J_{\perp}^{(i)} (\hat{S}_i^x \hat{S}_{i+1}^x + \hat{S}_i^y \hat{S}_{i+1}^y) + J_z^{(i)} \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_{i+1}^z + J_{\times}^{(i)} (\hat{S}_i^x \hat{S}_{i+1}^y - \hat{S}_i^y \hat{S}_{i+1}^x) \right] + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} h_i \hat{S}_i^z,$$

with J_{\perp} and J_{\times} carrying the encoded wave vector, evaluated at $q \in \{\Gamma, K, M\}$.

2.4 Resource fingerprint

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	N effective spin-1/2, $N \in \{6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42, 48\}$; one qubit per spin	Results, Fig. 2; Methods §“Hamiltonian after projection”
Qubits (physical)	Same as logical (no error correction): up to 48	Abstract (“up to 48 qubits”); Table 1 (N column)
Circuit depth	Per Trotter step: total depth 13 (Γ, K), 11 (M); two-qubit depth 6 (Γ, K), 5 (M). 19 Trotter steps total \rightarrow deepest circuit ≈ 247 (Γ/K) / 209 (M) total depth, ≈ 114 / 95 two-qubit depth	Table 1 caption; Methods §“Trotterization parameters”
2Q-gate count (total)	Per Trotter step: $3N$ (CZ) at Γ/K , $(5/2)N$ at M. Deepest circuit (19 steps) e.g. $N=48$, Γ : $19 \times 3 \times 48 = 2736$ CZ	Table 1 caption
Measurement shots	$N_{\text{twirl}} = 16$ twirled instances \times 512 shots = 8192 shots per time point (20 time points \times 3 momenta per spectrum)	Workflow §“Quantum simulation of magnon spectra”

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Classical loop iter.	Not applicable (non-variational). Fixed 19 Trotter steps \rightarrow 20 sampled time points per spectrum	Workflow; Methods §“Trotterization parameters”
Wall-clock runtime	Not reported numerically; Fig. 4 shows quantum wall time approximately <i>constant</i> in N , Krylov exceeding quantum at $N=24$, DMRG (at $\delta S=0.1$) below quantum for all N	Results, Fig. 4

Trotter time steps (fixed across system size per momentum): $\tau = 0.374 a^{-1}$ (Γ), $\tau = 0.445 a^{-1}$ (K), $\tau = 0.72 a^{-1}$ (M), with a the weaker inter-site Heisenberg coupling; chosen so the commutator estimate $\varepsilon_{LT} \approx 0.7$ at $N = 6$ and validated against Krylov (Methods §“Trotterization parameters”; Fig. 1 quotes 0.225 meV^{-1} at M for $N = 18$).

Per-run calibrations (Table 1): single-qubit gate error $\bar{\varepsilon}_{1Q} \approx 0.45\text{--}1.3 \times 10^{-3}$; two-qubit (CZ) error $\bar{\varepsilon}_{2Q} \approx 3.7\text{--}9.1 \times 10^{-3}$; readout error $\bar{\varepsilon}_{RO} \approx 1.5\text{--}2.7 \times 10^{-2}$; $\overline{T}_1 \approx 30\text{--}53 \mu\text{s}$, $\overline{T}_2 \approx 7.9\text{--}19.5 \mu\text{s}$. Two-qubit gate time 40 ns (Garnet) / 60 ns (Emerald); readout 120-126 ns (Garnet) / 360 ns (Emerald). Runs span 21.01.2026 to 08.04.2026.

2.5 Assumptions and results

- **Claim.** A first-principles-to-NISQ workflow reproduces the dominant momentum-resolved magnon spectral features of CrX_3 monolayers on cloud-accessed IQM hardware up to 48 qubits, with **quasi-constant quantum wall time** versus the growing cost of classical Krylov/DMRG references, framed as “quantum utility” (useful results without claimed quantum advantage). The M point agrees best (shallower depth 5); the Γ point shows peak shifts because near-zero-frequency modes need longer evolution times than the coherence-limited 19-step circuit allows.
- **Error bars / noise.** Statistical (shot/bootstrap) uncertainty is “barely visible” at plot scale; the dominant errors are incoherent decoherence plus residual coherent errors, not shot noise. Spectral agreement is quantified by cosine similarity against a *damped* classical reference, with the fitted damping rate γ interpreted as an effective decoherence rate (smallest at M). Data were taken on two devices over several weeks under varying calibration, contributing to scatter.
- **Classical baseline.** Krylov-subspace full-Hilbert-space time evolution for $N \leq 24$ (sparse-matrix, memory-limited) and td-DMRG for $N \leq 48$ (discarded entropy $\delta S < 10^{-4}$ for converged benchmarks; $\delta S = 0.1$ for the reduced-accuracy wall-time comparison). The extracted couplings ($J_{Cl} = 1.21$, $J_{Br} = 1.38$, $J_I = 1.64 \text{ meV}$) agree decently with neutron-scattering values (0.95, 1.36, 2.01).

2.6 Portability flags

- **Hardware-co-designed 1D ring connectivity.** The whole reduction chain (super-cell choice, projection, truncation) is steered to produce a *periodic 1D chain* whose graph matches the qubit loop on chip, eliminating SWAPs. This is the single most hardware-shaping choice. It ports trivially to all-to-all (trapped-ion) and to any platform that embeds a ring of N qubits, but the authors flag that the genuinely 2D extension (their stated next step) would *reintroduce SWAPs on superconducting grids* and is “better suited” to ion-trap or neutral-atom architectures.
- **Native CZ, generic 2-qubit interaction.** Each bond is a generic $XX+YY+ZZ+(XY-YX)$ term compiled to 3 CZ per Trotter step. Portable to any gate set able to synthesise a nearest-neighbour two-qubit rotation; on $XX(\theta)$ / Mølmer-Sørensen ion-trap bases the decomposition differs and the CZ count is not directly comparable.
- **Vendor-agnostic error mitigation only.** Pauli twirling (randomised compiling) and TREX readout mitigation are platform-independent primitives, not IQM-specific. No mid-circuit measurement, no dynamic circuits, no Rydberg/analog features, so the *quantum layer is broadly portable*.
- **Coherence/depth is the binding constraint.** The fixed 19 Trotter steps are set by the coherence budget, capping spectral resolution (notably at Γ). A higher-fidelity or longer-coherence platform would allow more steps and better low-frequency resolution; this is the key axis on which other vendors would differ.
- **Front-end is fully classical and hardware-agnostic.** CASSCF, parity optimisation/spin mapper, Clebsch-Gordan projection and magnetisation truncation impose no hardware requirement; they should not be counted as hardware-specific features in any portability comparison.

2.7 Caveats

- **Access was complete for the fingerprint.** The arXiv preprint and the full LaTeX source (all content + Methods sections, plus the per-run calibration Table 1) were available with no paywall; the hardware fingerprint is fully recoverable from the text.
- **Wall-clock runtimes are not given numerically,** only the scaling plot (Fig. 4), which the authors themselves caution should be read as a scaling-behaviour comparison, “not directly comparable” in absolute terms.
- **Raw data and some tooling are on-request / proprietary.** Hamiltonian matrices, raw bitstrings and derived results are available only on request, subject to DLR approval within up to 3 months. The HQS Spin Mapper (1.0.3) and HQS Quantum Solver (1.6.0) used in pre-processing and the Krylov benchmark are proprietary (licensable from HQS); PySCF (2.10.0), the Active Space Finder (2.0.1) and the DMRG code are open source. None of this blocks the hardware fingerprint.
- **Chip capacities are cross-referenced, not from the paper.** The paper does not state Garnet/Emerald total qubit counts; the “20-qubit Garnet / 54-qubit Emerald” figures are from the vendor/Ezratty 2025 survey and are flagged as such above.

3 Portability matrix