

# Benchmarking a machine-learning differential equations solver on a neutral-atom logical processor

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-05-21

---

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2605.21276-pasqal-logical-kernel-de-solver
Source	arXiv
Link	<a href="https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.21276">https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.21276</a>
Category	app
Triaged	2026-05-21 by codex
Bootstrapped	2026-05-21

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Domain classification . . . . .	3
1.2	Expert persona for Julien . . . . .	3
1.3	Related prior work (brief bibliography) . . . . .	3
1.4	Difficulty estimate . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Extraction</b>	<b>4</b>

2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph)	4
2.2	Quantum hardware used	4
2.3	Computational primitive	4
2.4	Resource fingerprint	5
2.5	Assumptions and results	6
2.6	Portability flags	6
2.7	Caveats	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Portability matrix</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Summary	8
3.2	Pasqal logical neutral-atom processor - runs as-is ( <i>anchor</i> )	9
3.3	QuEra Gemini - runs with translation (after compiler retargeting)	9
3.4	Atom Computing AC1000 / Azure Quantum logical offering - runs with translation (after compiler retargeting)	10
3.5	Quantinuum H2 - runs with translation	10
3.6	IonQ Forte - runs with translation	11
3.7	IBM Heron - runs with translation	11
3.8	Xanadu Aurora - architectural rewrite	12
3.9	Xanadu Borealis - infeasible today	12
3.10	D-Wave Advantage2 - infeasible today	12
3.11	Residual caveats	13

# 1 Source

## 1.1 Domain classification

machine learning. Secondary context: other - numerical differential-equation solving / scientific computing.

## 1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A quantum machine-learning researcher with hands-on knowledge of kernel methods for regression or physics-informed differential-equation solving. They should also be comfortable with logical-qubit benchmarking papers, enough to separate the kernel/solver requirements from the device and error-detection details. The right reader will know when the appendices on circuit compilation and error modelling are essential for Julien’s hardware-fingerprint extraction, without turning the sourcing pass into that extraction.

## 1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- Paine, Elfving, Kyriienko, “Quantum kernel methods for solving regression problems and differential equations”, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.107.032428>, arXiv:2203.08884.
- Batlle, Chen, Hosseini, Owhadi, Stuart, “Error analysis of kernel/GP methods for nonlinear and parametric PDEs”, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2024.113488>, arXiv:2305.04962.
- Havlicek et al., “Supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces”, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0980-2>, arXiv:1804.11326.
- Yin et al., “Experimental quantum-enhanced kernels on a photonic processor”, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-025-01452-y>, arXiv:2407.20364.
- Giusto et al., “Harnessing a 256-qubit Neutral Atom Simulator for Graph Classification”, arXiv:2605.04737.
- Thanasilp, Wang, Cerezo, Holmes, “Exponential concentration in quantum kernel methods”, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-49287-w>, arXiv:2208.11060.

## 1.4 Difficulty estimate

medium. The source is readable from the unpacked TeX under `paper-source/` and appears non-paywalled, but Julien will need the appendices for circuit compilation, logical encoding, and error-model context; this is more than a skim of the main text.

## 2 Extraction

### 2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

The paper benchmarks a small quantum-kernel workflow for solving one-dimensional differential equations on PASQAL’s neutral-atom logical processor. It estimates a quantum kernel  $\kappa(x, a) = |\langle \psi(a) | \psi(x) \rangle|^2$  by running overlap circuits, then uses the measured kernel as a fixed basis in a classical mixed-model regression solver. The comparison is not a quantum-advantage claim: it asks whether a logical implementation of the same kernel gives a better application-level result than a physical-qubit implementation. The reported result is that the logical kernel has lower shape distortion and gives lower differential-equation residuals, despite requiring more atoms and post-selection.

### 2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** PASQAL / neutral-atom logical processor based on tweezer-trapped neutral  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  atoms. The paper does not give a public machine model name or total processor size.
- **Qubit count:** Physical kernel uses 2 atom qubits. Logical kernel encodes 2 logical qubits into 4 physical data qubits with the  $[[4, 2, 2]]$  error-detecting code, and the compiled logical circuit includes a flag qubit plus an extra proxy-phasing ancilla, for 6 atom qubits (main text Sec. “Computing quantum kernels on a neutral-atom logical processor”; SI Fig. S6 and “Logical qubits implementation”). Total available qubits are not reported.
- **Connectivity:** Reconfigurable neutral-atom zoning architecture. Atoms are moved between loading, computation, and storage zones; CZ gates are realised for atom pairs placed in computation-zone trap pairs, with typical intra-pair spacing  $3\ \mu\text{m}$  and inter-pair spacing  $12.5\ \mu\text{m}$  (main text Sec. “Computing quantum kernels on a neutral-atom logical processor”; SI “Hardware details”). The paper describes shuttling as enabling arbitrary connectivity and operation locality, rather than a fixed static coupling graph.
- **Gate set:** Native operations used in the compiled circuits are Raman-driven single-qubit rotations, global  $R_X(\theta) / H / X$ -type operations on atoms in the computation zone, local  $Z$  gates via the movable tweezer, and Rydberg-blockade CZ gates. CNOT is not native and is decomposed into CZ plus Hadamard gates. Logical non-Clifford rotations use proxy phasing with an ancilla.
- **Notable features leveraged:** Zonal atom shuttling, Rydberg-blockade CZ, destructive fluorescence readout, dynamical-decoupling/spin-echo during moves,  $[[4, 2, 2]]$  error detection with post-selection, flag-qubit preparation of  $|00\rangle_L$ , parity-check rejection outside the codespace, and proxy-phasing logical rotations. The paper does not report real-time feed-forward or a hardware mid-circuit-measurement primitive.

### 2.3 Computational primitive

Other – quantum kernel estimation by sampling an overlap circuit, used as the quantum subroutine inside a classical mixed-model regression solver for differential equations.

## 2.4 Resource fingerprint

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	2 logical qubits for the logical-kernel implementation; physical baseline has no logical qubits	Main text Sec. “Computing quantum kernels on a neutral-atom logical processor”; SI “Logical qubits implementation”
Qubits (physical)	2 atom qubits for the physical kernel; 6 atom qubits for the compiled logical circuit; total available not reported	Main text Fig. 2a and Sec. “Computing quantum kernels on a neutral-atom logical processor”; SI Fig. S6 and “Logical qubits implementation”
Circuit depth	not reported	SI “Implementation of quantum kernels on the hardware” gives circuit diagrams in Figs. S5-S6, but no scalar depth
2Q-gate count (total)	not reported	SI “Implementation of quantum kernels on the hardware” gives compiled circuits in Figs. S5-S6, but no scalar two-qubit-gate count
Measurement shots	not reported	SI “Kernels for DE solving: additional comments” only states equal post-selected shots per $x_i$ for physical and logical cases

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Classical loop iter.	not reported	SI “Choosing the DE benchmark space and training loss functions” states classical optimisation was straightforward, but gives no iteration count
Wall-clock runtime	not reported	Not reported in main text or SI

## 2.5 Assumptions and results

- The paper claims that the logical kernel better preserves the ideal kernel shape than the physical implementation: after rescaling and shifting, the average median root-mean-square kernel error is 0.094 for the logical kernel versus 0.130 for the physical kernel (main text Fig. 3g and Sec. “Computing quantum kernels on a neutral-atom logical processor”).
- For the DE benchmark, the authors sample 1 000 first-order linear DEs from a constructed family whose ideal infinite-shot kernel would give zero residual; the logical-kernel residual is reported as more than 50% lower on average than the physical-kernel residual (main text Eqs. (3)-(4), Fig. 4b, and Sec. “Solving differential equations as a kernel quality benchmark”). In one nonlinear example chosen to be expressible by the ideal kernel, the logical residual is 0.011 versus 0.122 for the physical kernel; the ideal-kernel preparatory check gives residual 0.018 (main text Fig. 4c; SI “Choosing the DE benchmark space and training loss functions”).
- Error bars in the kernel plots are standard error on the mean (main text Fig. 3 caption). The error model is a circuit-level density-matrix simulation in Cirq with ideal operations followed by Pauli error channels and atom-loss channels, including dynamical-decoupling pulses and coherent moves; plotted uncertainty corresponds to about  $\pm 10\%$  variation of error-model parameters (SI “Error modelling of the quantum kernel circuits”, Fig. S8). The paper identifies single-qubit coherent over/under-rotations, two-qubit Pauli/loss/phase errors, and local- $Z$  errors as the most important kernel-distortion sources.
- The comparison is physical versus logical execution of the same quantum kernel, plus comparison to the ideal kernel. The paper does not report a quantified classical solver baseline or claim quantum advantage over classical numerical DE methods.

## 2.6 Portability flags

1. Requires a zoned neutral-atom execution model: loading, computation, and storage zones, with qubits moved between zones to control which atoms see global beams.

2. Assumes Rydberg-blockade CZ gates on atom pairs placed in computation-zone trap pairs; CNOT is compiled through CZ and Hadamards.
3. Relies on shuttling for locality and effective connectivity, with spin-echo/dynamical decoupling during moves; coherent transport is part of the hardware contract.
4. Logical run relies on the  $[[4, 2, 2]]$  error-detecting code, not full error correction; detected-error shots are discarded.
5. Uses flag-qubit detection and final parity checks/post-selection. The reported logical filtering includes 17(1)% ancilla rejection plus 17(1)% parity rejection, for 32(2)% total discard in the injected-error example; comparable injected errors give rejection rates on the order of 20% to 30% (SI “Injecting noise in the quantum circuits”, Fig. S9).
6. Uses proxy phasing for arbitrary logical rotations because the  $[[4, 2, 2]]$  transversal gate set does not include the required arbitrary single-qubit rotations. The paper states this proxy-phasing implementation is not fault-tolerant.
7. Compiled circuits use global operations on all atoms in the computation zone except for local  $Z$  gates, so a portability assessment must preserve both the global-control model and the shielding of atoms held in storage.
8. Readout is destructive fluorescence: fluorescence presence maps to  $|0\rangle$ , absence maps to  $|1\rangle$ , and the error model combines  $|1\rangle$  and atom-loss outcomes because both appear as atom absence.
9. Shot accounting matters: the authors equalise the number of post-selected shots at each  $x_i$  between physical and logical cases, but do not report the underlying shot count.

## 2.7 Caveats

The local dossier contains the arXiv TeX source bundle but no `paper.pdf`, `paper.html`, or `paper.url`. I used `paper-source/main_arxiv.tex`, which includes the main paper and appendices/SI; no section appeared unreachable. Because the rendered PDF was absent locally, citations above use source sections, equation numbers, and SI figure labels rather than page numbers.

### 3 Portability matrix

**Anchor:** The anchor run is Pasqal’s neutral-atom logical-processor execution of a two-logical-qubit quantum-kernel circuit for differential-equation solving. The executed workload is the logical quantum kernel in the source paper’s Sec. “Computing quantum kernels on a neutral-atom logical processor”, Fig. 3d-f, and Appendix “Implementation of quantum kernels on the hardware”, Fig. S6; the paper does not publish a scalar table of compiled depth, two-qubit-gate count, or raw shot budget. The comparison is a small hardware demonstration, not a resource claim for a larger DE solver.

**Julien’s fingerprint:** Julien’s extraction fixes the critical contract as 2 logical qubits encoded into 4 data atoms under the  $[[4, 2, 2]]$  error-detecting code, with a flag qubit and a proxy-phasing ancilla for a 6-atom logical circuit. The native execution uses Raman single-qubit rotations, global  $R_X(\theta) / H / X$ -type operations in the computation zone, local  $Z$  gates, Rydberg-blockade CZ gates, atom shuttling between loading/computation/storage zones, final destructive fluorescence readout, parity-check post-selection, and no reported real-time feed-forward or hardware mid-circuit-measurement primitive.

#### 3.1 Summary

Modality	Vendor	Verdict	2026 fit
Neutral atom (zoned digital/logical) (anchor)	Pasqal logical neutral-atom processor	runs as-is	yes (executed proof-of-concept)
Neutral atom (gate-model FPQA)	QuEra Gemini	runs with translation (after compiler retargeting)	partial (pending native lowering plus gate/depth/shot costing)
Neutral atom (gate-model array)	Atom Computing AC1000 / Azure Quantum logical offering	runs with translation (after compiler retargeting)	partial (pending native lowering plus post-selection shot budget)
Trapped ion (QCCD)	Quantinuum H2	runs with translation	partial (compiled HQC, depth, and shot budget required)
Trapped ion (linear chain)	IonQ Forte	runs with translation	partial (compiled ZZ/GPi depth and shot budget required)
Superconducting transmon	IBM Heron	runs with translation	partial (routing/depth/shot costing required; dynamic-circuit variant separate)
Photonic (modular GKP prototype)	Xanadu Aurora	architectural rewrite	partial (research prototype; no public kernel-execution service)

Modality	Vendor	Verdict	2026 fit
Photonic (Gaussian boson sampling)	Xanadu Borealis	infeasible today	no
Quantum annealing	D-Wave Advantage2	infeasible today	no

### 3.2 Pasqal logical neutral-atom processor - runs as-is (*anchor*)

**Modality:** Neutral atom (zoned digital/logical) (*anchor*).

**Translation:** - (*anchor* row, no translation needed).

**Evidence:**

- Source paper, Sec. “Computing quantum kernels on a neutral-atom logical processor”, Fig. 3d-f, and Appendix “Implementation of quantum kernels on the hardware”; [arXiv:2605.21276](https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.21276), retrieved 2026-05-21.
- Pasqal, “A landmark first: Solving Differential Equations with Logical Neutral-Atom Qubits”, retrieved 2026-05-21.
- Pasqal, “Programming a neutral-atom QPU”, retrieved 2026-05-21.

### 3.3 QuEra Gemini - runs with translation (after compiler retargeting)

**Modality:** Neutral atom (gate-model FPQA).

**Translation:** Keep the same overlap primitive  $\kappa(x, a) = |\langle \psi(a) | \psi(x) \rangle|^2$  and the same 6-qubit  $[[4, 2, 2]]$  circuit shape, but retarget Pasqal’s computation/storage-zone schedule to Gemini’s DQA storage/entanglement zones. CNOT/CZ and proxy-phasing blocks must be lowered to QuEra’s public gate-model interface, preserving the flag-qubit and final codespace post-selection; Julien does not require real-time feed-forward, and QuEra’s public Gemini page does not expose a complete native lowering trace for this circuit. Preconditions for promoting the 2026 fit beyond partial: published compiled two-qubit-gate count, circuit depth including shuttling/parallel entangling layers, post-selected shots required for a target kernel error  $\varepsilon$ , and confirmation of the relevant backend access terms.

**Evidence:**

- QuEra, “Gemini-Class Gate-Model Quantum Computer”, retrieved 2026-05-21.
- QuEra, “Building Quantum Computers with Neutral Atoms”, retrieved 2026-05-21.
- QuEra, “About QuEra”, retrieved 2026-05-21.

### 3.4 Atom Computing AC1000 / Azure Quantum logical offering - runs with translation (after compiler retargeting)

**Modality:** Neutral atom (gate-model array).

**Translation:** Preserve Julien’s 2-logical-qubit  $[[4, 2, 2]]$  kernel and final destructive-readout/post-selection semantics, but compile Pasqal’s Raman/global-pulse/local- $Z$ /Rydberg-CZ schedule into Atom’s gate-model neutral-atom control stack. Atom publicly claims all-to-all connectivity, mid-circuit measurement, qubit reuse/reset, and real-time conditional branching, so a stricter variant with an actual mid-circuit flag measurement is architecturally plausible; the paper’s extracted run does not need that feed-forward path. Preconditions for promoting the 2026 fit beyond partial: AC1000/Azure native decomposition for the proxy-phasing rotations and CZ/CNOT blocks, compiled two-qubit-gate count, depth including any motion or parallelism constraints, and raw shots needed to equalise post-selected shots at each  $x_i$  to a target  $\varepsilon$ .

**Evidence:**

- Atom Computing, “[Technology](#)”, retrieved 2026-05-21.
- Microsoft Azure Quantum Blog, “[Microsoft and Atom Computing offer a commercial quantum machine with the largest number of entangled logical qubits on record](#)”, retrieved 2026-05-21.

### 3.5 Quantinuum H2 - runs with translation

**Modality:** Trapped ion (QCCD).

**Translation:** Encode the same 6-qubit circuit and post-selection rule, then rebase  $H$ ,  $Z$ ,  $R_X(\theta)$ , CNOT/CZ, SWAP, and proxy-phasing entanglers through TKET to H2’s single-qubit rotations,  $ZZMax$ ,  $ZZPhase$ , or  $TK2$  gates. H2’s all-to-all QCCD routing removes Pasqal’s explicit atom-shuttling locality constraint; mid-circuit measurement and conditional operations are available if a curator elects to implement the flag as an actual intermediate measurement, but Julien’s extracted workload can be run with terminal measurements and classical discard. Preconditions for promoting the 2026 fit beyond partial: compiled H-Series HQC per shot, two-qubit-gate count, depth/schedule, and shot budget after expected flag/codespace rejection for target kernel error  $\varepsilon$ ; H2’s public guide also imposes per-shot and per-job credit limits that must be checked against the compiled circuit.

**Evidence:**

- Quantinuum, “[System Model H2](#)”, retrieved 2026-05-21.
- Quantinuum Systems, “[H2 Operation](#)”, retrieved 2026-05-21.
- Quantinuum, [System Model H2 Product Data Sheet](#), retrieved 2026-05-21.

### 3.6 IonQ Forte - runs with translation

**Modality:** Trapped ion (linear chain).

**Translation:** Compile the 6-qubit  $[[4, 2, 2]]$  logical kernel to IonQ’s Forte native gate set: express arbitrary one-qubit rotations and  $H/Z$  basis changes through GPI/GPi2 phases, and express CNOT/CZ/proxy-phasing entanglers through Forte’s native  $ZZ(\theta)$  route or IonQ’s abstract-gate compiler. Forte’s all-to-all trapped-ion connectivity is enough for the code block and avoids routing swaps; public Forte documentation does not make mid-circuit measurement the safe assumption, so this row is scoped to the non-feed-forward version with final measurement of data, flag, and ancilla bits followed by classical post-selection. Preconditions for promoting the 2026 fit beyond partial: Forte-native two-qubit-gate count, circuit depth/duration, and raw shots needed to maintain the source paper’s equal post-selected shot accounting at target  $\varepsilon$ .

**Evidence:**

- IonQ, “[IonQ Forte: High-Performance Commercial Quantum Computer](#)”, retrieved 2026-05-21.
- IonQ Quantum Cloud Documentation, “[Native Gates](#)”, retrieved 2026-05-21.
- IonQ, “[Compare Quantum Systems](#)”, retrieved 2026-05-21.

### 3.7 IBM Heron - runs with translation

**Modality:** Superconducting transmon.

**Translation:** Map the same 6-qubit circuit onto a connected low-error patch of Heron’s heavy-hex lattice, replacing Pasqal’s global neutral-atom operations and shuttling with explicit Qiskit scheduling over  $\mathbf{rz}$ ,  $\mathbf{sx}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{cz}$ , and, where compatible, fractional  $\mathbf{rx/rzz}$  gates. The source fingerprint does not require real-time feed-forward, so the baseline IBM port can use terminal measurements and classical flag/codespace discard; if the curator insists on measuring the flag mid-circuit, IBM dynamic circuits support mid-circuit measurement and conditional logic, but the fractional-gate path is then not available under IBM’s documented constraints. Preconditions for promoting the 2026 fit beyond partial: selected backend calibration, routed two-qubit-gate count, depth/duration after swaps, and shot budget against target  $\varepsilon$ , because the source paper gives no scalar depth, two-qubit count, or raw shots.

**Evidence:**

- IBM Quantum Documentation, “[Processor types](#)”, retrieved 2026-05-21.
- IBM Quantum Documentation, “[Execute dynamic circuits](#)”, retrieved 2026-05-21.
- IBM Quantum Documentation, “[Introduction to fractional gates](#)”, retrieved 2026-05-21.

### 3.8 Xanadu Aurora - architectural rewrite

**Modality:** Photonic (modular GKP prototype).

**Translation:** This is not a routine gate-set translation of Julien’s neutral-atom circuit. The  $[[4, 2, 2]]$  overlap workload would need to be re-expressed as photonic GKP or cluster-state computation: prepare encoded photonic qubits, realise the proxy-phasing rotations through the photonic gate/measurement pattern, translate flag and parity checks into the photonic syndrome and loss-decoding layer, then reconstruct  $\kappa(x, a)$  from terminal or syndrome-conditioned samples. Aurora is therefore a research-port target, not a 2026 production rerun of the Pasqal experiment; promote only after Xanadu exposes a public execution path, compiled logical gate count/depth, loss model, and post-selected shot budget for target  $\varepsilon$ .

**Evidence:**

- Xanadu, “Xanadu introduces Aurora: world’s first scalable, networked and modular quantum computer”, retrieved 2026-05-21.
- Xanadu investor filing, Form 6-K filed 2026-04-09, retrieved 2026-05-21.

### 3.9 Xanadu Borealis - infeasible today

**Modality:** Photonic (Gaussian boson sampling).

**Translation:** Borealis is a special-purpose Gaussian-boson-sampling device, not a universal qubit gate-model processor. It cannot directly implement Julien’s  $U^\dagger(a)U(x)$  overlap circuit, the  $[[4, 2, 2]]$  data/flag/ancilla structure, CZ/CNOT-style proxy phasing, or deterministic final bitstring semantics. The plausible Xanadu horizon is Aurora-style universal photonics, not Borealis; for Borealis itself, this workload remains architecturally disqualified.

**Evidence:**

- AWS Quantum Technologies Blog, “Explore quantum computational advantage with Xanadu’s Borealis device on Amazon Braket”, retrieved 2026-05-21.
- Xanadu investor filing, Form 6-K filed 2026-04-09, retrieved 2026-05-21.

### 3.10 D-Wave Advantage2 - infeasible today

**Modality:** Quantum annealing.

**Translation:** Advantage2 is an annealing platform for Ising/QUBO-style dynamics and hybrid optimisation, not a circuit-model system with user-programmed  $R_X(\theta)$ ,  $H$ ,  $Z$ , CZ/CNOT, logical proxy phasing, and terminal computational-basis overlap sampling. Recasting the DE kernel as an annealing objective would be a different algorithm, not a port of Julien’s fingerprint. D-Wave’s public 2026 statements

describe an accelerated gate-model roadmap and plans for an initial gate-model system, so this row should be revisited when a public gate-model data sheet and access path exist; Advantage2 itself is a no.

#### Evidence:

- D-Wave, “D-Wave Announces Advancements in Annealing and Gate-Model Quantum Computing Technologies”, retrieved 2026-05-21.
- D-Wave, “D-Wave Showcases Dual-Platform Progress at APS 2026”, retrieved 2026-05-21.

**Commentary** (Brillant). The nearest second platforms are Atom Computing AC1000 / Azure Quantum logical offering and QuEra Gemini, because both stay inside the neutral-atom family and preserve the architectural intuition behind Julien’s fingerprint: movable atoms, flexible connectivity, Rydberg-style entanglement, and enough physical qubits for a 6-qubit error-detected kernel. Atom Computing has the stronger public control-feature claim for mid-circuit measurement, reset, and conditional branching; QuEra Gemini has the closer public story around gate-model FPQA, dynamic qubit arrays, and logical-qubit experiments. In both cases the public gap is not qubit count, but the missing compiler artefact: a native lowering of this exact  $[[4, 2, 2]]$  proxy-phasing circuit with two-qubit count, depth, and post-selected shot budget.

Quantinuum H2 is the cleanest non-neutral-atom engineering translation. It has transparent documentation for all-to-all QCCD routing, native  $ZZ$ -family gates, mid-circuit measurement, qubit reuse, and compiler constraints. If the human curator wants a credible cross-modality rerun without waiting for a neutral-atom partner compiler trace, Quantinuum H2 is the first place I would cost. IonQ Forte is also plausible for the non-feed-forward variant, but the 0.4% public two-qubit error rate and the absence of a public Forte mid-circuit path mean the post-selection yield has to be costed, not assumed.

IBM Heron can run the small circuit in principle, but it is structurally further away: sparse heavy-hex routing replaces the neutral-atom shuttling contract, and the dynamic-circuit and fractional-gate workflows have documented incompatibilities. It is a useful portability baseline, not the strongest replication target.

The source paper’s logical-versus-physical numbers should be described conservatively: the kernel RMSE values are 0.094 versus 0.130 and the DE residuals are lower for the logical run, but application-level uncertainty is not fully reported, so the result is comparable within unreported uncertainty rather than a vendor performance claim. Xanadu Aurora is a research rewrite into photonic GKP/cluster computation; Xanadu Borealis and D-Wave Advantage2 are architecturally disqualified for this circuit-model kernel.

### 3.11 Residual caveats

- The Pasqal logical neutral-atom processor anchor is an executed proof-of-concept, but the paper still does not publish scalar compiled depth, two-qubit-gate count, or raw shot budget; the comparison should remain framed as a small hardware demonstration rather than a reusable resource estimate.

- The **QuEra Gemini** row remains a partial 2026 fit because public evidence supports the gate-model DQA, two-zone architecture, all-to-all connectivity, QASM interface, and published fidelity claims, but not a native lowering of this exact 6-qubit  $[[4, 2, 2]]$  proxy-phasing kernel with depth, two-qubit count, shuttling schedule, access terms, and post-selected shot budget.
- The **Atom Computing AC1000 / Azure Quantum logical offering** row covers a hardware platform and an Azure logical-qubit access path together; public evidence supports the control-feature claims, but the fit still depends on an access-path-specific native decomposition, depth and parallelism constraints, and raw shots needed to equalise post-selected kernel samples.
- The **Quantinuum H2** row remains cost-dependent: H2 supports the required all-to-all QCCD, native  $ZZ$ -family gates, mid-circuit measurement, conditional logic, and qubit reuse, but the matrix still lacks compiled H-Series HQC, two-qubit-gate count, depth, rejection-rate-adjusted shot budget, and checks against per-shot or per-job credit limits.
- The **IonQ Forte** row is scoped to the non-feed-forward version of Julien’s workload; Forte’s public GPI/GPi2/ $ZZ$  interface and all-to-all connectivity support a translation, but the post-selection yield, native depth, and raw shot budget remain uncoded, and a Forte mid-circuit-measurement path is not used as a premise.
- The **IBM Heron** row is a portability baseline rather than a preferred rerun target: the matrix still needs a selected backend calibration, routed heavy-hex depth, two-qubit-gate count, duration, and shot budget, and the dynamic-circuit variant remains separate from the fractional-gate route.
- The **Xanadu Aurora** row is correctly treated as a photonic GKP or cluster-state research rewrite, but it still lacks a public kernel-execution service, compiled logical gate count and depth, loss model, and syndrome-conditioned or post-selected shot budget for target  $\varepsilon$ .
- The **Xanadu Borealis** row is a device-specific infeasibility statement for the Gaussian-boson-sampling sampler; it should not be read as a claim about Xanadu’s separate Aurora-style universal photonics roadmap.
- The **D-Wave Advantage2** row is an Advantage2-specific infeasibility statement; D-Wave’s 2026 gate-model roadmap is relevant context, but no public gate-model data sheet or access path is available in the cited evidence for this circuit-model kernel.
- The logical-versus-physical Pasqal performance numbers remain comparable within unreported application-level uncertainty; the RMSE and DE-residual figures should not be promoted to a vendor performance advantage without confidence intervals or equivalent uncertainty reporting.