

# Quantum Simulation of Nucleon-Antinucleon Interaction in Large- $N$ QCD<sub>2</sub> on an IBM Quantum Nighthawk Processor

Portability dossier - app

agQSL portability pipeline

2026-06-07

Field	Value
Slug	2026-arxiv-2606.02574-qcd2-nighthawk
Source	arXiv
Link	<a href="https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.02574">https://arxiv.org/abs/2606.02574</a>
Category	app
Triaged	2026-06-06 by codex
Bootstrapped	2026-06-07

## Contents

- 1 Source** **3**
- 1.1 Domain classification . . . . . 3
- 1.2 Expert persona for Julien . . . . . 3
- 1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography) . . . . . 3
- 1.4 Difficulty estimate . . . . . 4
  
- 2 Extraction** **5**

2.1	What the paper does (one paragraph)	5
2.2	Quantum hardware used	5
2.3	Computational primitive	6
2.4	Resource fingerprint	6
2.5	Assumptions and results	7
2.6	Portability flags	8
2.7	Caveats	8
<b>3</b>	<b>Portability matrix</b>	<b>10</b>

# 1 Source

## 1.1 Domain classification

other (specify): high-energy / nuclear physics (lattice gauge-theory quantum simulation).

The paper simulates the nucleon-antinucleon interaction in large- $N$  two-dimensional QCD (QCD<sub>2</sub>) on IBM hardware, through the mapping chain QCD<sub>2</sub> ( $N \rightarrow \infty$ )  $\rightarrow$  sine-Gordon  $\rightarrow$  staggered XXZ spin chain. The target science is nuclear / high-energy physics, and none of the standard portfolio domains (chemistry, materials, optimisation, machine learning, finance, biology, cryptography, sensing) fits, so this is classified as **other**.

Secondary: condensed-matter quantum many-body (critical XXZ spin chain / Luttinger liquid). The XXZ chain with a staggered longitudinal field, its disorder (kink) operators, and the Bethe-ansatz Luttinger parameter  $K(\Delta) \approx 0.527$  at  $\Delta \simeq 0.9875$  are the actual computational substrate, and the same machinery recurs across condensed-matter spin-model simulations. In the project taxonomy this maps to the `simulation_physics` app group.

## 1.2 Expert persona for Julien

A quantum-simulation hardware specialist fluent in the HEP-on-superconducting-hardware playbook (IQuS / IBM-collaboration style), rather than a QCD theorist. They should read IBM transpilation and error-suppression settings comfortably: qubit-path layout, dynamical decoupling on idle windows, measurement twirling, and batch execution against calibration drift. They need calibrated intuition for shallow variational ground-state preparation (Hamiltonian-inspired ansatz, parameter counts, two-qubit depth budgets). Most importantly, they must understand ancilla-mediated implementation of nonunitary operators with projective postselection, including how keep fractions erode effective shot counts, because the central observable  $V(r)$  is a difference of conditional energies rather than a single expectation value. Spin-chain and Jordan-Wigner literacy is required to read the disorder-operator strings; deep bosonization or QCD<sub>2</sub> expertise is not.

## 1.3 Related prior work (brief bibliography)

- IBM Quantum, “IBM Delivers New Quantum Processors, Software, and Algorithm Breakthroughs” (Nighthawk processor announcement, 12 Nov 2025). Device context for the `ibm_miami` Nighthawk processor used here: 120 qubits, square-lattice tunable couplers. <https://newsroom.ibm.com/2025-11-12-ibm-delivers-new-quantum-processors,-software,-and-algorithm-breakthroughs-on-path-to-advantage-and-fault-tolerance>
- Variational Quantum Simulation of the Interacting Schwinger Model on a Trapped-Ion Quantum Processor (2025), arXiv:2504.20824. Same application family (lattice gauge theory) with variational ground-state preparation, on a different vendor (trapped ion); a direct cross-vendor portability comparison.

- Digital Quantum Simulation of the Schwinger Model and Symmetry Protection with Trapped Ions (2022), arXiv:2112.14262, PRX Quantum 3, 020324. Comparable symmetry-inspired postselection technique on trapped-ion hardware, a useful contrast to the ancilla postselection used here.
- Ciavarella, Quantum Simulation of SU(3) Lattice Yang-Mills Theory at Leading Order in Large- $N_c$  Expansion (2024), arXiv:2402.10265, PRL 133, 111901. Same large- $N$  gauge-theory primitive on superconducting hardware (cited by the authors).
- Bauer et al., Quantum Simulation for High-Energy Physics (2023), arXiv:2204.03381, PRX Quantum 4, 027001. Community roadmap that anchors the domain and its hardware challenges.
- Florio, Kharzeev et al., Chirality distributions inside baryons in QCD<sub>2</sub> (2022), arXiv:2204.10827, PRD 106, 096025. The QCD<sub>2</sub> / sine-Gordon lineage from one of the present authors (Kharzeev), for the application physics.

## 1.4 Difficulty estimate

medium. The full LaTeX source, figures, and bibliography are present locally under `paper-source/` (`main.tex`, `main.bib`), so there is no paywall or fetch risk, and the hardware fingerprint is unusually well documented: explicit device (`ibm_miami`, Nighthawk, Qiskit Runtime batch mode), an explicit 14-qubit data chain plus 7 named ancillas,  $10^4$  shots over 366 production circuits ( $3.66 \times 10^6$  raw shots), a postselection keep-fraction table, VQE structure (two entangling layers, 106 parameters for  $L = 14$ ), and DMRG bond dimensions up to 400. Three factors push the extraction above low: (1) the hardware detail is distributed across the main text and three appendices rather than a single methods block; (2) the central primitive is an exotic nonunitary disorder-operator insertion via ancilla postselection, not a textbook VQE or Trotter circuit, so the fingerprint must record the postselection bookkeeping and effective shot counts; (3) Julien must separate the on-device run (HW) from the statevector (SV), exact-diagonalisation (ED), and large- $L$  DMRG (up to  $L = 2400$ ) results, only the first of which is hardware. The conceptual physics is dense, but it does not block fingerprint extraction.

## 2 Extraction

### 2.1 What the paper does (one paragraph)

The authors run a proof-of-principle quantum simulation of the nucleon-antinucleon interaction in two-dimensional QCD at a large number of colours ( $N$ ). They exploit a chain of known mappings, large- $N$  QCD<sub>2</sub> to the sine-Gordon model to a staggered spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  XXZ chain, so that a nucleon (antinucleon) becomes a kink (antikink) domain-wall excitation. On an  $L = 14$  site chain they prepare an approximate interacting vacuum with a shallow variational circuit, then insert nonunitary kink and antikink “disorder” string operators using ancilla qubits with projective measurement and postselection. From four conditional energies they build the kink-antikink interaction potential  $V(r)$  as a difference of differences, which is largely immune to state-independent hardware offsets. The hardware result on IBM’s Nighthawk processor shows the expected short-range attraction that flattens to zero at large separation, benchmarked against exact diagonalisation and ideal statevector simulation, with the continuum limit established separately by large- $L$  DMRG.

### 2.2 Quantum hardware used

- **Vendor / machine:** IBM Quantum, processor `ibm_miami` (Nighthawk superconducting processor), run through Qiskit Runtime batch execution mode. (App. “Quantum Hardware Details”, subsec. “Device”).
- **Qubit count:** 21 physical qubits used (14 data + 7 ancilla). Device total not stated in the paper; IBM’s Nighthawk announcement (cited in the dossier sourcing note, not in the paper body) gives 120 qubits.
- **Connectivity:** 2D square lattice with tunable couplers. The paper does not name the topology, but the chosen embedding is a contiguous path with ancillas placed adjacent to data qubits; the explicit qubit indices (stride of  $\pm 1$  within a row and  $\pm 10$  between rows) trace an S-shaped path on a square grid. Data chain [26, 25, 24, 34, 44, 54, 53, 43, 33, 23, 22, 21, 11, 12]; ancillas [13, 32, 35, 42, 45, 52, 55]. (App. “Embedding: data-chain and ancillas”). For substrate context: Nighthawk is IBM’s square-lattice successor to the Heron heavy-hex generation (Ezratty 2025 vendor section; substrate reference only, not paper evidence).
- **Gate set:** Not reported. The paper does not state the native gate set. The substrate is an IBM superconducting tunable-coupler device; the VQE ansatz is described only as “Hamiltonian-inspired” with two entangling layers, with no named native two-qubit gate.
- **Notable features leveraged:** Ancilla-mediated implementation of nonunitary operators via projective ancilla measurement and postselection on the all-zeros outcome; dynamical decoupling on idle windows during the nonlocal string operations; measurement twirling on the data qubits; single batch per dataset to limit calibration drift; per-batch ancilla readout calibration with a linear confusion-matrix correction ( $P(0|0) \approx 0.98$ ,  $P(1|0) \approx 0.02$ ). (App. “Error suppression and ancilla readout calibration”; App. “Batch ancilla calibration”).

## 2.3 Computational primitive

Hybrid, with two distinct stages:

1. **VQE** for ground-state (vacuum) preparation: a shallow Hamiltonian-inspired variational ansatz, two entangling layers, 106 parameters for  $L = 14$ , optimised offline on a noise-free classical simulator with parameters then held fixed.
2. **Other (the central novelty)**: postselected nonunitary disorder-operator insertion. The kink  $K$  and antikink  $A$  operators are nonunitary Pauli-Z string operators, embedded into a larger unitary circuit with ancillas, projectively measured, and postselected. The observable  $V(r)$  is then sampled as a difference of conditional energies.

This is not a textbook VQE or Trotter run; the load is the ancilla-mediated nonunitary insertion and its postselection bookkeeping.

## 2.4 Resource fingerprint

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Qubits (logical)	14 ( $L = 14$ spin chain)	Sec. “Quantum-Centric Implementation”, para. “Lattice model and parameter regime”
Qubits (physical)	21 (14 data + 7 ancilla)	App. “Quantum Hardware Details”, “Embedding: data-chain and ancillas”
Circuit depth	Not reported (VQE = two entangling layers; total depth incl. strings not given)	Sec. “Ground state preparation”; App. “Ground State Ansatz Construction”
2Q-gate count (total)	Not reported	(no value in text or appendices)
Measurement shots	$10^4$ shots/production circuit; 366 production circuits/dataset; $3.66 \times 10^6$ raw shots/dataset (two datasets, $ h_s  = 0.10$ and $0.03$ )	Table I ( <code>tab:resource_postselection</code> ) and caption
Classical loop iter.	Not reported (VQE optimised offline on a classical simulator, no hardware-in-the-loop; optimiser iteration count not given)	Sec. “Ground state preparation”; App. “Ground State Ansatz Construction”

Metric	Value	Source (page / equation / SI)
Wall-clock runtime	Not reported (stated only as a “short time window” within one batch)	App. “Quantum Hardware Details”, “Device”

Supplementary resource detail reported in Table I and the workflow appendices:

- Production circuits per  $(r, \text{cfg})$ : 9; three measurement bases ( $X, Y, Z$  rotations on the data qubits). (App. “Postselected disorder operator insertions”; Table I.)
- Postselection keep fractions,  $|h_s| = 0.10$ : single-insertion  $\langle f_{\text{single}} \rangle = 0.502$  (range 0.191 to 0.789), joint-insertion  $\langle f_{\text{KA}} \rangle = 0.319$  (range 0.047 to 0.790).  $|h_s| = 0.03$ :  $\langle f_{\text{single}} \rangle = 0.483$  (0.219 to 0.750),  $\langle f_{\text{KA}} \rangle = 0.285$  (0.053 to 0.713). (Table I.)
- Effective postselected shots,  $|h_s| = 0.10$ : single  $1.20 \times 10^6$ ,  $KA$   $3.83 \times 10^5$ .  $|h_s| = 0.03$ : single  $1.16 \times 10^6$ ,  $KA$   $3.41 \times 10^5$ . (Table I.)
- Classical benchmark (not hardware): DMRG up to  $L = 2400$ ,  $|h_s|$  down to 0.001, bond dimension up to 400, 15 sweeps, ground-state energy converged to better than  $10^{-10}$ . (App. “Tensor network benchmarks”.)

## 2.5 Assumptions and results

- **Claim.** A kink-antikink interaction potential  $V(r)$ , defined through conditional energies of nonunitary disorder-operator insertions, can be resolved on current superconducting hardware for a nontrivial interacting spin model. The measured  $V(r)$  shows strong short-range attraction that asymptotes to  $V(r) \approx 0$  at larger separation, qualitatively stable under a roughly  $3\times$  change in  $|h_s|$  and under configuration averaging. The domain-wall channel appears at odd separations  $r \in \{1, 3, 5\}$  for  $L = 14$ .
- **Error bars / noise assumptions.** Statistical error bars are computed from the retained postselected samples and propagated through  $V(r) \equiv E_{KA}(r) - E_K(r) - E_A(r) + E_0$  under an assumption of independent shot noise between circuit groups. A shaded band shows the min/max spread across contributing configurations and is described as a finite-size / lattice systematic, not statistical noise. The robustness of  $V(r)$  is attributed to the difference-of-differences structure, which cancels state-independent offsets and slowly varying coherent errors; residual systematics are ascribed to accumulated two-qubit-gate and decoherence errors in the ancilla-string circuits, ancilla readout error on postselection, and finite-chain insertion-point sensitivity.
- **Comparison to classical baseline.** Benchmarked against (i) exact diagonalisation (ED) of the lattice Hamiltonian and (ii) ideal statevector (SV) evaluation of the same circuits. The decomposition  $\Delta_{\text{HW-ED}}(r) = [V_{\text{HW}} - V_{\text{SV}}] + [V_{\text{SV}} - V_{\text{ED}}]$  separates hardware bias from shallow-ansatz bias; the largest deviations are at the smallest separations, and SV already departs from ED there. The continuum limit is reached classically by large- $L$  DMRG: four field values ( $|h_s| = 0.01, 0.005, 0.002, 0.001$ ;  $L = 400$  to 2400) collapse onto a single universal curve with 1.7% RMS agreement when rescaled as  $\tilde{V} = V(r)/\mu_h$  versus  $x = (r+1)\mu_h$ , with  $\mu_h = (|h_s|/J)^{1/(2-K_{\text{BA}})}$  and Bethe-ansatz Luttinger parameter  $K_{\text{BA}} = K(\Delta) \approx 0.527$  at  $\Delta = 0.79/0.8 = 0.9875$ .

## 2.6 Portability flags

- **Nonlocal Jordan-Wigner string operators.** The kink/antikink operators are  $\sigma^z$  strings whose length grows with kink-antikink separation (Eq. eq:K\_A\_ops). On the path embedding used here they run along the data chain; on limited-connectivity hardware the string cost scales with separation. All-to-all hardware (for example trapped ions) would implement these strings far more cheaply.
- **Ancilla adjacency requirement.** Each of the 7 ancillas must sit directly adjacent to at least one data qubit so the controlled string operations avoid SWAP overhead. A portability analysis must account for one ancilla layer threaded through the data chain, that is, local 2D connectivity, not just a linear data register.
- **Postselection erodes effective shots heavily.** Joint-insertion keep fractions drop as low as  $f_{KA} = 0.047$ ; roughly a factor 2 to 20 of shots are discarded depending on circuit. Any target platform needs cheap ancilla readout plus the ability to discard non-zero ancilla outcomes, and must budget for the inflated raw shot count this implies. This is the dominant shot-efficiency penalty of the protocol.
- **Requires per-qubit readout calibration and a confusion-matrix correction** on the ancillas (since ancilla outcomes drive postselection).
- **Relies on dynamical decoupling on idle windows** during the nonlocal string operations, that is, idle-window pulse-level control.
- **Relies on measurement twirling** (randomised readout) on the data qubits.
- **Relies on batch scheduling** to suppress calibration drift across the 366-circuit dataset; a vendor without a batch / session mode would see more drift between the four energy terms, weakening the difference-of-differences cancellation.
- **Entangling layers must be recompiled** to the target native two-qubit gate; the paper specifies only “two entangling layers” of a Hamiltonian-inspired ansatz, with no native-gate commitment, so the ansatz is gate-set agnostic in principle but unbenchmarked off IBM hardware.
- **No mid-circuit measurement with feed-forward is required.** Ancillas are measured at the end and postselected, trading the feed-forward path of a true measurement-based nonunitary implementation for discarded shots. A platform with fast mid-circuit measurement and conditional logic could instead realise the nonunitary insertion deterministically; the postselection route is the no-feed-forward variant.

## 2.7 Caveats

- No access limitation: the full arXiv LaTeX source (`main.tex`, `main.bib`, figures) is present locally under `paper-source/`. There is no paywall and no unreachable section. All numbers above are taken from the main text and the three appendices.
- The device’s total qubit count (120) and the square-lattice tunable-coupler description come from IBM’s external Nighthawk announcement via the dossier sourcing note, not from the paper body. The paper names only `ibm_miami` / Nighthawk and lists the 21 qubits it occupies.
- The native gate set, total circuit depth, total two-qubit-gate count, VQE optimiser iteration count, and wall-clock runtime are not

reported in the paper. These are recorded as “not reported” rather than inferred.

- DMRG results (up to  $L = 2400$ ), exact diagonalisation, and ideal statevector curves are classical computations, not Nighthawk hardware output; only the  $L = 14$   $V(r)$  points labelled HW are device results.

### 3 Portability matrix