

Observing conformal Floquet dynamics on a digital quantum processor

Portability dossier - benchmark

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2605.27530-conformal-floquet-helios
Source	arXiv
Link	https://arxiv.org/abs/2605.27530
Category	benchmark
Triaged	2026-05-28 by port_until_julien_parallel
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

Contents

1	Capability note	2
1.1	Claim	2
1.2	Vendor and machine	2
1.3	Metrics	2
1.4	Context	4
1.5	Caveats	4

1 Capability note

1.1 Claim

First experimental observation of non-equilibrium Floquet dynamics initiated directly from a many-body critical state, the critical ground state of a 16-spin transverse-field Ising model prepared by a logarithmic-depth MERA circuit, run on Quantinuum’s Helios trapped-ion processor and yielding a measured central charge $c = 0.575 \pm 0.036$ consistent with the Ising universality class ($c = 1/2$).

1.2 Vendor and machine

Quantinuum Helios, a fully connected (all-to-all) trapped-ion digital processor using $^{137}\text{Ba}^+$ ions, with the computational basis encoded in the hyperfine clock states of the $^2S_{1/2}$ ground manifold. Software stack: hardware-tailored MERA state-preparation circuit plus second-order Trotter-Suzuki Floquet drive, with embedded dynamical decoupling, \mathbb{Z}_2 parity post-selection, and reference-experiment normalisation; leakage detected via shelving to the $^2D_{5/2}$ manifold. Reported May 2026 (arXiv 2605.27530); Helios device specifications cited to the device paper (Ransford et al.).

1.3 Metrics

Metric	Value	Source
QV / linear XEB	not reported	n/a
1Q gate fidelity	not reported	n/a
2Q gate fidelity	$\approx 8 \times 10^{-4}$ infidelity for maximally entangling $R_{zz}(\pi/2)$ gates ($\approx 99.92\%$); cited to Helios device paper, not independently measured here	Hardware implementation section

Metric	Value	Source
Circuit depth sustained	Up to 642 native two-qubit gates total on 16 qubits; up to $n = 16$ Floquet cycles; MERA preparation depth $\mathcal{O}(\log N)$	Hardware implementation section
Qubit count used	16	State preparation / hardware sections
MERA state-prep energy-density error	7.4×10^{-4} vs exact critical ground state at $N = 16$	Digital simulation protocol
MERA state-prep infidelity	3.1×10^{-3}	Digital simulation protocol
Floquet dynamics infidelity	$< 3.9 \times 10^{-2}$ after $n = 16$ cycles vs exact diagonalisation	Digital simulation protocol
Measured central charge	$c = 0.575 \pm 0.036$ (theory $c = 1/2$)	Loschmidt echo section / Fig. 2
Shots per point	Up to 1000 measurement shots; 95% CIs via bootstrap resampling	Figs. 2, 3
Leakage contribution	≈ 15 to 30% of $R_{zz}(\pi/2)$ gate error rate; $\approx 80\%$ of linear memory error rate	Hardware implementation section

Metric	Value	Source
Reference-decay normalisation	Empirical background fit $0.991(3) \exp(-0.0068(6)n)$	Loschmidt echo section

1.4 Context

Helios is Quantinuum’s newer trapped-ion processor, succeeding the H-series (H2); its all-to-all connectivity is what makes the non-local MERA gates and periodic boundary conditions tractable. The reported $R_{zz}(\pi/2)$ infidelity of $\approx 8 \times 10^{-4}$ ($\approx 99.92\%$) sits in line with Quantinuum’s established high-fidelity two-qubit gate record. The scientific novelty is not a volumetric benchmark but a physics first: non-equilibrium dynamics launched from a scale-invariant critical state rather than a product state, plus a measurement-cheap route to the central charge (single basis, via the Loschmidt echo) that bypasses the exponential cost of entanglement-entropy tomography. A 642 two-qubit-gate circuit on 16 qubits stays within a few percent infidelity of exact diagonalisation.

1.5 Caveats

This is an arXiv preprint, not peer reviewed at time of writing; it is a physics-simulation demonstration, not a standard QV/XEB capability benchmark, and no QV, XEB, or single-qubit fidelity figure is reported. The quoted gate and leakage error rates are cited to the separate Helios device paper, not independently characterised in this work. The headline central-charge agreement is post-mitigation: it relies on three stacked error-mitigation layers (embedded dynamical decoupling, \mathbb{Z}_2 post-selection, reference-experiment normalisation) and on discarding leakage-flagged shots, so it is not a raw-hardware result. The benchmark runs at a single, classically simulable system size ($N = 16$), validated against exact diagonalisation; the claimed scalability beyond classical reach is argued but not yet demonstrated on hardware.