

Schedule-dependent basin occupation in a programmable quantum annealer

Portability dossier - benchmark

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

| Field | Value |
|--------------|---|
| Slug | 2026-arxiv-2605.17604-dwave-basin-occupation |
| Source | arXiv |
| Link | https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.17604 |
| Category | benchmark |
| Triaged | 2026-05-21 by port_until_julien_parallel |
| Bootstrapped | 2026-06-07 |

Contents

| | | |
|----------|------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Capability note | 2 |
| 1.1 | Claim | 2 |
| 1.2 | Vendor and machine | 2 |
| 1.3 | Metrics | 2 |
| 1.4 | Context | 4 |
| 1.5 | Caveats | 4 |

1 Capability note

1.1 Claim

On a single mixed-frustration 12-qubit Ising instance (seed 14029) run on two D-Wave generations, the late-time subsystem autocorrelation $C(k=49)$ under cycled reverse annealing sits strictly between two equilibrium classical reference processes at the device-calibrated effective temperature (localized parallel tempering, which freezes, and delocalized path-integral SQA, which spreads), and this bracket reproduces on both Advantage2 (Zephyr) and Advantage_system6.4 (Pegasus) at two different β_{eff} values; the result revises an earlier two-pause “quantum-enhancement” claim and reframes reverse-anneal schedules as instance-specific basin-occupation probes rather than a universal quantum knob.

1.2 Vendor and machine

| Field | Value |
|----------------|---|
| Vendor | D-Wave |
| Machines | Advantage2 (Zephyr topology, solver <code>Advantage2_system1</code>); Advantage_system6.4 (Pegasus topology) |
| Software stack | D-Wave Leap / Ocean; <code>DWaveSampler</code> , <code>FixedEmbeddingComposite</code> (and bare <code>DWaveSampler</code> with <code>auto_scale=False</code> for native-graph control); reverse-anneal with <code>reinitialize_state=False</code> |
| Author | Luis Lozano, Tecnológico de Monterrey (Campus Santa Fe) |
| Source / date | arXiv:2605.17604, preprint dated May 2026; intended for PRX Quantum. Exact experiment dates not reported in text (calibration epoch is logged only in the reviewer-access archive). |

This is a quantum-annealer sampling study, not a gate-model capability demonstration, so gate-model figures of merit do not apply.

1.3 Metrics

| Metric | Value | Source |
|-----------------------------|---|--------|
| Quantum Volume / linear XEB | not applicable (annealer, not gate model) | n/a |

| Metric | Value | Source |
|---|--|----------------------|
| 1Q gate fidelity | not applicable / not reported | n/a |
| 2Q gate fidelity | not applicable / not reported | n/a |
| Circuit depth sustained | not applicable (anneal schedule, not circuit) | n/a |
| Qubit count used | 12 logical qubits (4-qubit subsystem S + 8-qubit environment E); $2^{12} = 4096$ configurations enumerated | Protocol |
| Effective inverse temp β_{eff} (Advantage2) | 7.22 ± 0.06 at $s_p=0.40$ (also 7.07, 7.26, 7.55 at $s_p=0.30, 0.35, 0.45$) | In-situ thermometry |
| Effective inverse temp β_{eff} (Advantage_system6.4) | 4.29 | Cross-solver section |
| Cycled-anneal chain length | $K = 50$ cycles per submission; 30 chains per (seed, schedule, init) in v3 | Methods |
| Late-time autocorrelation $C(49)$, seed 8192 baseline (A2) | QPU 0.256, BCa 95% CI [0.189, 0.378]; SQA 0.47; PT envelope [0.53, 1.00] | Results / Table |
| Late-time autocorrelation $C(49)$, seed 14029 baseline | A2: QPU 0.99, SQA 0.20, PT [1.00, 1.00]; S6.4: QPU 0.62, SQA 0.00, PT [0.89, 1.00] | Table (SQA/PT/QPU) |
| Schedule-induced basin shift (max) | up to +38 percentage points (seed 4096, 62% \rightarrow 100% on +---); dominant-config identity changes on seeds 42, 12011 | Results |
| Schedule-responsive instances | 6 of 20 training seeds (TVD ≥ 0.05); 14 of 20 schedule-static | Taxonomy |
| PT-unmatched fraction (Advantage2) | 3 of 18 (seed, schedule) combinations, spanning 2 distinct Ising instances; 1 of 6 on native-graph control | Falsification |
| Two-pause “inversion rate” (refutes pilot) | two-pause 33% [24, 41] (lowest); long-hold 52% [44, 60] (highest); baseline 43% | Reconciliation |
| Pre-registered linear predictor (held-out) | fails: held-out Pearson $r = -0.005$ and $+0.22$, both $p > 0.5$, $R^2 < 0$ ($n = 10$) | Predictor test |

1.4 Context

This is a refinement-and-falsification paper, not a record claim. It enlarges a 40-instance pilot ([locth1_companion], a same-author companion “in preparation”) fourfold and overturns that pilot’s headline: the earlier report that a two-pause schedule “doubled” the quantum-over-classical inversion rate (17% \rightarrow 44%) is shown to be consistent with sampling noise ($\approx \pm 15$ pp CI at $n=40$); in the larger dataset two-pause is the lowest reverse schedule, not the highest. The one positive claim that survives, the PT/SQA bracket on seed 14029, replicates across two D-Wave topologies and two β_{eff} values, but is explicitly framed as a statement about tested classical reference processes, not as a quantum-coherence or quantum-advantage demonstration. No theoretical threshold is crossed.

1.5 Caveats

- **Preprint, not peer reviewed.** arXiv:2605.17604; the source notes it is intended for PRX Quantum review. Treat all numbers as pre-review.
- **Data not yet open.** Raw D-Wave sample sets and analysis code are in a reviewer-access archive available “on request to the corresponding author”; public Zenodo deposit is promised only at acceptance, and a GitHub mirror is private. Results are therefore not currently reproducible from open data.
- **Very small statistical base.** The headline bracket/PT-unmatched claim is carried by 2 distinct Hamiltonian instances on Advantage2 (the author flags effective $n \approx 2$, not 18) plus 1 mixed-frustration native-graph instance. Only 6 of 20 training seeds are schedule-responsive at all. Scale is small (12 qubits, no scaling study).
- **“Bracket” is partly against a degenerate reference.** On seed 14029 the PT reference is fully frozen at $C(49) = [1.0, 1.0]$ with zero variance, so the unmatched verdict reduces to “QPU is strictly less than perfectly frozen” against a noiseless classical ceiling, as the author acknowledges.
- **Stronger classical baselines untested.** Houdayer cluster Monte Carlo, spin-vector Monte Carlo, and PT sweep budgets beyond 1000/cycle are not run; the SQA reference uses a fixed Advantage2 pause-point transverse-field scale and is not a full open-system device model. The author does not claim quantum coherence.
- **Negative predictor result is underpowered.** The pre-registered linear predictor fails on held-out data, but $n=10$ has only $\approx 35\%$ power against $r=0.5$; a non-pre-registered Spearman test ($\rho=0.62$, $p \approx 0.02$) is flagged by the author as hypothesis-generating given ≈ 12 unplanned comparisons (family-wise false-positive probability ≈ 0.46).
- **LLM disclosure.** LLM coding assistants were used for scaffolding and language editing; the author states all scientific decisions were human-verified.